

Reasoning under Uncertainty: Marginalization, Conditional Prob., and Bayes

Computer Science cpsc322, Lecture 25

(Textbook Chpt 6.1.3.1–2)

June, 13, 2017



Lecture Overview

- **Recap Semantics of Probability** ←
 - **Marginalization** ←
 - Conditional Probability ←
 - Chain Rule
 - Bayes' Rule
- 

Recap: Possible World Semantics for Probabilities

Probability is a formal measure of subjective uncertainty.

- Random variable and probability distribution

$$\begin{array}{l}
 X \\
 \text{dom}(X) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{l}
 x_1 \rightarrow P(x_1) \\
 x_2 \rightarrow P(x_2) \\
 x_3 \rightarrow P(x_3)
 \end{array}
 \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{l} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{array}} \right\} \Sigma = 1$$

- Model Environment with a set of random vars

$$X \quad Y \quad Z \quad \underline{\text{binary}} \quad 8$$

$$\sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{W}} \mu(\omega) = 1 \quad \text{formula}$$

- Probability of a proposition f

$$X = T \quad \wedge \quad Z = F$$

$$P(f) = \sum_{\omega \models f} \mu(\omega)$$

Joint Distribution and Marginalization

$P(X, Y, Z)$

| <u>cavity</u> | <u>toothache</u> | <u>catch</u> | $\mu(w)$ |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|
| T | T | T | .108 |
| T | T | F | .012 |
| T | F | T | .072 |
| T | F | F | .008 |
| F | T | T | .016 |
| F | T | F | .064 |
| F | F | \rightarrow T | .144 |
| F | F | \rightarrow F | .576 |

$P(\text{cavity}, \text{toothache}, \text{catch})$

Given a joint distribution, e.g. $P(X, Y, Z)$ we can compute distributions over any smaller sets of variables

$$P(X, Y) = \sum_{z \in \text{dom}(Z)} P(X, Y, Z = z)$$

$P(\text{cavity}, \text{toothache})$



| | <u>toothache</u> | | \neg toothache | |
|---------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| | <u>catch</u> | \neg catch | <u>catch</u> | \neg catch |
| <u>cavity</u> | .108 | .012 | .072 | .008 |
| \neg cavity | .016 | .064 | .144 | .576 |

| <u>cavity</u> | <u>toothache</u> | $P(\text{cavity}, \text{toothache})$ |
|---------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|
| T | T | .12 |
| T | F | .08 |
| F | T | .08 |
| F | F | .72 |

Joint Distribution and Marginalization

$P(X, Y, Z)$

| <i>cavity</i> | <i>toothache</i> | <i>catch</i> | $\mu(w)$ |
|---------------|------------------|--------------|----------|
| T | T | T | .108 |
| T | T | F | .012 |
| T | F | T | .072 |
| T | F | F | .008 |
| F | T | T | .016 |
| F | T | F | .064 |
| F | F | T | .144 |
| F | F | F | .576 |

$P(\text{cavity}, \text{toothache}, \text{catch})$

Given a joint distribution, e.g. $P(X, Y, Z)$ we can compute distributions over any smaller sets of variables

$$P(X, Z) = \sum_{y \in \text{dom}(Y)} P(X, Z, Y = y)$$



A.

B.

C.

| <i>cavity</i> | <i>catch</i> | $P(\text{cavity}, \text{catch})$ | $P(\text{cavity}, \text{catch})$ | $P(\text{cavity}, \text{catch})$ |
|---------------|--------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| T | T | .12 | .18 | .18 |
| T | F | .08 | .02 | .72 |
| F | T | ... | | |
| F | F | ... | | |

Joint Distribution and Marginalization

$P(X, Y, Z)$

| <i>cavity</i> | <i>toothache</i> | <i>catch</i> | $\mu(w)$ |
|---------------|------------------|--------------|----------|
| T | T | T | .108 |
| T | T | F | .012 |
| T | F | T | .072 |
| T | F | F | .008 |
| F | T | T | .016 |
| F | T | F | .064 |
| F | F | T | .144 |
| F | F | F | .576 |

$P(\text{cavity}, \text{toothache}, \text{catch})$

Given a joint distribution, e.g. $P(X, Y, Z)$ we can compute distributions over any smaller sets of variables

$$P(X, Z) = \sum_{y \in \text{dom}(Y)} P(X, Y = y, Z)$$

A.

B.

C.

iclicker.

| <i>cavity</i> | <i>catch</i> | $P(\text{cavity}, \text{catch})$ | $P(\text{cavity}, \text{catch})$ | $P(\text{cavity}, \text{catch})$ |
|---------------|--------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| T | T | .12 | .18 | .18 |
| T | F | .08 | .02 | .72 |
| F | T | ... | | |
| F | F | ... | | |

Why is it called Marginalization?

$P(X, Y)$

| cavity | toothache | $P(\text{cavity}, \text{toothache})$ |
|--------|-----------|--------------------------------------|
| T | T | .12 |
| T | F | .08 |
| F | T | .08 |
| F | F | .72 |

$$P(X) = \sum_{y \in \text{dom}(Y)} P(X, Y = y)$$

$P(\text{cavity})$

| | Toothache = T | Toothache = F |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------|
| <u>Cavity = T</u> | .12 | .08 |
| Cavity = F | .08 | .72 |

.2 .8

$P(\text{toothache})$

Lecture Overview

- Recap Semantics of Probability
- Marginalization
- **Conditional Probability**
- **Chain Rule**
- Bayes' Rule
- Independence

Conditioning (Conditional Probability)

- We **model our environment** with a **set of random variables**.
- Assume have **the joint**, we can compute the probability of... *any formula*
- Are we done with reasoning under uncertainty?
- What can happen?
- Think of a patient showing up at the dentist office.
Does she have a cavity?

Conditioning (Conditional Probability)

- Probabilistic conditioning specifies how to revise beliefs based on new information.
- You build a probabilistic model (for now the joint) taking all background information into account. This gives the prior probability.
- All other information must be conditioned on.
- If evidence e is all of the information obtained subsequently, the conditional probability $P(h|e)$ of h given e is the posterior probability of h .

$$P(\text{cavity} = T \mid \text{toothache} = T) \quad ?$$

Conditioning Example

- Prior probability of having a cavity

$$P(\text{cavity} = T)$$

- Should be revised if you know that there is toothache

$$P(\text{cavity} = T \mid \text{toothache} = T)$$



- It should be revised again if you were informed that the probe did not catch anything

$$P(\text{cavity} = T \mid \text{toothache} = T, \text{catch} = F)$$



- What about ?

$$P(\text{cavity} = T \mid \text{sunny} = T)$$

How can we compute $P(h|e)$

- What happens in term of possible worlds if we know the value of a random var (or a set of random vars)?
- Some worlds are ruled out. The other become more likely

$$\Sigma = P(e) = .2$$

| cavity | toothache | catch | $\mu(w)$ | $\mu_e(w)$ |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| T | T | T | .108 | .54 |
| T | T | F | .012 | .06 |
| T | F | T | .072 | .36 |
| T | F | F | .008 | .04 |
| F | T | T | .016 | 0 |
| F | T | F | .064 | 0 |
| F | F | T | .144 | 0 |
| F | F | F | .576 | 0 |

$$e = (cavity = T)$$

$$\begin{cases} \mu_e(w) = \frac{\mu(w)}{P(e)} \\ \text{if } w \models e \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mu_e(w) = 0 \\ \text{if } w \not\models e \end{cases}$$

How can we compute $P(h|e)$

$$P(h | e) = \sum_{w \models h} \mu_e(w)$$

$$P(\text{toothache} = F \mid \text{cavity} = T) = \sum_{w \models \text{toothache} = F} \mu_{\text{cavity}=T}(w)$$

| <i>cavity</i> | <i>toothache</i> | <i>catch</i> | $\mu(w)$ | $\mu_{\text{cavity}=T}(w)$ |
|---------------|------------------|--------------|----------|----------------------------|
| T | T | T | .108 | .54 |
| T | T | F | .012 | .06 |
| T | F | T | .072 | .36 |
| T | F | F | .008 | .04 |
| F | T | T | .016 | 0 |
| F | T | F | .064 | 0 |
| F | F | T | .144 | 0 |
| F | F | F | .576 | 0 |

Semantics of Conditional Probability

$$\mu_e(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{P(e)} \times \mu(w) & \text{if } w \models e \\ 0 & \text{if } w \not\models e \end{cases}$$

- The conditional probability of formula ***h*** given evidence ***e*** is A

$$\begin{aligned} P(h|e) &= \sum_{w \models h} \mu_e(w) = \sum_{w \models h \wedge e} \frac{1}{P(e)} \times \mu(w) = \frac{1}{P(e)} \sum_{w \models h \wedge e} \mu(w) \\ &= \frac{P(h \wedge e)}{P(e)} \quad B \end{aligned}$$

Semantics of Conditional Prob.: Example

| <i>cavity</i> | <i>toothache</i> | <i>catch</i> | $\mu(w)$ | $\mu_e(w)$ |
|---------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| T | T | T | .108 | .54 |
| T | T | F | .012 | .06 |
| T | F | T | .072 | .36 |
| T | F | F | .008 | .04 |
| F | T | T | .016 | 0 |
| F | T | F | .064 | 0 |
| F | F | T | .144 | 0 |
| F | F | F | .576 | 0 |

$e = (\text{cavity} = T)$

$$\frac{P(h \wedge e)}{P(e)} \quad \textcircled{B}$$

$$\frac{.12}{.2} = .6$$

$$P(h | e) = P(\text{toothache} = T \mid \text{cavity} = T) =$$

$$\textcircled{A} \sum_{w \models h} \mu_e(w) = .6$$

Conditional Probability among Random Variables

$$\underline{P(X | Y)} = \underline{P(X, Y)} / \underline{P(Y)}$$

TRY
 $P(\text{cavity} | \text{toothache})$

$$P(X | Y) = P(\text{toothache} | \text{cavity})$$

$$= \boxed{P(\text{toothache} \wedge \text{cavity})} / P(\text{cavity})$$

| | Toothache = T | Toothache = F |
|------------|---------------|---------------|
| Cavity = T | .12 | .08 |
| Cavity = F | .08 | .72 |

.2 .8

| | Toothache = T | Toothache = F |
|------------|---------------|---------------|
| Cavity = T | .6 | .4 |
| Cavity = F | .1 | .9 |

$P(X, Y)$

0.2

0.8

$P(X|Y)$

$P(\text{toothache} | \text{cavity} = T)$

$P(\text{toothache} | \text{cavity} = F)$

TWO PROB. DISTRIBUTIONS

$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n = 1$

Product Rule

- Definition of conditional probability:

- $P(X_1 | X_2) = P(X_1, X_2) / P(X_2)$ ←

- **Product rule** gives an alternative, more intuitive formulation:

- $P(X_1, X_2) = P(X_2) P(X_1 | X_2) = P(X_1) P(X_2 | X_1)$ ←

- **Product rule** general form:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{P(X_1, \dots, X_n)} &= P(X_2 \dots X_t, X_{t+1} \dots X_n) \\ &= \underline{P(X_1, \dots, X_t)} \underline{P(X_{t+1} \dots X_n | X_1, \dots, X_t)} \end{aligned}$$

Chain Rule

- **Product rule** general form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= \\ &= \mathbf{P}(X_1, \dots, X_t) \mathbf{P}(X_{t+1} \dots X_n \mid X_1, \dots, X_t) \end{aligned}$$

- **Chain rule** is derived by successive application of product rule:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, X_n) &= \\ &= \mathbf{P}(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \mathbf{P}(X_n \mid X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(X_1, \dots, X_{n-2}) \mathbf{P}(X_{n-1} \mid X_1, \dots, X_{n-2}) \mathbf{P}(X_n \mid X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) = \dots \\ &= \mathbf{P}(X_1) \mathbf{P}(X_2 \mid X_1) \dots \mathbf{P}(X_{n-1} \mid X_1, \dots, X_{n-2}) \mathbf{P}(X_n \mid X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{P}(X_i \mid X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Chain Rule: Example

$$P(\text{cavity}, \text{toothache}, \text{catch}) =$$

$$P(\text{cavity}) * P(\text{toothache} | \text{cavity}) * \\ * P(\text{catch} | \text{cavity}, \text{toothache})$$

$$P(\text{toothache}, \text{catch}, \text{cavity}) =$$

$$P(\text{toothache}) * P(\text{catch} | \text{toothache}) * P(\text{cavity} | \text{toothache}, \text{catch})$$

In how many other ways can this joint be decomposed using the chain rule?

 i-clicker.

A. 4

B. 1

C. 8

D. 0

Chain Rule: Example

$$P(\text{cavity}, \text{toothache}, \text{catch}) =$$

$$P(\text{cavity}) * P(\text{toothache} | \text{cavity}) * \\ * P(\text{catch} | \text{cavity}, \text{toothache})$$

$$P(\text{toothache}, \text{catch}, \text{cavity}) =$$

$$P(\text{toothache}) * P(\text{catch} | \text{toothache}) * P(\text{cavity} | \text{toothache}, \text{catch})$$

these and the other four decompositions are OK

Lecture Overview

- Recap Semantics of Probability
- Marginalization
- Conditional Probability
- Chain Rule
- **Bayes' Rule**
- **Independence**

Using conditional probability

- Often you have **causal knowledge** (forward from cause to evidence):
 - For example
 - ✓ $P(\text{symptom} \mid \text{disease})$
 - ✓ $P(\text{light is off} \mid \text{status of switches and switch positions})$
 - ✓ $P(\text{alarm} \mid \text{fire})$
 - In general: $P(\text{evidence } e \mid \text{hypothesis } h)$
- ... and you want to do **evidential reasoning** (backwards from evidence to cause):
 - For example
 - ✓ $P(\text{disease} \mid \text{symptom})$
 - ✓ $P(\text{status of switches} \mid \text{light is off and switch positions})$
 - ✓ $P(\text{fire} \mid \text{alarm})$
 - In general: $P(\text{hypothesis } h \mid \text{evidence } e)$

Bayes Rule

- By definition, we know that :

$$P(h|e) = \frac{P(h \wedge e)}{P(e)} \quad P(e|h) = \frac{P(e \wedge h)}{P(h)}$$

- We can rearrange terms to write

$$P(h \wedge e) = P(h|e) \times P(e) \quad (1)$$

$$P(e \wedge h) = P(e|h) \times P(h) \quad (2)$$

- But

$$P(h \wedge e) = P(e \wedge h) \quad (3)$$

- From (1) (2) and (3) we can derive

- **Bayes Rule**

$$P(h|e) = \frac{P(e|h)P(h)}{P(e)} \quad (3)$$

Example for Bayes rule

- On average, the alarm rings once a year
- $P(\text{alarm}) = ?$
Prob. of ringing on a given day?
- If there is a fire, the alarm will almost always ring
- On average, we have a fire every 10 years
- The fire alarm rings. What is the probability there is a fire?

Example for Bayes rule

- On average, the alarm rings once a year
 - $P(\text{alarm}) = 1/365$
- If there is a fire, the alarm will almost always ring
 - $P(\text{alarm}|\text{fire}) = 0.999$
- On average, we have a fire every 10 years
 - $P(\text{fire}) = 1/3650$
- The fire alarm rings. What is the probability there is a fire?
 - Take a few minutes to do the math!

$$P(h|e) = \frac{P(e|h)P(h)}{P(e)}$$



A. 0.999

B. 0.9

C. 0.0999

D. 0.1

Example for Bayes rule

- On average, the alarm rings once a year
 - $P(\text{alarm}) = 1/365$
- If there is a fire, the alarm will almost always ring
 - $P(\text{alarm}|\text{fire}) = 0.999$
- On average, we have a fire every 10 years
 - $P(\text{fire}) = 1/3650$
- The fire alarm rings. What is the probability there is a fire?
- $$P(\text{fire}|\text{alarm}) = \frac{P(\text{alarm}|\text{fire}) \times P(\text{fire})}{P(\text{alarm})} = \frac{0.999 \times 1/3650}{1/365} = 0.0999$$
 - Even though the alarm rings the chance for a fire is only about 10%!

Learning Goals for today's class

- **You can:**
- Given a joint, compute distributions over any subset of the variables
- Prove the formula to compute $P(h/e)$
- Derive the **Chain Rule** and the **Bayes Rule**

Next Class

- Marginal Independence
- Conditional Independence

Assignments

- Assignment 3 has been posted : due jone 20th

Plan for this week

- **Probability** is a rigorous formalism for uncertain knowledge
- **Joint probability distribution** specifies probability of every **possible world**
- Probabilistic queries can be answered by **summing over possible worlds**
- For nontrivial domains, we must find a way **to reduce the joint distribution size**
- **Independence** (*rare*) and **conditional independence** (*frequent*) provide the tools

Conditional probability (irrelevant evidence)

- New evidence may be irrelevant, allowing simplification, e.g.,
 - $P(\text{cavity} \mid \text{toothache}, \text{sunny}) = P(\text{cavity} \mid \text{toothache})$
 - We say that Cavity is conditionally independent from Weather (more on this next class)
- This kind of inference, sanctioned by domain knowledge, is crucial in probabilistic inference