

Stat 461-561: Quiz 1

Monday 29th January 2007

• **Exercise 1.** Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are independent identically distributed from an exponential distribution $f(x|\theta^*)$, that is θ^* is the true parameter. The exponential distribution admits the following density

$$f(x|\theta) = \theta^{-1} \exp(-\theta^{-1}x) 1_{[0,\infty)}(x)$$

where $\theta \in (0, \infty)$.

[461: 2 points, 561: 1 point] Find the Maximum Likelihood Estimate (MLE) $\hat{\theta}_n$ of θ . Is the MLE biased?

We have

$$\log L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = -n \log \theta - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \theta^{-1}$$

so

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\theta|\mathbf{x})}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\theta^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)$$

thus

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n}.$$

This estimate is clearly unbiased as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\theta(X) &= \int_0^\infty x \theta^{-1} \exp(-\theta^{-1}x) dx \\ &= \theta \int_0^\infty u \exp(-u) du \\ &= \theta \left(\left[\frac{u^2}{2} \exp(-u) \right]_0^\infty + \int_0^\infty \exp(-u) du \right) \\ &= \theta. \end{aligned}$$

[461: 2 points, 561: 2 points] Compute the Fisher information $I(\theta)$.

We have

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log f(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} = \frac{1}{\theta^2} - \frac{2x}{\theta^3}$$

so

$$-\mathbb{E}_\theta \left(\frac{\partial^2 \log f(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \right) = -\frac{1}{\theta^2} + \frac{2\theta}{\theta^3} = \frac{1}{\theta^2}.$$

[461: 1 points, 561: 1 point] What is the limiting distribution of $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)$? How could you estimate $I(\theta^*)$ from the data?

We have

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, I(\theta^*)^{-1})$$

and

$$I(\theta^*) \approx -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \log f(x_i | \theta)}{\partial \theta^2}.$$

• **Exercise 2.** Suppose Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n are independent identically distributed from the following so-called zero-inflated Poisson distribution $g(y | \theta^*)$, that is for $\theta = (\pi, \lambda) \in (0, 1) \times (0, \infty)$

$$g(y = 0 | \theta) = \pi + (1 - \pi) \exp(-\lambda)$$

and for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$g(y = i | \theta) = (1 - \pi) \frac{\exp(-\lambda) \lambda^i}{i!}.$$

[461: 2 points, 561: 1point] Show that $g(y | \theta)$ can be rewritten as a mixture, that is

$$g(y | \theta) = \pi \delta_0(y) + (1 - \pi) h(y | \lambda)$$

where $\delta_0(y) = 1$ if $y = 0$ and $\delta_0(y) = 0$ otherwise and $h(y | \lambda)$ is a Poisson distribution of parameter λ .

This is trivial by the definition.

[461: 3 points, 561: 2 points] Derive the Expectation-Maximization algorithm to maximize the likelihood of the observations

$$L(\theta | \mathbf{y}) = L(\theta | y_1, \dots, y_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \log g(y_k | \theta).$$

We introduce $X_i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that

$$f(x_i, y_i | \theta) = f(x_i | \theta) f(y_i | \theta, x_i) = \begin{cases} \pi \delta_0(y_i) & \text{if } x_i = 1, \\ (1 - \pi) h(y_i | \lambda) & \text{if } x_i = 2. \end{cases}$$

So we have

$$Q(\theta, \hat{\theta}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\log \pi \cdot f(x_i = 1 | y_i, \hat{\theta}_j) + (\log(1 - \pi) - \lambda + y_i \log \lambda - y_i!) f(x_i = 2 | y_i, \hat{\theta}_j) \right]$$

and

$$\frac{\partial Q(\theta, \hat{\theta}_j)}{\partial \pi} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i = 1 | y_i, \hat{\theta}_j)}{\pi} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i = 2 | y_i, \hat{\theta}_j)}{1 - \pi} = 0$$

thus

$$\hat{\pi}_{j+1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i = 1 | y_i, \hat{\theta}_j)}{n}$$

where $f(x_i = 1 | y_i, \hat{\theta}_j) = 0$ if $y_j \neq 0$ and

$$f(x_i = 1 | y_i = 0, \hat{\theta}_j) = \frac{\hat{\pi}_j}{\hat{\pi}_j + (1 - \hat{\pi}_j) \exp(-\hat{\lambda}_j)}.$$

Now

$$\frac{\partial Q(\theta, \hat{\theta}_j)}{\partial \lambda} = - \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i = 2 | y_i, \hat{\theta}_j) + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{\lambda} = 0$$

so

$$\hat{\lambda}_{j+1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i = 2 | y_i, \hat{\theta}_j)}$$

where

$$f(x_i = 2 | y_i, \hat{\theta}_j) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1 - \hat{\pi}_j) \exp(-\hat{\lambda}_j)}{\hat{\pi}_j + (1 - \hat{\pi}_j) \exp(-\hat{\lambda}_j)} & \text{if } y_i = 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

[461: Optional 2 points, 561: 2 points] Use Fisher's identity to compute

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\theta | \mathbf{y})}{\partial \theta} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \log L(\theta | \mathbf{y})}{\partial \pi} \\ \frac{\partial \log L(\theta | \mathbf{y})}{\partial \lambda} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \log f(x_i, y_i | \theta)}{\partial \pi} &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_i = 1 \text{ and } y_i = 0, \\ \frac{-1}{(1 - \pi)} & \text{if } x_i = 2. \end{cases}, \\ \frac{\partial \log f(x_i, y_i | \theta)}{\partial \lambda} &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x_i = 1 \text{ and } y_i = 0, \\ -1 + \frac{y_i}{\lambda} & \text{if } x_i = 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \log L(\theta | \mathbf{y})}{\partial \pi} &= \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i = 1 | y_i, \theta) + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i = 2 | y_i, \theta)}{(1 - \pi)}, \\ \frac{\partial \log L(\theta | \mathbf{y})}{\partial \lambda} &= \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i = 2 | y_i, \theta) \left(-1 + \frac{y_i}{\lambda}\right). \end{aligned}$$

• **Optional Exercise 3.** Suppose $X^1 = (X_1^1, X_2^1)$, $X^2 = (X_1^2, X_2^2), \dots$, $X^n = (X_1^n, X_2^n)$ are independent identically distributed from

$$f(x | \theta^*) = f(x_1, x_2 | \theta^*).$$

[461: For the glory 0 point, 561: 2 points] Suppose your estimator is based on the maximization of the pseudo-log-likelihood function

$$PL(\theta | x^1, \dots, x^n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \{\log f(x_1^i | \theta, x_2^i) + \log f(x_2^i | \theta, x_1^i)\}.$$

Establish the expression of

$$PL(\theta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} PL(\theta | x^1, \dots, x^n).$$

Is θ^* a maximum of $PL(\theta)$?

We have by the law of large numbers

$$PL(\theta) = \int (\log f(x_1 | \theta, x_2) + \log f(x_2 | \theta, x_1)) f(x_1, x_2 | \theta^*) dx_1 dx_2$$

and it is equivalent to maximize

$$\begin{aligned} M(\theta) &= PL(\theta) - \int (\log f(x_1 | \theta^*, x_2) + \log f(x_2 | \theta^*, x_1)) f(x_1, x_2 | \theta^*) dx_1 dx_2 \\ &= \int \left(\log \left(\frac{f(x_1 | \theta, x_2)}{f(x_1 | \theta^*, x_2)} \right) + \log \left(\frac{f(x_2 | \theta, x_1)}{f(x_2 | \theta^*, x_1)} \right) \right) f(x_1, x_2 | \theta^*) dx_1 dx_2 \\ &= \int \left(\int \log \left(\frac{f(x_1 | \theta, x_2)}{f(x_1 | \theta^*, x_2)} \right) f(x_1 | \theta^*, x_2) dx_1 \right) f(x_2 | \theta^*) dx_2 \\ &\quad + \int \left(\int \log \left(\frac{f(x_2 | \theta, x_1)}{f(x_2 | \theta^*, x_1)} \right) f(x_2 | \theta^*, x_1) dx_2 \right) f(x_1 | \theta^*) dx_1 \end{aligned}$$

We have $M(\theta^*) = 0$ and $M(\theta^*) \leq 0$ for $\theta \neq \theta^*$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \int \log \left(\frac{f(x_1 | \theta, x_2)}{f(x_1 | \theta^*, x_2)} \right) f(x_1 | \theta^*, x_2) dx_1 &\leq 0, \\ \int \log \left(\frac{f(x_2 | \theta, x_1)}{f(x_2 | \theta^*, x_1)} \right) f(x_2 | \theta^*, x_1) dx_2 &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$