

## GROUP HOMEWORK 5, CPSC 303, SPRING 2024

JOEL FRIEDMAN

**Copyright:** Copyright Joel Friedman 2024. Not to be copied, used, or revised without explicit written permission from the copyright owner.

Please note:

- (1) You must justify all answers; no credit is given for a correct answer without justification.
- (2) Proofs should be written out formally.
- (3) You do not have to use LaTeX for homework, but **homework that is too difficult to read will not be graded.**
- (4) You may work together on homework in groups of up to four, **but you must submit a single homework as a group submission under Gradescope.**
- (5) At times we may only grade part of the homework set. The number of points per problem (at times indicated) may be changed.

- 
- (1) (0 to -6 points) Who are your group members? Please print if writing by hand. [See (4) above.]
  - (2) The point of this exercise is to define a type of ODE known as *central force problem*, and to show that such ODE's satisfy a *conservation of energy*. In this exercise  $\| \cdot \|$  refers to the  $L^2$  norm  $\| \cdot \|_2$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t))$ , where  $x_1, x_2$  are functions  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and similiary for  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}(t) = (z_1(t), z_2(t))$ .
    - (a) With the usual dot product:

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{z} = x_1 z_1 + x_2 z_2$$

(hence all the above depend on  $t$ ), show that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{x} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{z}} + \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{z},$$

where  $\dot{\cdot}$  denotes  $d/dt$  (as usual in celestial mechanics).

- (b) Show that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2) = \frac{d}{dt}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}) = 2 \mathbf{x} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{x}}.$$

---

Research supported in part by an NSERC grant.

(c) Show that if  $m$  is a constant, then

$$\frac{d}{dt}(m\|\dot{\mathbf{x}}\|^2) = 2m\dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \ddot{\mathbf{x}}.$$

(d) Show that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathbf{x}\| = \frac{d}{dt}\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}\mathbf{x} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{x}}.$$

(e) Show that if  $U: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a differentiable function, whose derivative is  $u$ , then

$$\frac{d}{dt}U(\|\mathbf{z}\|) = u(\|\mathbf{z}\|)\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{z}\|}\mathbf{z} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{z}}.$$

(f) We say that a function  $\mathbf{x}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  satisfies a *central force law* if for some real  $m > 0$  and  $u: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$(1) \quad m\ddot{\mathbf{x}} = -m u(\|\mathbf{x}\|)\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}$$

(at times  $u$  may extend to a function  $[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , but for Newton's Law of Gravitation,  $u(0) = +\infty$ ). Show that in this case

$$(2) \quad \text{Energy} = \text{Energy}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2}m\|\dot{\mathbf{x}}\|^2 + mU(\|\mathbf{x}\|)$$

is independent of  $t$  (where, as in part(e),  $U' = u$ ). [Hint: show that  $d/dt$  applied to  $\text{Energy}(t)$  is zero.] [An earlier version of the homework had a  $-$  instead of a  $+$  in (2).]

(3) Consider the central force problem (1), where  $\mathbf{x}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ , for fixed  $u, U$  as in Problem (2). We may write (1) as a 4-dimensional ODE by setting  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = (\dot{x}_1, \dot{x}_2, x_1, x_2)$  and letting

$$r = \|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{y_3^2 + y_4^2},$$

and hence

$$(3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}), \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{bmatrix} -u(r)y_3/(mr) \\ -u(r)y_4/(mr) \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad r = \sqrt{y_3^2 + y_4^2}.$$

Notice that the energy of the system  $\text{Energy}(t)$  can therefore be written as

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2}m\|(y_1, y_2)\|^2 + mU(\|(y_3, y_4)\|),$$

and hence  $E(\mathbf{y}(t))$  is independent of  $t$ . One way to test the accuracy of numerical (i.e., approximate) solutions to (3) is to see if the approximation to  $E(\mathbf{y}(t))$  changes in time. Newton's Law of Gravitation (to predict how planets move around the sun) fixes a real constant  $g > 0$ , and takes  $U(r) = -g/r$  and so  $u(r) = g/r^2$ .

(a) Consider the case where  $m = g = 1$ , and we solve (3) subject to

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{y}(0) = [0, 0.8, 1, 0].$$

Use MATLAB to generate points  $\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_N$  using Euler's method

$$\mathbf{y}_{i+1} = \mathbf{y}_i + h\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}_i), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$$

with the following values of  $h, N$ :

- (i) First take  $h = 0.1$  and  $N = 600$ . (Hence you are approximating  $y(t)$  for  $0 \leq t \leq iN = 60$ .) What is  $E(\mathbf{y}_0)$ , and  $E(\mathbf{y}_N)$ ? Does  $E(\mathbf{y}_i)$  always increase, always decrease, or does it fluctuate in both directions? Does the approximate ellipse that  $\mathbf{y}_i$  traces out (in its 3rd and 4th components, which approximates  $\mathbf{x}(t)$ ) seem to get larger in time or get smaller (or is it roughly the same)?
- (ii) Next take  $h = 0.01$  and  $N = 6000$ . (Hence you are still approximating  $y(t)$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 60$ , but presumably the approximation is getting better.) Same questions.
- (iii) Same questions with  $h = 0.001$  and  $N = 60000$ .
- (b) Same questions with  $h = 0.1$  and  $N = 600$ , but this time use the (explicit) trapezoidal method.

[Hint: you are welcome to use the program `Euler_Central_Force.m` that I'm supplying; and can observe Euler's method in the above three cases by typing each of the three lines:

```
Euler_Central_Force(1,1,[0,.8,1,0],0.1,600,.05,1)
Euler_Central_Force(1,1,[0,.8,1,0],0.01,6000,.05,10)
Euler_Central_Force(1,1,[0,.8,1,0],0.0001,600000,.05,1000)
```

For the trapezoid rule, you'll probably want to modify `Euler_Central_Force.m` by replacing the line:

```
for i=1:N
    yvals(i+1,:) = yvals(i,:) + h * f( yvals(i,:) );
end
```

with something like:

```
for i=1:N
    Y = yvals(i,:) + h * f( yvals(i,:) );
    yvals(i+1,:) = yvals(i,:) + (h/2) * ( f( yvals(i,:) ) + f( Y ) );
end
```

However, you may likely be able to do a better job by writing your own code. Also, you can likely answer these questions without plotting anything; plotting just makes the answers easier to see.]

- (4) Consider the recurrence  $x_{n+2} - x_n = 0$ .
- (a) Write the general solution as  $x_n = c_1 r_1^n + c_2 r_2^n$  for some values of  $r_1, r_2$ .
  - (b) Given the initial conditions  $x_0 = 5$  and  $x_1 = 7$ , solve for  $c_1, c_2$ , and use these values to get a formula for  $x_n$  for any  $n$ .
  - (c) Given the initial conditions  $x_0 = 5$  and  $x_1 = 7$ , what are the values of  $x_9, x_{10}, x_{11}, x_{12}$ ? Was the above method of solving for  $c_1, c_2$  the quickest way to determine these values?

(d) Write the recurrence as  $\mathbf{y}_{n+1} = A\mathbf{y}_n$ , where

$$\mathbf{y}_n = \begin{bmatrix} x_{n+1} \\ x_n \end{bmatrix};$$

what is  $A$ ? What are the values of  $A^9, A^{10}, A^{11}, A^{12}$ ?

(5) Consider the recurrence  $x_{n+2} - 4x_{n+1} + 4x_n = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with  $x_0, x_1$  given (but arbitrary).

(a) Since the general solution of this recurrence (seen in class) is  $x_n = c_1 2^n + c_2 n 2^n$ , solve for  $c_0, c_1$  in terms of  $x_0, x_1$ . Use this to get a formula for  $x_n$  for given  $x_0, x_1$ .

(b) Write the recurrence as  $\mathbf{y}_{n+1} = A\mathbf{y}_n$ , where

$$\mathbf{y}_n = \begin{bmatrix} x_{n+1} \\ x_n \end{bmatrix};$$

what is  $A$ ? Using the fact that  $2I - A = N$  where  $N^2 = 0$  (the zero matrix), derive a formula for  $A^n$  for any  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Use this to derive a formula for  $x_n$  in terms of  $x_0, x_1$ .

(c) For a small but nonzero  $\epsilon$ , consider the recurrence

$$(\sigma - 2)(\sigma - 2 - \epsilon)(x_n) = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$x_{n+2} - (4 + \epsilon)x_{n+1} + (4 + 2\epsilon)x_n = 0.$$

We can write this as a recurrence  $\mathbf{y}_{n+1} = A(\epsilon)\mathbf{y}_n$ , where

$$\mathbf{y}_n = \begin{bmatrix} x_{n+1} \\ x_n \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 + \epsilon & -4 - 2\epsilon \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

From the general theory of recurrences, we know that  $A$  has an eigenvalue 2 with eigenvector  $[2; 1]$ , and an eigenvalue  $2 + \epsilon$  with eigenvector  $[2 + \epsilon; 1]$ , and hence

$$(5) \quad A(\epsilon) = S(\epsilon) \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 + \epsilon \end{bmatrix} (S(\epsilon))^{-1}, \quad \text{where } S = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 + \epsilon \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 + \epsilon \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

For any  $n$ , find

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (A(\epsilon))^n$$

using (5). Show that it agrees with the matrix in part (b). [Hint: the formula

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix},$$

shows that

$$(S(\epsilon))^{-1} = \frac{1}{-\epsilon} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 - \epsilon \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

it suffices to write

$$S(e) = M_1 + \epsilon M_2, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 + \epsilon \end{bmatrix}^n = M_3 + \epsilon M_4 + O(\epsilon^2), \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 - \epsilon \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = M_5 + \epsilon M_6,$$

and to consider the constant and order  $\epsilon$  terms of

$$(M_1 + \epsilon M_2)(M_3 + \epsilon M_4)(M_5 + \epsilon M_6)$$

]

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC  
V6T 1Z4, CANADA.

*E-mail address:* [jf@cs.ubc.ca](mailto:jf@cs.ubc.ca)

*URL:* <http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~jf>