

Final Practice, Solutions

(1) (a) False: Since $m_i x$ isn't generally 0, $m_i x$ depends on time.

(b) True; see HW 5, Problem 2

(c) True; true of — more generally — $y'' = f(y)$

(HW 2, Problem 4 (g))

(d) True; HW 6, Problem 3(c)

(e) False — you can scale any \vec{x} s.t.

$\|A \vec{x}\|_\infty = \|A\| \|\vec{x}\|_\infty$ and get another example

(e.g. if $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ works, then so does $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ a \end{bmatrix}$)

for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$)

(f) False — generally you have to solve

an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ system, which takes

roughly $O(n^3)$ flops.

[Theoretically one can do this in some

$O(n^{2.36})$ flops, but not in practice...]

(g) True; each $L_j(x)$ takes $O(n)$ flops,

So $\sum_{j=0}^n y_j L_j(x)$ takes $O(n^2)$ flops

(h) True! see [A&G], bottom page 308.

Idea! compute

(1) $f[x_i]$ $i=0, \dots, n$	(2) $f[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ $i=1, \dots, n$ as $\frac{f[x_i] - f[x_{i-1}]}{x_i - x_{i-1}}$	(3) $f[x_{i-2}, x_{i-1}, x_i]$ $i=2, \dots, n$ as $\frac{f[x_{i-1}, x_i] - f[x_{i-2}, x_{i-1}]}{x_i - x_{i-2}}$
---------------------------------	---	--

(4) etc. ..., until last stage (n+1)

We have $n+1$ stages, where j^{th} stage takes $O(n+2-j)$ flops.

(i) False! $f[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ is independent of the order.

(2) We have n polynomials of degree 3, for $4n$ parameters. Each polynomial

$$s_i(x) = a_i + b_i(x-x_i) + c_i(x-x_i)^2 + d_i(x-x_i)^3$$

has 2 given values (at $x=x_i$ and $x=x_{i+1}$), for $2n$ equations. Insisting on continuous

first and second derivative at x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}

gives $2(n-2)$ equations. Total number of

$$\text{equations} = 2n + 2(n-2) = 4n - 2.$$

3(a) Since $s_0'(x) = b_0 + c_0 2(x-x_0) + d_0 3(x-x_0)^2$,

we have $s_0'(x_0) = b_0$. Hence $b_0 = s_0'(x_0)$

$$= v(x_0) = f'(A); \text{ hence } b_0 = f'(A).$$

(b) $b_i = f(x_i, x_{i+1}) - \frac{h_i}{3} (2c_i + c_{i+1})$

implies

$$f'(A) = b_0 = f[x_0, x_1] - \frac{h}{3} (2c_0 + c_1)$$

Hence

$$2c_0 + c_1 = \frac{f[x_0, x_1] - f'(A)}{h/3}$$

(c) The $i=1$ instance of the equation

for \vec{c} in terms of $3f[x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}]$

plus the new equation gives

$$2c_0 + c_1 = \frac{f[x_0, x_1] - f'(A)}{h/3}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}c_0 + 2c_1 + \frac{1}{2}c_2 = 3f[x_0, x_1, x_2]$$

and the unchanged other equations:

$$\frac{1}{2}c_1 + 2c_2 + \frac{1}{2}c_3 = 3f[x_1, x_2, x_3]$$

$$\frac{1}{2}c_2 + 2c_3 + \frac{1}{2}c_4 = 3f[x_2, x_3, x_4]$$

⋮

⋮

$$f[x_0, \dots, x_3] = \frac{f^{(3)}(\xi)}{3!}$$

for some ξ in any interval containing x_0, \dots, x_3 . Since $x_i = i/3$, this interval can be as small as $[0, 1]$, i.e. $\xi \in [0, 1]$.

Since $f(x) = \sin(x)$, $f'''(x) = -\cos(x)$.

Hence

$$|f[x_0, \dots, x_3]| = \frac{|-\cos(\xi)|}{3!} \leq \frac{1}{3!} = \frac{1}{6}$$

(since we only know $\xi \in [0, 1]$, $\cos(\xi)$ can be as large as 1).

(5) The error in interpolation theorem says that

$$|f(x) - p_3(x)| \leq \left| \frac{f^{(4)}(\xi)}{4!} \right| \left| \prod_{i=0}^3 (x - x_i) \right|$$

So $|f^{(4)}(\xi)| = |\sin(\xi)|$ which is bounded by 1 (or, more carefully, since x and x_0, \dots, x_3 lie on $[0, 1]$) $|\sin(\xi)| \leq \sin(1)$ (which is < 1 since $1 \leq \pi/2$)

$$\text{Also: } \prod_{i=0}^3 (x-x_i) = \left(\frac{1}{2}-0\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{3}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}-1\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{-1}{6} \cdot \frac{-1}{2} = \frac{1}{144}$$

So

$$\left| \frac{f^{(4)}(\xi)}{4!} \right| \left| \prod_{i=0}^3 (x-x_i) \right| \leq \frac{\sin(1)}{24} \cdot \frac{1}{144}$$

$$\text{or just } \frac{1}{24} \cdot \frac{1}{144}$$

(6) (a) To compute the coefficients

$$c_0, \dots, c_3 \text{ with } p(x) = c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2 + c_3x^3$$

will give a Vandermonde matrix with condition number at least order $(10^n)^3$ (See HW 6, Prob 5). For $n=6$, this number is larger than $2^{53} \approx 10^{16}$, so we can't expect any precision from this calculation.

By contrast, the $L_j(x)$ involve products of $\frac{x-x_i}{x_j-x_i}$. Since x, x_i, x_j are numbers 10^{-n} times $0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, 1, \frac{1}{2}$, these numbers are normal. Hence we expect x, x_i, x_j to have absolute error in precision roughly $10^{-n} \cdot 10^{-16}$. Since $x-x_i, x_j-x_i$ are all close to 10^{-n} , we expect relative error roughly 10^{-16} .

Hence we expect $L_j(x)$ to be within $6 \cdot 10^{-16}$ of relative precision

(since each $L_j(x)$ is the product of 6 terms). So we expect Lagrange interpolation to be much more precise.

[The only exception would be if $p(10^{-n}(3/2))$ had some unexpected cancellation... To be 100% sure you'd have to compare $p(10^{-n}(3/2))$ to $\sin(i)$ for $i=0,1,2,3 \dots$]

(See HWS, Prob 5)

G(b) No: Use $p_n(x)$ to denote $p(x)$ for various values of $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$r(y) = p_n(10^{-n}y) - p_m(10^{-m}y)$$

has roots at $y = 0, 1/3, 2/3, 3/3$; since

$r(y)$ is of degree ≤ 3 , and $r(y)$

has at least 4 roots, $r(y) = 0$

(i.e. $r(y)$ is the zero polynomial).

Hence $p_n(10^{-n}y) = p_m(10^{-m}y)$ for

all y , and hence $p_n(10^{-n}(3/2)) = p_m(10^{-m}(3/2))$.

Compare with HW 7, Problem 3,

and Midterm, Question 4.

[Note: The midterm solutions show that

there are a number of ways of solving

this problem, such as with Lagrange Interpolation.]

(7)^(a) The divided difference formula gives

$$q(x) = p(x) + (x-x_1) \dots (x-x_n) f[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$$

where $f(x) = \sin(1/x)$. The flop count is:

flops to compute $f[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$: $O(n^2)$

" " " $q(y_i)$ for each i :

$O(n)$ to compute $p(y_i)$,

$O(n)$ " " $(y_i - x_1) \dots (y_i - x_n)$

$O(1)$ to multiply $(y_i - x_1) \dots (y_i - x_n)$ times
 $f[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$

So total of $O(n)$ flops per each $q(y_i)$

" " " $O(2n)$ for all $q(y_i)$, $i=1, \dots, 2$.

Hence total flops = $O(n^2) + O(2n)$

7(b) Each $L_j(y_i)$ requires you to:

$$f(x_j) \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{1}{x_j - x_k} \quad (\text{these can be reused over all } j)$$

$$\prod_{k \neq j} (y_i - x_k) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{n+1} (y_i - x_k)}{y_i - x_j} \quad \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{these can} \\ \text{be reused} \\ \text{over all} \\ k \end{array}$$

For a total of

$$O(n^2) \text{ flops for } f(x_j) \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{1}{x_j - x_k}$$

$$\text{per each } y_i \left\{ \begin{array}{l} O(n) \text{ flops for } L_1(y_i), \dots, L_{n+1}(y_i) \\ O(n) \text{ flops for } \sum_j \left(f(x_j) \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{1}{x_j - x_k} \right) L_j(y_i) \end{array} \right.$$

Total $O(n^2 + \ln)$

Remarks: See [A&G], page 305,

for a more complete discussion.

Note: (7a) can both be done with $O(n^2)$ operations: it suffices to compute the c_n such that

$$q(x) = p(x) + c_n(x-x_1)\dots(x-x_n).$$

You can find c_n as

$$c_n = \frac{q(x_{n+1}) - p(x_{n+1})}{(x_{n+1}-x_1)(x_{n+1}-x_2)\dots(x_{n+1}-x_n)},$$

Since you want $q(x_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{n+1}$, and

Since you can compute $p(x_{n+1})$. Since you can compute $p(x_{n+1})$ in $O(n)$ flops, and $(x_{n+1}-x_1)\dots(x_{n+1}-x_n)$ as well, you can find c_n in $O(n)$ flops instead of $O(n^2)$ flops.

Similarly, from Lagrange interpolation, you have

$$q(x) = p(x) + \frac{(x-x_1)\dots(x-x_n)}{(x_{n+1}-x_1)\dots(x_{n+1}-x_n)} \left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right), \text{ which}$$

also takes $O(n)$ flops.

(8) (a) Using $f(y) = ay$

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + h \frac{f(y_i) + f(y_{i+1})}{2}$$

becomes

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + h \frac{ay_i + ay_{i+1}}{2}$$

i.e.

$$y_{i+1} \left(1 - \frac{ah}{2}\right) = y_i \left(1 + \frac{ah}{2}\right)$$

So

$$y_{i+1} = y_i \frac{1 + ah/2}{1 - ah/2}$$

(b) If $a < 0$, then $1 - ah/2 > 1$

$$\frac{1 + ah/2}{1 - ah/2} < 1 \quad \text{iff}$$

$$1 + ah/2 < 1 - ah/2 \quad \text{iff} \quad ah < -ah$$

which is true, since $a < 0$.

$$\frac{1+ah/2}{1-ah/2} > -1 \text{ iff}$$

$$1+ah/2 > -1+ah/2 \text{ iff } 1 > -1$$

which is true. Hence

$$\frac{1+ah/2}{1-ah/2}$$

(C) It suffices to show that

$$\frac{1+ah/2}{1-ah/2} > 1$$

Since $ah < 2$, $ah/2 < 1$ so

$1-ah/2 > 0$. Hence we can multiply

both sides by $1-ah/2$ and

the inequality above is equivalent

to

$$1 + ah/2 > 1 - ah/2$$

so

$$ah/2 > -ah/2$$

which holds since $a, h > 0$.

(d) If $ah > 2$ then $ah/2 > 1$

so $1 - ah/2 < 0$. Hence

$$\frac{1 + ah/2}{1 - ah/2} < 0$$

and so the y_i alternate in signs (since $y_0 = 1$, so $y_0 \neq 0$).

The true values of $y(0), y(h), y(2h), \dots$

with $y_0 = 1$ are

$$y(ih) = e^{a(ih)} = e^{iah}$$

which are always positive.

Q (a)

$$\frac{y(t+h) - y(t)}{h}$$

$$= \frac{\left(y(t) + hy'(t) + \frac{h^2}{2} y''(t) + O(h^3) \right) - y(t)}{h}$$

$$= \frac{hy'(t) + (h^2/2) y''(t) + O(h^3)}{h}$$

$$= y'(t) + \frac{h}{2} y''(t) + O(h^2).$$

Also

$$\frac{y'(t+h) + y'(t)}{2} = \frac{y'(t) + hy''(t) + O(h^2) + y'(t)}{2}$$

$$= y'(t) + \frac{h}{2} y''(t) + O(h^2).$$

Hence both sides = $y'(t) + \frac{h}{2} y''(t) + O(h^2)$.

(b) By (a),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{y(t+h) - y(t)}{h} &= \frac{y'(t+h) + y'(t)}{2} + O(h^2) \\ &= \frac{f(y(t+h)) + f(y(t))}{2} + O(h^2) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$y(t+h) - y(t) = \frac{h}{2} (f(y(t+h)) + f(y(t))) + O(h^3)$$

and adding $y(t)$ to both sides yields

$$y(t+h) = y(t) + \frac{h}{2} (f(y(t+h)) + f(y(t))) + O(h^3)$$

(c) Because of the $O(h^3)$ term

above, the method (1) is accurate

to second order.