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Example

- Back to the grid world, what is the belief state after agent performs action *left* in the initial situation?

- The agent has no information about its position
  - Only one fictitious observation: *no observation*
  - \( P(\text{no observation} \mid s) = 1 \) for every \( s \)

- Let’s instantiate
  \[
  b'(s') = \alpha P(e \mid s') \sum_s P(s' \mid a, s) b(s)
  \]

  \[
  b'(1,1) = \alpha \left[ P((1,1) \mid (1,1), \text{left}) b(1,1) + P((1,1) \mid (1,2), \text{left}) b(1,2) + P((1,1) \mid (2,1), \text{left}) b(2,1) \right]
  \]

  \[
  b'(1,2) = \alpha \left[ P((1,2) \mid (1,1), \text{left}) b(1,1) + P((1,2) \mid (1,2), \text{left}) b(1,2) + P((1,2) \mid (1,3), \text{left}) b(1,3) \right]
  \]

- Do the above for every state to get the new belief state

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
After five *Left* actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0.111</th>
<th>0.111</th>
<th>0.111</th>
<th>0.000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0.300</th>
<th>0.010</th>
<th>0.008</th>
<th>0.000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: The tiny but not 0.*
Belief State and its Update

\[
b'(s') = \alpha P(e|s') \sum_s P(s'|s,a) b(s)
\]

Let's assume perfect sensor

\[
b(s)
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
b'(s') &= \alpha P(e|s') \sum_s P(s'|s,a) b(s) \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c}
\hline
   & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\hline
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

(column, row)
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Belief Update: Example 1

➢ The sensor that perceives the number of adjacent walls in a location has a 0.1 probability of error

• \( P(2w|s) = 0.9 \); \( P(1w|s) = 0.1 \) if \( s \) is non-terminal and not in third column

• \( P(1w|s) = 0.9 \); \( P(2w|s) = 0.1 \) if \( s \) is non-terminal and in third column

➢ Try to compute the new belief state if agent moves left and then perceives 1 adjacent wall

\[
b'(s') = \alpha \sum_s P(s'|a,s) b(s)
\]

\[
b'(1,1) = \alpha \ X \left[ P((1,1)|(1,1),\text{left}) b(1,1) + P((1,1)|(1,2),\text{left}) b(1,2) + P((1,1)|(2,1),\text{left}) b(2,1) \right]
\]

\( X \) should be equal to?

{A. 0.1, B. 0.2, C. 0.9}
Belief Update: Example 2

Let’s introduce a sensor that perceives the number of adjacent walls in a location with a 0.1 probability of error

- $P(2w|s) = 0.9$; $P(1w|s) = 0.1$ if $s$ is non-terminal and not in third column
- $P(1w|s) = 0.9$; $P(2w|s) = 0.1$ if $s$ is non-terminal and in third column

Try to compute the new belief state if agent moves right and then perceives 2 adjacent wall

$$b'(s') = \alpha \; P(e \mid s') \sum_{s} P(s' \mid a, s)b(s)$$

$$b'(1,2) = \alpha \; P(2w \mid (1,2)) \times$$

$$\left[ P((1,2) \mid (1,1), right)b(1,1) + P((1,2) \mid (1,2), right)b(1,2) + P((1,2) \mid (1,3), right)b(1,3) \right]$$
Belief State and its Update

\[ b(s) \]

\[ b'(s') = \alpha P(e \mid s') \sum_s P(s' \mid s, a)b(s) \]

as

\[ b' = Forward(b, a, e) \]

➢ To summarize: when the agent performs action \( a \) in belief state \( b \), and then receives observation \( e \), filtering gives a unique new probability distribution over state

• deterministic transition from one belief state to another
Theorem (Astrom, 1965):

- The optimal policy in a POMDP is a function $\pi^*(b)$ where $b$ is the belief state (probability distribution over states)

That is, $\pi^*(b)$ is a function from belief states (probability distributions) to actions

- It does not depend on the actual state the agent is in
- Good, because the agent does not know that, all it knows are its beliefs!

Decision Cycle for a POMDP agent

- Given current belief state $b$, execute $a = \pi^*(b)$
- Receive observation $e$
- compute: $b'(s') = \alpha P(e \mid s') \sum_s P(s' \mid s, a) b(s)$
- Repeat
How to Find an Optimal Policy?

➢ Turn a POMDP into a corresponding MDP and then solve that MDP

➢ Generalize VI to work on POMDPs

➢ Develop Approx. Methods

➢ Point-Based VI

➢ Look Ahead
Finding the Optimal Policy: State of the Art

- Turn a POMDP into a corresponding MDP and then apply VI: only small models
- Generalize VI to work on POMDPs
  - 10 states in 1998
  - 200,000 states in 2008-09
- Develop Approx. Methods 2009 - now
  - Point-Based VI and Look Ahead
  - Even 50,000,000 states
Recent Method: Point-based Value Iteration (not required)

• Find a solution for a sub-set of all states
• Not all states are necessarily reachable
• Generalize the solution to all states
• Methods include: PERSEUS, PBVI, and HSVI and other similar approaches (FSVI, PEGASUS)
Dynamic Decision Networks (DDN)

➢ Comprehensive approach to agent design in partially observable, stochastic environments

➢ Basic elements of the approach

• Transition and observation models are represented via a Dynamic Bayesian Network (DBN).

• The network is extended with decision and utility nodes, as done in decision networks.
Dynamic Decision Networks (DDN)

- A filtering algorithm is used to incorporate each new percept and the action to update the belief state $X_t$

- Decisions are made by projecting forward possible action sequences and choosing the best one: **look ahead search**
Nodes in yellow are known (evidence collected, decisions made, local rewards)

Agent needs to make a decision at time $t$ ($A_t$ node)

Network unrolled into the future for 3 steps

Node $U_{t+3}$ represents the utility (or expected optimal reward $V^*$) in state $X_{t+3}$

- i.e., the reward in that state and all subsequent rewards
- Available only in approximate form (from another approx. method)
Look Ahead Search for Optimal Policy

General Idea:

- Expand the decision process for $n$ steps into the future, that is
  - “Try” all actions at every decision point
  - Assume receiving all possible observations at observation points

- Result: tree of depth $2n+1$ where
  - every branch represents one of the possible sequences of $n$ actions and $n$ observations available to the agent, and the corresponding belief states
  - The leaf at the end of each branch corresponds to the belief state reachable via that sequence of actions and observations – use filtering/belief-update to compute it

- “Back Up” the utility values of the leaf nodes along their corresponding branches, combining it with the rewards along that path

- Pick the branch with the highest expected value
Look Ahead Search for Optimal Policy

Decision $A_t$ in $P(X_t|E_1:t A_{1:t-1})$

Observation $E_{t+1}$

$A_{t+1}$ in $P(X_{t+1}|E_{1:t+1} A_{1:t})$

$|E_{t+2}$

$A_{t+2}$ in $P(X_{t+2}|E_{1:t+2} A_{1:t+1})$

$|E_{t+3}$

$P(X_{t+3}|E_{1:t+3} A_{1:t+2})$

These are chance nodes, describing the probability of each observation

Belief states are computed via any filtering algorithm, given the sequence of actions and observations up to that point

To back up the utilities
- take average at chance points
- Take max at decision points
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on whiteboard

\[ b(x,t) = \begin{cases} 0.5 & \text{if } x_1 = x_2 \\ 0.5 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]

\[
\begin{align*}
U(x_2) &= 1 \\
U(x_0) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

Also consider \( p(e_i | x,t) \).

At the beginning:

- \( P_{t+1} \)
- \( A_{t-1} \)
- \( R_t \)
- \( A_t \)

- \( S_{t-1} \)
- \( S_t \)
- \( S_{t+1} \)

From others:
- Source 1: \( x_2 \)
- Source 2: \( x_2 \)

Approx method:
- Off-line
- \( \theta \)
A. $a_1$

B. $a_2$

C. indifferent

Best action at time $t$?
\( X^2 x_1 x_2 \)

\( E e_1 e_2 \)

\( A a_1 a_2 \)

\[ U(x) = \begin{cases} 
0.8 & x_1 = 1, x_2 = 0 \\
0.2 & x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1 
\end{cases} \]

\[ V(x) = \begin{cases} 
0.1 & x_1 = 1, x_2 = 0 \\
0.9 & x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1 
\end{cases} \]

\[ b(x_t) = 0.52 \]

\( \text{select } a_1 \)

\( \text{MAX } a_1, a_2 \)

\( e_1 = 0.6 \)

\( e_2 = 0.4 \)

\( e_1 = 0.5 \)

\( e_2 = 0.5 \)

\( U = 1 \)

\( U = 0 \)

\( x_1 x_2 \)

\( x_1 x_2 \)
Look Ahead Search for Optimal Policy

What is the time complexity for exhaustive search at depth $d$, with $|A|$ available actions and $|E|$ possible observations?

- A. $O(d \cdot |A| \cdot |E|)$
- B. $O(|A|^d \cdot |E|^d)$
- C. $O(|A|^d \cdot |E|)$

Would Look ahead work better when the discount factor is?

- A. Close to 1
- B. Not too close to 1
Some Applications of POMDPs……

➢ Jesse Hoey, Tobias Schröder, Areej Alhothali (2015), Affect control processes: Intelligent affective interaction using a POMDP, AI Journal


The partially observable Markov decision process (POMDP) provides a principled general framework for planning under uncertainty, but solving POMDPs optimally is computationally intractable, due to the "curse of dimensionality" and the "curse of history". To overcome these challenges, we introduce the Determinized Sparse Partially Observable Tree (DESPOT), a sparse approximation of the standard belief tree, for online planning under uncertainty. A DESPOT focuses online planning on a set of randomly sampled scenarios and compactly captures the "execution" of all policies under these scenarios. We show that the best policy obtained from a DESPOT is near-optimal, with a regret bound that depends on the representation size of the optimal policy. Leveraging this result, we give an anytime online planning algorithm, which searches a DESPOT for a policy that optimizes a regularized objective function. Regularization balances the estimated value of a policy under the sampled scenarios and the policy size, thus avoiding overfitting. The algorithm demonstrates strong experimental results, compared with some of the best online POMDP algorithms available. It has also been incorporated into an autonomous driving system for real-time vehicle control. The source code for the algorithm is available online.
Another “famous” Application

Learning and Using POMDP models of Patient-Caregiver Interactions During Activities of Daily Living

**Goal:** Help Older adults living with cognitive disabilities (such as Alzheimer's) when they:

- **forget the proper sequence of tasks** that need to be completed
- **they lose track of the steps** that they have already completed.

Source: Jesse Hoey

*UofT 2007*
422 big picture

**Deterministic**
- Logics
  - First Order Logics
- Ontologies
  - Full Resolution
  - SAT

**Stochastic**
- Belief Nets
  - Approx. : Gibbs
- Markov Chains and HMMs
  - Forward, Viterbi….
  - Approx. : Particle Filtering
- Undirected Graphical Models
  - Markov Networks
  - Conditional Random Fields
- Markov Decision Processes and
  - Partially Observable MDP
  - Value Iteration
  - Approx. Inference

**Applications of AI**

**StarAI** (statistical relational AI)
- Hybrid: Det + Sto
  - Prob CFG
  - Parsing
  - Prob Relational Models
  - Markov Logics

**Representation**
- Reasoning Technique
Learning Goals for today’s class

You can:

• Define a **Policy** for a POMDP

• Describe space of possible methods for computing optimal policy for a given POMDP

• Define and trace Look Ahead Search for finding an (approximate) Optimal Policy

• Compute Complexity of Look Ahead Search
TODO for Wed

• Read textbook 11.3 (Reinforcement Learning)
  • 11.3.1 Evolutionary Algorithms
  • 11.3.2 Temporal Differences
  • 11.3.3 Q-learning

• Assignment 1 has been posted on Canvas today (due Fri 27 3:30PM)
  • VInfo and VControl
  • MDPs (Value Iteration)
  • POMDPs