



Vickrey-Clarke-Groves Mechanisms: Definitions

Game Theory Course: Jackson, Leyton-Brown & Shoham

Game Theory Course: Jackson, Leyton-Brown & Shoham

A positive result

- Bayesian Normal-form accions Bayesian Normal-form accions the control of the common restance of the common restanc
- Recall that in the quasilinear utility setting, a direct mechanism consists of a choice rule and a payment rule.
- A VCG mechanism:
 - has truth as a dominant strategy (satisfies truthfulness, is strategy-proof)
 - makes efficient choices (not including payments)

A positive result



- Recall that in the quasilinear utility setting, a direct mechanism consists of a choice rule and a payment rule.
- A VCG mechanism:
 - has truth as a dominant strategy (satisfies truthfulness, is strategy-proof)
 - makes efficient choices (not including payments)
- And, under additional assumptions about the setting, can satisfy:
 - weak budget balance
 - *interim* individual rationality

Groves Mechanisms



Some people refer to these as VCG mechanisms, although that name has more recently started to be used to refer to a specific mechanism within this class.



The Vickrey-Clarke-Groves Mechanism

Definition (A Vickrey-Clarke-Groves (VCG) mechanism, a.k.a. a Pivotal mechanism)

A Vickrey-Clarke-Groves mechanism or a pivotal mechanism is a Groves mechanism (χ, p) , such that

$$\chi(\hat{v}) \in \arg\max_{x} \sum_{i} \hat{v}_{i}(x)$$
$$p_{i}(\hat{v}) = \max_{x} \sum_{j \neq i} \hat{v}_{j}(x) - \sum_{j \neq i} \hat{v}_{j}(\chi(\hat{v}))$$

Game Theory Course: Jackson, Leyton-Brown & Shoham

$$oldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v}) \in rg\max_{x} \sum_{i} \hat{v}_{i}(x)
onumber \ p_{i}(\hat{v}) = \max_{x} \sum_{j \neq i} \hat{v}_{j}(x) - \sum_{j \neq i} \hat{v}_{j}(oldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v}))$$

- You get paid everyone's utility under the allocation that is actually chosen
 - except your own, but you get that directly as utility
- Then you get charged everyone's utility in the world where you don't participate
- Thus you pay your social cost

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v}) &= rg\max_x \sum_i \hat{v}_i(x) \ p_i(\hat{v}) &= \sum_{j
eq i} \hat{v}_j \left(oldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v}_{-i})
ight) - \sum_{j
eq i} \hat{v}_j(oldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v})) \end{aligned}$$



Questions:

• who pays 0?

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v}) &= rg\max_x \sum_i \hat{v}_i(x) \ p_i(\hat{v}) &= \sum_{j
eq i} \hat{v}_j \left(oldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v}_{-i})
ight) - \sum_{j
eq i} \hat{v}_j(oldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v})) \end{aligned}$$



- who pays 0?
 - · agents who don't affect the outcome

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v}) &= rg\max_x \sum_i \hat{v}_i(x) \ p_i(\hat{v}) &= \sum_{j
eq i} \hat{v}_j \left(oldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v}_{-i})
ight) - \sum_{j
eq i} \hat{v}_j(oldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v})) \end{aligned}$$



- who pays 0?
 - · agents who don't affect the outcome
- who pays more than 0?

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v}) &= rg\max_x \sum_i \hat{v}_i(x) \ p_i(\hat{v}) &= \sum_{j
eq i} \hat{v}_j \left(oldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v}_{-i})
ight) - \sum_{j
eq i} \hat{v}_j(oldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v})) \end{aligned}$$



- who pays 0?
 - agents who don't affect the outcome
- who pays more than 0?
 - (pivotal) agents who make things worse for others by existing

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v}) &= rg\max_x \sum_i \hat{v}_i(x) \ p_i(\hat{v}) &= \sum_{j
eq i} \hat{v}_j \left(oldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v}_{-i})
ight) - \sum_{j
eq i} \hat{v}_j(oldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v})) \end{aligned}$$



- who pays 0?
 - agents who don't affect the outcome
- who pays more than 0?
 - (pivotal) agents who make things worse for others by existing
- who gets paid?

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v}) &= rg\max_x \sum_i \hat{v}_i(x) \ egin{aligned} p_i(\hat{v}) &= \sum_{j
eq i} \hat{v}_j \left(oldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v}_{-i})
ight) - \sum_{j
eq i} \hat{v}_j(oldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v})) \end{aligned}$$



- who pays 0?
 - agents who don't affect the outcome
- who pays more than 0?
 - (pivotal) agents who make things worse for others by existing
- who gets paid?
 - (pivotal) agents who make things better for others by existing

VCG and Groves Mechanisms: Truthfulness

Theorem

Truth telling is a dominant strategy under any Groves mechanism including the pivotal mechanism (a VCG mechanism).

Consider agent i's problem of choosing the best strategy $\hat{v}_i.$ A best strategy for i is solves

$$\max_{\hat{v}_i} \left(v_i(\boldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v}_i, \hat{v}_{-i})) - \boldsymbol{p}(\hat{v}_i, \hat{v}_{-i}) \right)$$

Substituting in the payment function for a Groves mechanism this becomes:

$$\max_{\hat{v}_i} \left(v_i(\boldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v})) - h_i(\hat{v}_{-i}) + \sum_{j \neq i} \hat{v}_j(\boldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v})) \right)$$

Since $h_i\left(\hat{v}_{-i}
ight)$ does not depend on \hat{v}_i , it is sufficient to solve

$$\max_{\hat{v}_i} \left(v_i(\boldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v})) + \sum_{j \neq i} \hat{v}_j(\boldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v})) \right).$$

Game Theory Course: Jackson, Leyton-Brown & Shoham



VCG Truthfulness

So, i would like to pick a declaration \hat{v}_i that will lead the mechanism to pick an $x \in X$ which solves

$$\max_{x} \left(v_i(x) + \sum_{j \neq i} \hat{v}_j(x) \right).$$



(1)

Under a Groves mechanism,

$$\boldsymbol{\chi}(\hat{v}) \in \arg\max_{x} \left(\hat{v}_i(x) + \sum_{j \neq i} \hat{v}_j(x) \right).$$

A Groves mechanism will choose x in a way that solves the maximization problem in Equation (1) when $\hat{v}_i = v_i$. Thus, truth-telling is a dominant strategy for agent i.

Groves Uniqueness

Theorem (Green–Laffont)

Suppose that for all agents any $v_i : X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a feasible preference. Then an "efficient" mechanism (χ, p) (such that $\chi(\hat{v}) \in \arg \max_x \sum_i \hat{v}_i(x)$) has truthful reporting as a dominant strategy for all agents and preferences only if it is Groves mechanism: $p_i(v) = h(v_{-i}) - \sum_{j \neq i} v_j(\chi(v))$.

A proof can be found at http://www.stanford.edu/~jacksonm/mechtheo.pdf Bayesian Neme Series and Series a





- Groves mechanisms, and VCG mechanisms in particular, have nice dominant strategy properties
- Agents' payments include the impact of their announcements on other agents
- Internalize the externalities and lead to efficient decisions (x's)
- But may burn payments to do so!