

Multiple Regression - Extra Sums of Squares

- Big Pic: Model selection, F-test for inclusion, "opinion" enters

Basic Idea: 2 views

1) An extra sum of squares measures the marginal reduction in the error sum of squares when var's are added to the model

2) Equivalently, an extra sum of squares measures the marginal increase in the regression sum of squares

Example: Female body fat amt vs. several predictor vars
 x_1 thigh circumference, x_3 midarm circ., x_2 thigh circ.

Consider 3 different regression models ← model selection

1)	Y regressed on X_1 alone	$\hat{Y} = -1.496 + .8572 X_1$	note intercept does this make sense?
SSR	352.27	1	MS 352.27
SSE	143.12	18	7.95
$SSTO$	495.39	19	$(\Rightarrow n=20)$

Var	Est reg. coeff	Est. Std.	t^*
X_1	$b_1 = .8572$	$s\{b_1\} = .1288$	6.66

2)	Y regressed on X_2	$\hat{Y} = -23.634 + .8565 X_2$	
SSR	381.97	1	381.97
SSE	113.42	18	6.30
$SSTO$	495.39	19	

Var			
X_2	$b_2 = .8565$	$s\{b_2\} = .1100$	7.79

3)

Regress. of Y on X_1 & X_2

$$\hat{Y} = -19.174 + .2224 X_1 + .6594 X_2$$

	df	MS
SSR	2	192.72
SSE	17	6.47
SSTO	19	
- Var		
X_1	$b_1 = .2224$	$s\{b_1\} = .3034$
X_2	$b_2 = .6594$	$s\{b_2\} = .2912$

4)

Regress. of Y on X_1 , X_2 & X_3

$$\hat{Y} = 117.08 + 4.334 X_1 - 2.857 X_2 - 2.186 X_3$$

	df	t*
SSR	3	
SSE	16	
SSTO	19	
- Var		
X_1	$b_1 = 4.334$	$s\{b_1\} = 3.016$
X_2	$b_2 = -2.857$	$s\{b_2\} = 2.582$
X_3	$b_3 = -2.186$	$s\{b_3\} = 1.596$

Remember $t^* = \frac{b}{s\{b_i\}}$

Notice: when X_1 & X_2 are included, $SSE(X, X_2) = 109.95$
 which is less than $SSE(X_1) = 143.12$ and
 $SSE(X_2) = 113.42$. This difference is an

extra sum of squares

decrease in error \rightarrow $SSR(X_2 | X_1) = SSE(X_1) - SSE(X, X_2)$
 $= 143.12 - 109.95 = 33.17$

Equivalently

$SSR(X_2 | X_1) = SSR(X, X_2) - SSR(\cancel{X})$
 $\rightarrow = 385.44 - 352.27 = 33.17$

increase in regression sum of squares

$$x' x b = x' y \\ b = (x' x)^{-1} x' y$$

$$\begin{aligned} SS_{T0} &= \Upsilon' \left(I - \frac{1}{n} J \right) \Upsilon \\ SS_E &= \Upsilon' (I - H) \Upsilon \\ SS_R &= \Upsilon' (H - \frac{1}{n} J) \Upsilon \end{aligned}$$

$\overbrace{SSE(x_1)}$

$$\begin{aligned} SST_0 &= \cancel{SSB(x_1, x_2, x_3)} + \cancel{SSE(x_1, x_2, x_3)} \\ &= \cancel{SSR} \\ &= SSR(x_1) + SSR(x_2 | x_1) + SSR(x_3 | x_1, x_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$SS_{\text{res}} = \gamma' \left(H_1 - \frac{1}{n} J \right) \gamma + \gamma' \left(H_{2,1} \right) \gamma + \gamma' \left(H_{3,1,2} \right) \gamma \\ \rightarrow \gamma' \left(H_d |_{1,2,-1} \right) \gamma + \gamma' \left(H - \frac{1}{n} J \right) \gamma$$

If $(H_1 + H_2)_{11} + H_3|_{1,2} + H_d|_{(d-1)} = H$
 and ranks sum then were golden

$$H_1 = \overset{\curvearrowleft}{x_1} (x_1' x_1) x_1' + x_2 (x_2' x_2) x_2'$$

$$\text{if } x_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp x_2 \text{ then } H = H_1 + H_{21}$$

sketch

$$P^{-1} = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}}{x_2' x_1}$$

if diag's are zero then

$$H = \left(\frac{1}{x_1}x_1\right)x_1x_1' + \left(\frac{1}{x_2}x_2\right)x_2x_2' = H_1 + H_{x_1}$$

Row decomposition, obviously

$SSTO = \uparrow SSR + SSE \downarrow$
 any reduction in ~~SSE~~ must be
 accompanied by an increase in SSR , ($SSTO$ is fixed)

It can also be seen that

$$SSR(x_3 | x_1, x_2) = SSE(x_1, x_2) - SSE(x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

and equivalently

$$= 109.95 - 98.41 = 11.54$$

$$SSR(x_3 | x_1, x_2) = SSR(x_1, x_2, x_3) - SSR(x_1, x_2)$$

$$= 396.98 - 385.44 = 11.54$$

We can also consider adding multiple variables at once i.e.

$$SSR(x_2, x_3 | x_1) = SSE(x_1) - SSE(x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

and equivalently

$$= 143.12 - 98.41 = 44.71$$

$$SSR(x_2, x_3 | x_1) = SSR(x_1, x_2, x_3) - SSR(x_1)$$

$$= 396.98 - 352.27 = 44.71$$

Def's

$$\begin{aligned} SSR(x_1 | x_2) &= SSE(x_2) - SSE(x_1, x_2) \\ \text{equivalently} \quad SSR(x_1 | x_2) &= SSR(x_1, x_2) - SSR(x_2) \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{note,} \\ \text{opposite} \\ \text{order} \\ \text{of} \\ \text{args} \end{array} \right\}$$

Conversely

$$\begin{aligned} SSR(x_2 | x_1) &= SSE(x_1) - SSE(x_1, x_2) \\ \text{and} \quad SSR(x_2 | x_1) &= SSR(x_1, x_2) - SSR(x_1) \end{aligned}$$

3-var & more extensive straight forward

$$\begin{aligned} SSR(x_3 | x_1, x_2) &= SSE(x_1, x_2) - SSE(x_1, x_2, x_3) \\ \hookrightarrow \quad SSR(x_3 | x_1, x_2) &= SSR(x_1, x_2, x_3) - SSR(x_1, x_2) \end{aligned}$$

Decomposition of SSR into Extra Sums of Squares

Think - went error with all vars included, but would like to know impact of adding vars to regression model.

To start, consider

$$SSTO = SSR(x_1) + SSE(x_1)$$

remember

$$SSE(x_1) = SSE(x_1, x_2) + SSR(x_2|x_1)$$

substituting

$$SSTO = SSR(x_1) + SSR(x_2|x_1) + SSE(x_1, x_2)$$

and so on and so forth, i.e.

$$SSR(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) = SSR(x_1) + SSR(x_2|x_1) + \dots + SSR(x_d|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{d-1})$$

note - the order of this decomposition is arbitrary (how many? d!)

ANOVA

Anova tables can be constructed for these decompositions, i.e.

Sources of Var.	SS	df	MS
Reg.	$SSR(x_1, x_2, x_3)$	3	$MSR(x_1, x_2, x_3)$
x_1	$SSR(x_1)$	1	$MSR(x_1)$
$x_2 x_1$	$SSR(x_2 x_1)$	1	$MSR(x_2 x_1)$
$x_3 x_1, x_2$	$SSR(x_3 x_1, x_2)$	1	$MSR(x_3 x_1, x_2)$
Error	$SSE(x_1, x_2, x_3)$	$n-4$	$MSE(x_1, x_2, x_3)$
Total	$SSTO$	$n-1$	



The order of these vars is arbitrary.

What use? Tests.

Test whether a single $\beta_k = 0$

To test whether $\beta_k X_k$ can be dropped from a multiple regression model we are interested in

$$H_0: \beta_k = 0$$

$$H_a: \beta_k \neq 0$$

The test stat for this is

$$t^* = \frac{b_k}{s\{b_k\}}$$

this is one way.

- F-test way

Consider full model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \beta_3 X_{i3} + \varepsilon_i$$

and testing the alternatives

$$H_0: \beta_3 = 0$$

$$H_a: \beta_3 \neq 0$$

Recipe: fit full model and compute SSE , etc

$$SSE(F) = SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)$$

if in full model SSE is $n-4$

Reduced Model - when H_0 holds is

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \varepsilon_i \quad (\text{reduced model})$$

$$SSE(R) = SSE(X_1, X_2)$$

if in reduced model is $n-3$

The general linear test stat is

$$F^* = \frac{\frac{SSE(R) - SSE(F)}{df_R - df_F}}{\frac{SSE(F)}{df_F}}$$

here is

$$\frac{\frac{SSE(X_1, X_2) - SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)}{(n-3) - (n-4)}}{\frac{SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)}{n-4}}$$

$$\text{But } \overline{\text{SSE}}(x_1, x_2) - \overline{\text{SSE}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \text{SSR}(x_3 | x_1, x_2)$$

i.e.

$$F^* = \frac{\text{SSR}(x_3 | x_1, x_2)}{1} / \frac{\overline{\text{SSE}}(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{n-4}$$

$$= \frac{\text{MSR}(x_3 | x_1, x_2)}{\text{MSE}(x_1, x_2, x_3)}$$

So ANOVA table with extra-sums of squares can be used to do model selection efficiently.

Similar technique(s) can be used to test whether several $p_k = 0$

Review tests in 7.3

Multicollinearity Comments

- 1) Correlated predictor variables do not inhibit getting a good model fit nor prediction.
- 2) Correlated predictor vars lead to large sampling intervals for the estimated regression coeffs.

Individual predictors might be deemed statistically insignificant even though there is a relationship

- 3) Interpretation gets difficult: if predictors are multicollinear then the interpretation of linear rate of change of output given fixed other covariates no longer valid.