

# Reasoning under Uncertainty: Conditional Prob., Bayes and Independence







Computer Science cpsc322, Lecture 25

*(Textbook Chpt 6.1.3.1-2)*

Nov, 5, 2012



# Lecture Overview

- **Recap Semantics of Probability** 
- **Marginalization** 
- Conditional Probability 
- Chain Rule 
- Bayes' Rule 
- Independence 

# Recap: Possible World Semantics for Probabilities

Probability is a formal measure of subjective uncertainty.

- Random variable and probability distribution

$$X \quad \text{dom}(X) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} x_1 \rightarrow P(x_1) \\ x_2 \rightarrow P(x_2) \\ x_3 \rightarrow P(x_3) \end{array} \right\} \sum = 1$$

- Model Environment with a set of random vars

$$X \quad Y \quad Z \quad \text{binary} \quad 8$$

$$\sum_{w \in W} \mu(w) = 1 \quad \text{formula}$$

- Probability of a proposition  $f$

$$X = T \wedge Z = F$$

$$P(f) = \sum_{w \models f} \mu(w)$$

# Joint Distribution and Marginalization

$P(X, Y, Z)$

<u>cavity</u>	<u>toothache</u>	<u>catch</u>	$\mu(w)$
T	T	T	.108
T	T	F	.012
T	F	T	.072
T	F	F	.008
F	T	T	.016
F	T	F	.064
F	F	→ T	.144
F	F	→ F	.576

$P(\text{cavity}, \text{toothache}, \text{catch})$

Given a joint distribution, e.g.  $P(X, Y, Z)$  we can compute distributions over any smaller sets of variables

$$P(X, Y) = \sum_{z \in \text{dom}(Z)} P(X, Y, Z = z)$$

$P(\text{cavity}, \text{toothache})$

	<u>toothache</u>		$\neg$ toothache	
	catch	$\neg$ catch	catch	$\neg$ catch
cavity	<b>.108</b>	<b>.012</b>	<b>.072</b>	<b>.008</b>
$\neg$ cavity	<b>.016</b>	<b>.064</b>	<b>.144</b>	<b>.576</b>

<u>cavity</u>	<u>toothache</u>	$P(\text{cavity}, \text{toothache})$
T	T	.12
T	F	.08
F	T	.08
F	F	.72

# Why is it called Marginalization?

*Handwritten:  $P(X, Y)$*

cavity	toothache	$P(\text{cavity}, \text{toothache})$
T	T <i>↕</i>	.12
T	F <i>↕</i>	.08
F	T <i>↕</i>	.08
F	F <i>↕</i>	.72

*Handwritten: Blue brackets on the left side of the table.*

$$P(X) = \sum_{y \in \text{dom}(Y)} P(X, Y = y)$$

*Handwritten: Blue underline under  $y \in \text{dom}(Y)$ .*

*Handwritten:  $P(\text{cavity})$*

	Toothache = T	Toothache = F
<u>Cavity = T</u>	.12	.08
Cavity = F	.08 <i>✓</i>	.72 <i>✓</i>

*Handwritten: Blue arrows pointing from the first table to this one. A blue arrow points from the 'Cavity = T' row to the 'Toothache = F' column. A blue arrow points from the 'Cavity = F' row to the 'Toothache = T' column.*

*Handwritten:  $.2$  and  $.8$  with arrows pointing to the columns of the second table.*

*Handwritten:  $.2$  and  $.8$  below the second table.*

*Handwritten:  $P(\text{toothache})$*

# Lecture Overview

- Recap Semantics of Probability
- Marginalization
- **Conditional Probability**
- **Chain Rule**
- Bayes' Rule
- Independence

# Conditioning (Conditional Probability)

- We **model our environment** with a **set of random variables**.
- Assume have **the joint**, we can compute the probability *any formula*
- Are we done with reasoning under uncertainty?
- What can happen?
- Think of a patient showing up at the dentist office.  
*Does she have a cavity?*

# Conditioning (Conditional Probability)

- Probabilistic conditioning specifies how to revise beliefs based on new information.
- You build a probabilistic model (for now the joint) taking all background information into account. This gives the prior probability.
- All other information must be conditioned on.
- If evidence  $e$  is all of the information obtained subsequently, the conditional probability  $P(h|e)$  of  $h$  given  $e$  is the posterior probability of  $h$ .



# Conditioning Example

- Prior probability of having a cavity

$$P(\text{cavity} = T)$$

- Should be revised if you know that there is toothache

$$P(\text{cavity} = T \mid \text{toothache} = T)$$



- It should be revised again if you were informed that the probe did not catch anything

$$P(\text{cavity} = T \mid \text{toothache} = T, \text{catch} = F)$$



- What about ?

$$P(\text{cavity} = T \mid \text{sunny} = T)$$

# How can we compute $P(h|e)$

- What happens in term of possible worlds if we know the value of a random var (or a set of random vars)?
- Some worlds are ruled out. The other become ....  
more likely

$$\Sigma = P(e) = .2$$

cavity	toothache	catch	$\mu(w)$	$\mu_e(w)$
T	T	T	.108	.54
T	T	F	.012	.06
T	F	T	.072	.36
T	F	F	.008	.04
<del>F</del>	<del>T</del>	<del>T</del>	<del>.016</del>	<del>0</del>
<del>F</del>	<del>T</del>	<del>F</del>	<del>.064</del>	<del>0</del>
<del>F</del>	<del>F</del>	<del>T</del>	<del>.144</del>	<del>0</del>
<del>F</del>	<del>F</del>	<del>F</del>	<del>.576</del>	<del>0</del>

$$e = (cavity = T)$$

$$\mu_e(w) = \frac{\mu(w)}{P(e)}$$

$$w \models e$$

# Semantics of Conditional Probability

$$\mu_e(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{P(e)} \times \mu(w) & \text{if } w \models e \\ 0 & \text{if } w \not\models e \end{cases}$$

- The conditional probability of formula ***h*** given evidence ***e*** is

$$P(h|e) = \sum_{w \models h} \mu_e(w) = \sum_{w \models h \wedge e} \frac{1}{P(e)} \times \mu(w) = \frac{1}{P(e)} \sum_{w \models h \wedge e} \mu(w) = \frac{P(h \wedge e)}{P(e)}$$

# Semantics of Conditional Prob.: Example

cavity	toothache	catch	$\mu(w)$	$\mu_e(w)$
T	T	T	.108	.54
T	T	F	.012	.06
T	F	T	.072	.36
T	F	F	.008	.04
<del>F</del>	<del>T</del>	<del>T</del>	<del>.016</del>	<del>0</del>
<del>F</del>	<del>T</del>	<del>F</del>	<del>.064</del>	<del>0</del>
<del>F</del>	<del>F</del>	<del>T</del>	<del>.144</del>	<del>0</del>
<del>F</del>	<del>F</del>	<del>F</del>	<del>.576</del>	<del>0</del>

$e = (\text{cavity} = T)$

$$\frac{P(h \wedge e)}{P(e)} \quad \text{B}$$

$$\frac{.12}{.2} = .6$$

$h$                        $e$

$$P(h / e) = P(\text{toothache} = T \mid \text{cavity} = T) =$$

A  $\sum_{w \models h} \mu_e(w) = .6$

# Conditional Probability among Random Variables

$$\underline{P(X / Y)} = \underline{P(X, Y)} / \underline{P(Y)}$$

TRY

$$P(\text{cavity} / \text{toothache})$$

$$P(X / Y) = P(\text{toothache} / \text{cavity})$$

$$= \boxed{P(\text{toothache} \wedge \text{cavity})} / P(\text{cavity})$$

	Toothache = T	Toothache = F
Cavity = T	$\rightarrow \underline{.12} / .2$	$\underline{.08} / .2$
Cavity = F	$\underline{.08} / .8$	$\underline{.72} / .8$

.2

.8

	Toothache = T	Toothache = F
Cavity = T	.6	.4
Cavity = F	.1	.9

$P(X, Y)$

0.2

0.8

$P(X / Y)$

$P(\text{toothache} / \text{cavity} = T)$

$P(\text{toothache} / \text{cavity} = F)$

TWO PROB. DISTRIBUTIONS

$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m = 1$

# Product Rule

- Definition of conditional probability:
  - $P(X_1 | X_2) = P(X_1, X_2) / P(X_2)$  ←
- **Product rule** gives an alternative, more intuitive formulation:

- $P(X_1, X_2) = P(X_2) P(X_1 | X_2) = P(X_1) P(X_2 | X_1)$  ←

- **Product rule** general form:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= P(X_2 \dots X_t, X_{t+1} \dots X_n) \\ &= P(X_1, \dots, X_t) P(X_{t+1} \dots X_n | X_1, \dots, X_t) \end{aligned}$$

# Chain Rule

- Product rule general form:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= \\ &= P(X_1, \dots, X_t) P(X_{t+1} \dots X_n \mid X_1, \dots, X_t) \end{aligned}$$

- Chain rule is derived by successive application of product rule:

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, X_n) =$$

$$= P(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) P(X_n \mid X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})$$

$$= P(X_1, \dots, X_{n-2}) P(X_{n-1} \mid X_1, \dots, X_{n-2}) P(X_n \mid X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}) = \dots$$

$$= P(X_1) P(X_2 \mid X_1) \dots P(X_{n-1} \mid X_1, \dots, X_{n-2}) P(X_n \mid X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \mid X_1, \dots, X_{i-1})$$

# Chain Rule: Example

$$P(\text{cavity}, \text{toothache}, \text{catch}) =$$

$$P(\text{cavity}) * P(\text{toothache} | \text{cavity}) * \\ * P(\text{catch} | \text{cavity}, \text{toothache})$$

$$P(\text{toothache}, \text{catch}, \text{cavity}) =$$

$$P(\text{toothache}) * P(\text{catch} | \text{toothache}) * P(\text{cavity} | \text{toothache}, \text{catch})$$

these and the other four decompositions are OK



# Lecture Overview

- Recap Semantics of Probability
- Marginalization
- Conditional Probability
- Chain Rule
- **Bayes' Rule**
- **Independence**

# Using conditional probability

- Often you have **causal knowledge** (forward from cause to evidence):
  - For example
    - ✓  $P(\text{symptom} \mid \text{disease})$
    - ✓  $P(\text{light is off} \mid \text{status of switches and switch positions})$
    - ✓  $P(\text{alarm} \mid \text{fire})$
  - In general:  $P(\text{evidence } e \mid \text{hypothesis } h)$
- ... and you want to do **evidential reasoning** (backwards from evidence to cause):
  - For example
    - ✓  $P(\text{disease} \mid \text{symptom})$
    - ✓  $P(\text{status of switches} \mid \text{light is off and switch positions})$
    - ✓  $P(\text{fire} \mid \text{alarm})$
  - In general:  $P(\text{hypothesis } h \mid \text{evidence } e)$

# Bayes Rule

- By definition, we know that :

$$P(h|e) = \frac{P(h \wedge e)}{P(e)} \quad P(e|h) = \frac{P(e \wedge h)}{P(h)}$$

- We can rearrange terms to write

$$P(h \wedge e) = P(h|e) \times P(e) \quad (1)$$

$$P(e \wedge h) = P(e|h) \times P(h) \quad (2)$$

- But

$$P(h \wedge e) = P(e \wedge h) \quad (3)$$

- From (1) (2) and (3) we can derive

- **Bayes Rule**

$$P(h|e) = \frac{P(e|h)P(h)}{P(e)} \quad (3)$$

# Example for Bayes rule

- On average, the alarm rings once a year
  - $P(\text{alarm}) = ?$
- If there is a fire, the alarm will almost always ring
- On average, we have a fire every 10 years
- The fire alarm rings. What is the probability there is a fire?

# Example for Bayes rule

- On average, the alarm rings once a year
  - $P(\text{alarm}) = 1/365$
- If there is a fire, the alarm will almost always ring
  - $P(\text{alarm}|\text{fire}) = 0.999$
- On average, we have a fire every 10 years
  - $P(\text{fire}) = 1/3650$
- The fire alarm rings. What is the probability there is a fire?
  - Take a few minutes to do the math!

0.999

0.9

0.0999

0.1

# Example for Bayes rule

- On average, the alarm rings once a year
  - $P(\text{alarm}) = 1/365$
- If there is a fire, the alarm will almost always ring
  - $P(\text{alarm}|\text{fire}) = 0.999$
- On average, we have a fire every 10 years
  - $P(\text{fire}) = 1/3650$
- The fire alarm rings. What is the probability there is a fire?
- $$P(\text{fire}|\text{alarm}) = \frac{P(\text{alarm}|\text{fire}) \times P(\text{fire})}{P(\text{alarm})} = \frac{0.999 \times 1/3650}{1/365} = 0.0999$$
  - Even though the alarm rings the chance for a fire is only about 10%!

# Bayes' Rule

- From Product rule :
  - $P(X, Y) = P(Y) P(X | Y) = P(X) P(Y | X)$

STOP HERE

# Do you always need to revise your beliefs?

..... when your knowledge of **Y**'s value doesn't affect your belief in the value of **X**

**DEF.** Random variable **X** is **marginal independent** of random variable **Y** if, for all  $x_i \in \text{dom}(X)$ ,  $y_k \in \text{dom}(Y)$ ,

$$P(X = x_i \mid Y = y_k) = P(X = x_i)$$

**Consequence:**

$$\begin{aligned} P(X = x_i, Y = y_k) &= P(X = x_i \mid Y = y_k) P(Y = y_k) = \\ &= P(X = x_i) P(Y = y_k) \end{aligned}$$



# Marginal Independence: Example

- $X$  and  $Y$  are independent iff:  $P(X) = P(X|Y) = \frac{P(X, Y)}{P(Y)}$

$$P(X|Y) = P(X) \quad \text{or} \quad P(Y|X) = P(Y) \quad \text{or} \quad P(X, Y) = P(X) P(Y)$$

- That is new evidence  $Y$  (or  $X$ ) does not affect current belief in  $X$  (or  $Y$ )

- Ex:  $P(\text{Toothache}, \text{Catch}, \text{Cavity}, \text{Weather})$   
 $= P(\text{Toothache}, \text{Catch}, \text{Cavity}) P(\text{Weather})$

↓ dom = 4  
 Sunny  
 Cloudy  
 Rainy  
 Snowy

- JPD requiring <sup>32</sup> and 4 entries is reduced to two smaller ones (8)

Joint prob. distribution



# Learning Goals for today's class

- **You can:**
- Given a joint, compute distributions over any subset of the variables
- Prove the formula to compute  $P(h|e)$
- Derive the **Chain Rule** and the **Bayes Rule**
- Define **Marginal Independence**

## Next Classes

- Conditional Independence *Chpt 6.2*
- Belief Networks.....

## Assignments

- I will post Assignment 3 this evening 
  - Assignment2
    - If any of the TAs' feedback is unclear go to office hours
    - If you have questions on the programming part, office hours next Tue (Ken)
- 

# Plan for this week

- **Probability** is a rigorous formalism for uncertain knowledge
- **Joint probability distribution** specifies probability of every **possible world**
- Probabilistic queries can be answered by **summing over possible worlds**
- For nontrivial domains, we must find a way **to reduce the joint distribution size**
- **Independence** (*rare*) and **conditional independence** (*frequent*) provide the tools

# Conditional probability (irrelevant evidence)

- New evidence may be irrelevant, allowing simplification, e.g.,
  - $P(\text{cavity} \mid \text{toothache, sunny}) = P(\text{cavity} \mid \text{toothache})$
  - We say that Cavity is conditionally independent from Weather (more on this next class)
- This kind of inference, sanctioned by domain knowledge, is crucial in probabilistic inference