Intelligent Systems (AI-2)

Computer Science cpsc422, Lecture 10

Sep, 25, 2019

Lecture Overview

Finish Reinforcement learning

- Exploration vs. Exploitation
- On-policy Learning (SARSA)
- Scalability





What Does Q-Learning learn

Q-learning does not explicitly tell the agent what to do....

Given the Q-function the agent can.....

... either exploit it or explore more....

ny effective strategy should be greedy in the limit of infinite apploration (GLIE)

- Try each action an unbounded number of times
- Choose the predicted best action in the limit
- We will look at two exploration strategies
- ε-greedy
- soft-max

ε-greedy

- Choose a random action with probability ε and choose best action with probability 1- ε
 - P(rondom action) = E P(best action) = 1-E
- First GLIE condition (try every action an unbounded number of times) is satisfied via the ε random selection
- What about second condition?
 - Select predicted best action in the limit.
- reduce ε overtime!

- close to ochon Soft-Max #ot actions UNIFORM each action selected with prob #ot actions DISTRIB. Takes into account improvement in estimates of expected \triangleright reward function Q[s,a]
 - Choose action **a** in state **s** with a probability proportional to current $\frac{e^{Q[s,a]}}{e^{Q[s,a]}} \xrightarrow{\text{or controlled veter}} e^{Q[s,a]/\tau}$

 $e^{Q[s,a]/\tau}$

Q[s,a]

5V

- $\succ \tau$ (tau) in the formula above influences how randomly actions should be chosen
 - if t is high, the exponentials approach 1, the fraction approaches 1/(number of actions), and each action has approximately the same probability of being chosen (exploration or exploitation?)
 - as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, the exponential with the highest Q[s,a] dominates, and the current best action is always chosen (exploration or exploitation?)



Soft-Max

- When in state s, Takes into account improvement in estimates of expected reward function Q[s,a] for all the actions
 - Choose action a in state s with a probability proportional to current estimate of Q[s,a]

$$\frac{e^{Q[s,a]}}{\sum_{a} e^{Q[s,a]}} \qquad \qquad \frac{e^{Q[s,a]/\tau}}{\sum_{a} e^{Q[s,a]/\tau}}$$

- τ (tau) in the formula above influences how randomly values should be chosen
 - if τ is high, >> Q[s,a]?
 - A. It will mainly exploit
 - **B.** It will mainly explore
 - C. It will do both with equal probability





- τ (tau) in the formula above influences how randomly values should be chosen
 - if t is high, the exponentials approach 1, the fraction approaches 1/(number of actions), and each action has approximately the same probability of being chosen (exploration or exploitation?)
 - as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, the exponential with the highest Q[s,a] dominates, and the current best action is always chosen (exploration or exploitation?)

Lecture Overview

Finish Reinforcement learning

- Exploration vs. Exploitation
- On-policy Learning (SARSA)
- RL scalability

Learning before vs. during deployment

- > Our learning agent can:
 - A. act in the environment to learn how it works (before deployment)
 - B. Learn as you go (after deployment)
- If there is time to learn before deployment, the agent should try to do its best to learn as much as possible about the environment
 - even engage in locally suboptimal behaviors, because this will guarantee reaching an optimal policy in the long run
- If learning while "at work", suboptimal behaviors could be costly

Example

- Consider, for instance, our sample grid game:
 - the optimal policy is to go up in S₀
 - But if the agent includes some exploration in its policy (e.g. selects 20% of its actions randomly), exploring in S₂ could be dangerous because it may cause hitting the -100 wall
 - No big deal if the agent is not deployed yet, but not ideal otherwise
 - Q-learning would not detect this problem
 - It does off-policy learning, i.e., it focuses on the optimal policy
 - > On-policy learning addresses this problem



On-policy learning: SARSA

- On-policy learning learns the value of the policy being followed.
 - e.g., act greedily 80% of the time and act randomly 20% of the time
 - Better to be aware of the consequences of exploration has it happens, and avoid outcomes that are too costly while acting, rather than looking for the true optimal policy

➢ SARSA

- So called because it uses <state, action, reward, state, action> experiences rather than the <state, action, reward, state> used by Q-learning
- Instead of looking for the best action at every step, it evaluates the actions suggested by the current policy
- Uses this info to revise it

On-policy learning: SARSA

Given an experience <s,a,r,s',a' >, SARSA updates Q[s,a] as follows

$$Q[s,a] \leftarrow Q[s,a] + \alpha((r + \gamma Q[s',a']) - Q[s,a])$$

What's different from Q-learning?

On-policy learning: SARSA

Given an experience <s ,a, r, s', a'>, SARSA updates Q[s,a] as follows

 $Q[s,a] \leftarrow Q[s,a] + \alpha((r + \gamma Q[s',a']) - Q[s,a])$

While Q-learning was using

 $Q[s,a] \leftarrow Q[s,a] + \alpha((r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q[s',a']) - Q[s,a])$

There is no more max operator in the equation, there is instead the Q-value of the action suggested by the current policy



 $Q[s_0, right] \leftarrow Q[s_0, right] + \alpha_k (r + 0.9Q[s_1, UpCareful] - Q[s_0, right]);$ $Q[s_0, right] \leftarrow$

 $Q[s_1, upCarfull] \leftarrow Q[s_1, upCarfull] + \alpha_k (r + 0.9Q[s_3, UpCareful] - Q[s_1, upCarfull]);$ $Q[s_1, upCarfull] \leftarrow$

 $\begin{aligned} Q[s_3, upCarfull] \leftarrow Q[s_3, upCarfull] + \alpha_k (r + 0.9Q[s_5, Left] - Q[s_3, upCarfull]); \\ Q[s_3, upCarfull] \leftarrow 0 + 1(-1 + 0.9 * 0 - 0) = -1 \end{aligned}$

 $Q[s_5, Left] \leftarrow Q[s_5, Left] + \alpha_k (r + 0.9Q[s_4, left] - Q[s_5, Left]);$ $Q[s_5, Left] \leftarrow 0 + 1(0 + 0.9 * 0 - 0) = 0$ Only immediate rewards are included in the update, as with Q-learning

 $\begin{aligned} Q[s_4, Left] &\leftarrow Q[s_4, Left] + \alpha_k (r + 0.9Q[s_0, Right] - Q[s_4, Left]); \\ Q[s_4, Left] &\leftarrow 0 + 1(10 + 0.9 * 0 - 0) = 10 \end{aligned}$

19



 $Q[s_1, upCarfull] \leftarrow Q[s_1, upCarfull] + \alpha_k (r + 0.9Q[s_3, UpCareful] - Q[s_1, upCarfull]);$ $Q[s_1, upCarfull] \leftarrow$

 $\begin{aligned} Q[s_3, upCarfull] \leftarrow Q[s_3, upCarfull] + \alpha_k(r + 0.9Q[s_5, Left] - Q[s_3, upCarfull]); \\ Q[s_3, upCarfull] \leftarrow -1 + 1/2(-1 + 0.9 * 0 + 1) = -1 \end{aligned}$

 $Q[s_5, Left] \leftarrow Q[s_5, Left] + \alpha_k(r + 0.9Q[s_4, left] - Q[s_5, Left]);$ $Q[s_5, Left] \leftarrow 0 + 1/2(0 + 0.9*10 - 0) = 4.5$

 $Q[s_4, Left] \leftarrow Q[s_4, Left] + \alpha_k (r + 0.9Q[s_0, Right] - Q[s_4, Left]);$ $Q[s_4, Left] \leftarrow 10 + 1/2(10 + 0.9 * 0 - 10) = 10$

Comparing SARSA and Q-learning

For the little 6-states world

Policy learned by Q-learning 80% greedy is to go up in s₀ to reach s₄ quickly and get the big +10 reward

Iterations	Q[s ₀ ,Up]	Q[s ₁ ,Up]	Q[s ₂ ,UpC]	Q[s ₃ ,Up]	Q[s4,Left]	Q[s ₅ ,Left]
4000000	19.1	17.5	22.7	20.4	26.8	23.7



 Verify running full demo, see http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~poole/aibook/demos/rl/tGame.html

Comparing SARSA and Q-learning

- > Policy learned by SARSA 80% greedy is to go *right* in s_0
- > Safer because avoid the chance of getting the -100 reward in s_2
- but non-optimal => lower Q-values

Iterations	Q[s ₀ ,Right]	Q[s ₁ ,Up]	Q[s ₂ ,UpC]	Q[s ₃ ,Up]	Q[s ₄ ,Left]	Q[s ₅ ,Left]
4000000	6.8	8.1	12.3	10.4	15.6	13.2
		+ 10 + 10 S4 -100 S2	-1 S5 -1 S3 -1			
		- <u>1</u> S0	⊳ \$1 ⁻¹		CPSC	C 422, Lecture 10

 Verify running full demo, see http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~poole/aibook/demos/rl/tGame.html

SARSA Algorithm

begin

initialize Q[S, A] arbitrarily observe current state *s* select action *a* using a policy based on *Q*

repeat forever:

carry out an action aobserve reward r and state s'select action a' using a policy based on Q $Q[s, a] \leftarrow Q[s, a] + \alpha (r + \gamma Q[s', a'] - Q[s, a])$ $s \leftarrow s';$ $a \leftarrow a';$ end-repeat

This could be, for instance any εgreedy strategy: -Choose random ε times, and max the rest

end

Another Example

Gridworld with:

- Deterministic actions up, down, left, right
- Start from **S** and arrive at **G** (terminal state with reward > 0)
- Reward is -1 for all transitions, except those into the region marked "Cliff"

 \checkmark Falling into the cliff causes the agent to be sent back to start: r = -100



- > With an ϵ -greedy strategy (e.g., $\epsilon = 0.1$)
 - A. SARSA will learn policy p1 while Q-learning will learn p2

B. Q-learning will learn policy p1 while SARSA will learn p2

C. They will both learn p1

D. They will both learn p2



i⊳licker.

Cliff Example



- Because of negative reward for every step taken, the optimal policy over the four standard actions is to take the shortest path along the cliff
- > But if the agents adopt an ϵ -greedy action selection strategy with ϵ =0.1, walking along the cliff is dangerous
 - The optimal path that considers exploration is to go around as far as possible from the cliff

Q-learning vs. SARSA



- Q-learning learns the optimal policy, but because it does so without taking exploration into account, it does not do so well while the agent is exploring
 - It occasionally falls into the cliff, so its reward per episode is not that great
- SARSA has better on-line performance (reward per episode), because it learns to stay away from the cliff while exploring
 - But note that if $\epsilon{\rightarrow}0,$ SARSA and Q-learning would asymptotically converge to the optimal policy

Final Recommendation

If agent is not deployed it should do

random all the time (ϵ =1) and **Q-learning**

- When Q values have converged then deploy
- > If the agent is **deployed** it should
 - apply one of the explore/exploit strategies (e.g., ϵ =.5) and do **Sarsa**
 - Decreasing ε over time



Learning Goals for today's class

≻You can:

- Describe and compare techniques to combine exploration with exploitation
- On-policy Learning (SARSA)
- Discuss trade-offs in RL scalability (not required)

TODO for Fri

- Read textbook 6.4.2
- Next research paper will be next Wed
- Practice Ex 11.B

• Assignment 1 due on Fri