Gauss Markov Theorem

Dr. Frank Wood

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Digression : Gauss-Markov Theorem

In a regression model where $E\{\epsilon_i\} = 0$ and variance $\sigma^2\{\epsilon_i\} = \sigma^2 < \infty$ and ϵ_i and ϵ_j are uncorrelated for all *i* and *j* the least squares estimators b_0 and b_1 are unbiased and have minimum variance among all unbiased linear estimators.

Remember

$$b_1 = \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2} = \sum k_i Y_i , \ k_i = \frac{(X_i - \bar{X})}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$$

$$b_0 = \bar{Y} - b_1 \bar{X}$$

$$\sigma^{2}\{b_{1}\} = \sigma^{2}\{\sum k_{i}Y_{i}\} = \sum k_{i}^{2}\sigma^{2}\{Y_{i}\}$$
$$= \sigma^{2}\frac{1}{\sum (X_{i}-\bar{X})^{2}}$$

Gauss-Markov Theorem

The theorem states that b₁ has minimum variance among all unbiased linear estimators of the form

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \sum c_i Y_i$$

As this estimator must be unbiased we have

$$E\{\hat{\beta}_1\} = \sum c_i E\{Y_i\} = \beta_1$$

= $\sum c_i(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i) = \beta_0 \sum c_i + \beta_1 \sum c_i X_i = \beta_1$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

This imposes some restrictions on the c_i's.

Proof

Given these constraints

$$\beta_0 \sum c_i + \beta_1 \sum c_i X_i = \beta_1$$

clearly it must be the case that $\sum c_i = 0$ and $\sum c_i X_i = 1$ The variance of this estimator is

$$\sigma^2\{\hat{\beta}_1\} = \sum c_i^2 \sigma^2\{Y_i\} = \sigma^2 \sum c_i^2$$

This also places a kind of constraint on the c_i's

Proof cont.

Now define $c_i = k_i + d_i$ where the k_i are the constants we already defined and the d_i are arbitrary constants. Let's look at the variance of the estimator

$$\sigma^{2}\{\hat{\beta}_{1}\} = \sum_{i} c_{i}^{2} \sigma^{2}\{Y_{i}\} = \sigma^{2} \sum_{i} (k_{i} + d_{i})^{2}$$
$$= \sigma^{2} (\sum_{i} k_{i}^{2} + \sum_{i} d_{i}^{2} + 2\sum_{i} k_{i} d_{i})$$

Note we just demonstrated that

$$\sigma^2 \sum k_i^2 = \sigma^2 \{b_1\}$$

So $\sigma^2\{\hat{\beta}_1\}$ is related to $\sigma^2\{b_1\}$ plus some extra stuff.

Proof cont.

Now by showing that $\sum k_i d_i = 0$ we're almost done

$$\sum k_{i}d_{i} = \sum k_{i}(c_{i} - k_{i})$$

$$= \sum k_{i}(c_{i} - k_{i})$$

$$= \sum k_{i}c_{i} - \sum k_{i}^{2}$$

$$= \sum c_{i}\left(\frac{X_{i} - \bar{X}}{\sum(X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2}}\right) - \frac{1}{\sum(X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\sum c_{i}X_{i} - \bar{X}\sum c_{i}}{\sum(X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2}} - \frac{1}{\sum(X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2}} = 0$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Proof end

So we are left with

$$\sigma^2\{\hat{\beta}_1\} = \sigma^2(\sum k_i^2 + \sum d_i^2)$$
$$= \sigma^2(b_1) + \sigma^2(\sum d_i^2)$$

which is minimized when the $d_i = 0 \forall i$.

If
$$d_i = 0$$
 then $c_i = k_i$.

This means that the least squares estimator b_1 has minimum variance among all unbiased linear estimators.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ