# Planning: Heuristics and CSP Planning

Computer Science cpsc322, Lecture 18
(Textbook Chpt 8)

Oct, 17, 2012



#### **Lecture Overview**

- Recap: Planning Representation and Forward algorithm
- Heuristics
- CSP Planning

## Standard Search vs. Specific R&R systems

#### Constraint Satisfaction (Problems):

- State: assignments of values to a subset of the variables
- Successor function: assign values to a "free" variable
- Goal test: set of constraints
- Solution: possible world that satisfies the constraints
- Heuristic function: none (all solutions at the same distance from start)

#### Planning:

- · State p. world full assign.
- · Successor function states reachable by opplying
- Goal test partial design. Solution segmence of actions


#### Heuristic function

#### Inference

- State
- Successor function
- Goal test
- Solution Heuristic function

CPSC 322. Lecture 11

## Modules we'll cover in this course: R&Rsys



#### **Lecture Overview**

- Recap: Planning Representation and Forward algorithm
- Heuristics for forward planning
- CSP Planning

#### **Heuristics for Forward Planning**

Heuristic function: estimate of the distance form a state to the goal

In planning this is the. #. setrous


#### Two simplifications in the representation:

- All features are binary: T / F
- Goals and preconditions can only be assignments to T

And a Def. a subgoal is a particular assignment in the goal e.g., if the goal is <A=T, B=T, C=T> then....


# **Heuristics for Forward Planning:**

Any ideas?



# Heuristics for Forward Planning (cont')

$$C = F$$



- a) We have removed all preconstitions
- b) We have removed all "negstive" ettects
  - c) We assume no action can achieve both -

INADMISSIBLE

# Heuristics for Forward Planning: empty-delete-list

• We only relax the problem according to (.....)
i.e., we remove all the effects that make a variable F


Action a effects (B=
$$F$$
, C= $T$ )

• But then how do we compute the heuristic? 
solve a simplified planning prob.

This is often fast enough to be worthwhile

• empty-delete-list heuristics with forward planning is currently considered a very successful strategy

# **Empty-delete in practice**



to compute h(5i), run torward planner with Si as start state, with the same good as the original problem but with M the actions with the negotive exects So to compute h we need to solve a planning problem (but & simpler one!)
You may need to do this MANY times

CPSC 322, Lecture 18

#### **Final Comment**

- You should view Forward Planning as one of the basic planning techniques (we'll see another one after the break)
- By itself, it cannot go far, but it can work very well in combination with other techniques, for specific domains
  - See, for instance, descriptions of competing planners in the presentation of results for the 2008 planning competition (posted in the class schedule)

#### **Lecture Overview**

- Recap: Planning Representation and Forward algorithm
- Heuristics for forward planning
- CSP Planning


### Planning as a CSP

- An alternative approach to planning is to set up a planning problem as a CSP!
- We simply reformulate a STRIPS model as a set of variables and constraints


- Once this is done we can even express additional aspects of our problem (as additional constraints)
- e.g., see Practice Exercise UBC commuting "careAboutEnvironment" constraint

#### Planning as a CSP: Variables

- We need to "unroll the plan" for a fixed number of steps: this is called the horizon
- To do this with a horizon of k:
  - construct a CSP variable for each STRIPS variable at each time step from 0 to k
- construct a boolean CSP variable for each
   STRIPS action at each time step from 0 to k 1.



#### **CSP Planning: Robot Example**




Variables for actions .... buty

action (non) occurring at that step

#### **CSP Planning: Initial and Goal Constraints**

• initial state constraints constrain the state variables at time 0

goal constraints constrain the state variables at time *k* 



### **CSP Planning: Prec. Constraints**

As usual, we have to express the **preconditions** and **effects** of actions:

precondition constraints

hold between state variables at time <u>t and action</u>
 variables at time t


specify when actions may be taken

	$RLoc_0$	RHC <sub>0</sub>	PUC <sub>0</sub>	
PUC <sub>0</sub>	cs	T	F	
	CS	F	T	
PUCO PUMO  PUMO  PUMO	CS	F		
PUMO (cottee)	mr	*	<u>F</u>	
MWO	lab	*	<u>F</u>	
	off	*	F	
CPSC 322. Lecture 18			Slide 17	

### **CSP Planning: Effect Constraints**

#### effect constraints

- between state variables at time t, action variables at time t and state variables at time t + 1
- explain how a state variable at time t + 1 is affected by the action(s) taken at time t and by its own value at time t



## **CSP Planning: Constraints Contd.**

Other constraints we may want are action constraints:


specify which actions cannot occur simultaneously

 these are sometimes called mutual exclusion (mutex) constraints

E.g., in the Robot domain

DelM and DelC can occur in any sequence (or simultaneously)

But we could change that...




Action<sub>0</sub>

Move,

### **CSP Planning: Constraints Contd.**


#### Other constraints we may want are state constraints

- hold between variables at the same time step
- they can capture physical constraints of the system (robot cannot hold coffee and mail)
- they can encode maintenance goals




Map STRIPS Representation for horizon 1, 2, 3, ..., until solution found

Run arc consistency and search or stochastic local search!




Map STRIPS Representation for horizon k =1
Run arc consistency and search or stochastic local search!



Map STRIPS Representation for horizon k = 2

Run arc consistency, search, stochastic local search!




k = 2: Is State<sub>2</sub> a goal
If yes, DONE!
If no....continue

Map STRIPS Representation for horizon: (0 / 2 .... Run arc consistency, search, stochastic local search!

Plan: all actions with assignment T

In order to find a plan, we expand our constraint network one layer at the time, until a solution is



# Solve planning as CSP: pseudo code

#### State of the art planner

A similar process is implemented (more efficiently) in the Graphplan planner



### STRIPS to CSP applet

#### Allows you:


- to specify a planning problem in STRIPS (
- to map it into a CSP for a given horizon 🚄
- the CSP translation is automatically loaded into the CSP applet where it can be solved

Practice exercise using STRIPS to CSP is available on Alspace

#### Learning Goals for today's class

#### You can:

- Construct and justify a heuristic function for forward planning.
- Translate a planning problem represented in STRIPS into a corresponding CSP problem (and vice versa)
- Solve a planning problem with CPS by expanding the horizon (new one)



CPSC 322, Lecture 2

Reasoning

<u>Technique</u>

Slide 29

#### Logics

- Mostly only propositional.... This is the starting point for more complex ones ....
- Natural to express knowledge about the world
  - What is true (boolean variables)
  - How it works (logical formulas)
- Well understood formal properties
- Boolean nature can be exploited for efficiency
- . . . . . .

# Thxs for the honest Feedback: Most mentioned issues

 Confusion about what is the right answer to questions (including card ones) – see inked slides for unambiguous answer. Typically one slides has the question the next one has the answer. Please let me know if any is missing

Flash cards vs. iClickers (private)

Samples for midterm