

# Lecture Stat 461-561

## M-Estimation

AD

February 2008

# Introduction & Motivation

- In most applications, we have  $X_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} g$  and we obtain an estimate  $\hat{a}$  by minimizing a suitable cost function; e.g.
  - the mean corresponds to  $\sum_{i=1}^n (\theta - x_i)^2$ .
  - the median corresponds to  $\sum_{i=1}^n |\theta - x_i|$ .
  - the MLE corresponds to negative log-likelihood  $-\sum_{i=1}^n \log f(x_i | \theta)$ .
- However, even the mean estimate of a location parameter is typically not robust. In contrast, the median could be too 'rough'.
- Example: Consider

$$\mathbf{x} = (-1.28, -0.96, -0.46, -0.44, -0.26, -0.21, -0.063, 0.39, 3, 6, 9)$$

where  $X_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 8$  but  $X_9, X_{10}, X_{11}$  are outliers.

- The mean is 1.33 and the median is -0.21.
- Huber (1964) introduced a general loss function which is a compromise between mean and median; i.e. we minimize

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \rho(x_i - \theta)$$

where

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}x^2 & \text{if } |x| \leq k \\ k|x| - \frac{1}{2}k^2 & \text{if } |x| \geq k \end{cases}$$

- Large observations are not as heavily weighted as for  $\sum_{i=1}^n (\theta - x_i)^2$ .
- $k$  is a tuning parameter which controls the mix between the mean and median-like estimators.

- Results

$k$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	8	10
Estimate	-.21	.03	-.04	.29	.41	.52	.87	.97	1.33

Huber's estimator as a function of  $k$

- When  $k = 0$ , Huber's estimator corresponds to the median and as  $k$  increases it gets closer to the mean; i.e. the robustness properties of the estimator are decreasing.
- Remark:* Clearly minimizing

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \rho(x_i - \theta)$$

appears equivalent to maximizing a log-likelihood for which  $\log f(x_i | \theta) = cste - \rho(x_i - \theta)$ . We will describe later more general estimates which do not support such an interpretation.

# Basic Approach

- Now we assume a more general case where  $X_i \sim g$  and our estimate  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is solution of

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i, \theta) = 0.$$

- Under regularity conditions  $\hat{\theta}_n$  will converge towards the parameter  $\theta^*$  satisfying

$$\mathbb{E}_g [\psi(X, \theta^*)] = \int \psi(x, \theta^*) g(x) dx = 0.$$

- If  $g(x) = f(x|\theta_0)$  then  $\theta^*$  is defined by

$$\mathbb{E}_{f(\cdot|\theta)} [\psi(X, \theta^*)] = \int \psi(x, \theta^*) f(x|\theta_0) dx = 0,$$

i.e. be careful: we do not have necessarily  $\theta^* = \theta_0$ ! Also in practice, we would like it to be the case.

- For example, if  $\psi(x, \theta) = x - \theta$  then  $\theta^* = \mathbb{E}_g[X]$ .

- To study the asymptotic properties of  $\hat{\theta}_n$ , we use the (now standard) Taylor expansion method of  $\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(\theta, x_i)$  around the value  $\theta^*$  which yields

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i, \theta^*) + (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*) \sum_{i=1}^n \psi'(x_i, \theta^*) + R_n$$

- By ignoring the remainder term  $R_n$ , we obtain

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*) = \frac{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i, \theta^*)}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi'(x_i, \theta^*)}$$

- The CLT yields

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i, \theta^*) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbb{E}_g[\psi^2(X, \theta^*)])$$

as  $\mathbb{E}_g[\psi(X_i, \theta^*)] = 0$  and  $\text{var}_g[\psi(X_i, \theta^*)] = \mathbb{E}_g[\psi^2(X, \theta^*)]$ .

- The law of large numbers provides

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi'(x_i, \theta^*) \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{E}_g[\psi'(X, \theta^*)]$$

- So by Slutsky's theorem, we get

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\mathbb{E}_g[\psi^2(X, \theta^*)]}{\mathbb{E}_g[\psi'(X, \theta^*)]^2}\right).$$

- This is a generalization of the misspecified model we discussed before where  $\psi$  is arbitrary.

# Study of the Huber's estimate

- For the Huber's estimate, we have  $\psi(x, \theta) = \psi(x - \theta)$  where

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } |x| \leq k \\ k & \text{if } x \geq k \\ -k & \text{if } x < -k \end{cases}.$$

- Assume we have  $X_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} f(x - \theta)$  where  $f$  is symmetric around 0 and we want to estimate  $\theta$  then indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\psi(X - \theta)] &= \int_{\theta-k}^{\theta+k} (x - \theta) f(x - \theta) dx - k \int_{-\infty}^{\theta-k} f(x - \theta) dx \\ &\quad + k \int_{\theta+k}^{+\infty} f(x - \theta) dx \\ &= \int_{-k}^k u f(u) du - k \int_{-\infty}^{-k} f(u) du + k \int_k^{+\infty} f(u) du \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

- In this case we have indeed that  $\theta^* = \theta$ !



- We also have

$$\mathbb{E} [\psi' (X - \theta)] = \int_{\theta-k}^{\theta+k} f (x - \theta) dx = P_{\theta} (|X| \leq k),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [\psi^2 (X - \theta)] &= \int_{\theta-k}^{\theta+k} (x - \theta)^2 f (x - \theta) dx + k^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\theta-k} f (x - \theta) dx \\ &\quad + k^2 \int_{\theta+k}^{+\infty} f (x - \theta) dx \\ &= \int_{-k}^k u^2 f (u) du + 2k^2 \int_k^{+\infty} f (u) du. \end{aligned}$$

- It follows that the Huber's estimate satisfies

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N} \left( 0, \frac{\int_{-k}^k u^2 f (u) du + 2k^2 P_{\theta^*} (|X| > k)}{[P_{\theta^*} (|X| \leq k)]^2} \right)$$

- We compare the asymptotic relative efficiencies of Huber's estimate for  $k = 1.5$  to mean and median

	Normal	Double Exponential
vs. mean	.96	1.37
vs. median	1.51	.68

that is  $\sigma_{\text{Huber}}^2 / \sigma_{\text{mean}}^2$  and  $\sigma_{\text{Huber}}^2 / \sigma_{\text{median}}^2$ .

- Remember that mean is the MLE of normal and median is the MLE of double exponential so ARE are  $< 1$  as expected.
- Huber's estimator performs however reasonably well compared to the MLE.

- An M-estimator is a tradeoff between robustness and efficiency.
- To see how much we are losing, we study in more details the asymptotic variance given by  $\mathbb{E} [\psi' (X, \theta^*)]^{-2} \mathbb{E} [\psi^2 (X, \theta^*)]$ .
- We have

$$\mathbb{E} [\psi' (X, \theta)] = - \int \frac{d\psi (x, \theta)}{d\theta} f (x | \theta) dx$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\theta} \int \psi (x, \theta) f (x | \theta) dx &= \int \frac{d\psi (x, \theta)}{d\theta} f (x | \theta) dx \\ &\quad + \int \psi (x, \theta) \frac{df (x | \theta)}{d\theta} dx \end{aligned}$$

so **if**  $\int \psi (x, \theta) f (x | \theta) dx = 0$  for all  $\theta$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [\psi' (X, \theta)] &= \int \psi (x, \theta) \frac{df (x | \theta)}{d\theta} dx \\ &= \int \psi (x, \theta) \frac{d \log f (x | \theta)}{d\theta} f (x | \theta) dx \end{aligned}$$

- Recall that the asymptotic variance of the MLE is in

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{d \log f(X|\theta)}{d\theta} \right]^2 \text{ thus}$$

$$ARE = \frac{\text{var}(\text{MLE})}{\text{var}(M)} = \frac{\mathbb{E} \left[ \psi(X, \theta) \frac{d \log f(x|\theta)}{d\theta} \right]^2}{\mathbb{E} [\psi^2(X, \theta^*)] \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ \frac{d \log f(X|\theta)}{d\theta} \right]^2} \leq 1$$

follows from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality.

- An M-estimate is always less efficient than the MLE and matches its efficiency only if  $\psi(x, \theta)$  is proportional to  $\frac{d \log f(x|\theta)}{d\theta}$ .
- This result does not say much; if one uses an M-estimate it is because it is not believed that the model  $f(x|\theta)$  is reliable...

## General multivariate case

- We want to estimate the multidimensional parameter  $\theta^*$  which satisfies

$$\mathbb{E} [\psi (X, \theta^*)] = \int \psi (x, \theta^*) f (x) dx = 0.$$

- This extension is trivial theoretically but will allow us to study numerous interesting estimates; e.g. consider the estimate

$$\hat{\theta}_{1,n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - \bar{x}|.$$

- At first glance, this is not an M-estimate as there is no single equation of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \psi (x_i, \theta_1) = 0$$

that yields  $\hat{\theta}_{1,n}$ .

- Moreover there is no family of densities  $f (x | \theta)$  such that  $\hat{\theta}_{1,n}$  is a component of the MLE of  $\theta$ .

- However, we can write

$$\psi(x, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(x, \theta_1, \theta_2) \\ \psi_2(x, \theta_1, \theta_2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} |x - \theta_2| - \theta_1 \\ (x - \theta_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

- We find out that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i, \theta_1, \theta_2) = 0$$

$$\text{implies } \hat{\theta}_{2,n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \hat{\theta}_{1,n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - \bar{x}|.$$

- Asymptotic results can be easily establish using a straightforward generalization of the scalar case and, under regularity assumptions, we obtain

$$\sqrt{n} \left( \hat{\theta}_n - \theta^* \right) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N} \left( 0, V \left( \theta^* \right) \right)$$

where

$$V \left( \theta^* \right) = A^{-1} \left( \theta^* \right) B \left( \theta^* \right) \left\{ A^{-1} \left( \theta^* \right) \right\}^T$$

with

$$A \left( \theta^* \right) = \mathbb{E} \left[ - \frac{\partial \psi \left( X, \theta \right)}{\partial \theta^T} \right] \Bigg|_{\theta = \theta^*}, \quad B \left( \theta^* \right) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \psi \left( X, \theta^* \right) \psi \left( X, \theta^* \right)^T \right].$$

- Clearly if  $\psi \left( x, \theta \right) = \frac{\partial \log f \left( x | \theta \right)}{\partial \theta}$  and if the data truly come from the assumed parametric family  $f \left( x | \theta \right)$  then

$$A \left( \theta^* \right) = B \left( \theta^* \right) = I \left( \theta^* \right) \Rightarrow V \left( \theta^* \right) = I \left( \theta^* \right)^{-1}.$$

- However, in many cases the data do not come from the assumed family and valid inference should be carried out using the correct limiting covariance matrix.

- Let us define  $G_n(\theta) := \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i, \theta)$ . The idea of the proof is always the same

$$G_n(\hat{\theta}_n) = 0 = G_n(\theta^*) + G'_n(\theta^*)(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*) + R_n$$

where  $G'_n(\theta^*) = \left[ \frac{\partial G_n(\theta)}{\partial \theta^T} \right] \Big|_{\theta=\theta^*}$  so

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*) = -[G'_n(\theta^*)]^{-1} \sqrt{n}G_n(\theta^*) + \sqrt{n}R_n^*$$

- Moreover under regularity conditions

$$\begin{aligned} -G'_n(\theta^*) &\xrightarrow{P} A(\theta^*), \\ \sqrt{n}G_n(\theta^*) &\xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, B(\theta^*)), \\ \sqrt{n}R_n^* &\xrightarrow{P} 0. \end{aligned}$$



- We can estimate  $A(\theta^*)$ ,  $B(\theta^*)$  and  $V(\theta^*)$  using the data samples via

$$A_n(\hat{\theta}_n, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{\partial \psi(x_i, \hat{\theta}_n)}{\partial \theta^\top},$$

$$B(\hat{\theta}_n, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i, \hat{\theta}_n) \psi(x_i, \hat{\theta}_n)^\top,$$

$$V(\hat{\theta}_n, \mathbf{x}) = A^{-1}(\hat{\theta}_n, \mathbf{x}) B(\hat{\theta}_n, \mathbf{x}) \left\{ A^{-1}(\hat{\theta}_n, \mathbf{x}) \right\}^\top$$

- Under mild regularity assumptions, we have

$$V(\hat{\theta}_n, \mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{P} V(\theta^*)$$

- An interesting extension consists of considering

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i, \theta) = c_n$$

where  $c_n / \sqrt{n} \xrightarrow{P} 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

- In these cases, the asymptotic results still hold as we can simply write

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(\hat{\theta}_n) - c_n &= 0 = G_n(\theta^*) - c_n + G'_n(\theta^*)(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*) + R_n \\ &= G_n(\theta^*) + G'_n(\theta^*)(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*) + R_n - c_n \end{aligned}$$

and  $c_n$  is absorbed in the remainder.

- *Example. Posterior mode.* In this case, assume we are interested in maximizing the posterior distribution which is proportional to

$$\pi(\theta) \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta).$$

- Then it can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \log f(x_i | \theta)}{\partial \theta} = - \frac{\partial \log \pi(\theta)}{\partial \theta}.$$

- It follows that as long as

$$c_n(\theta) = - \frac{\partial \log \pi(\theta)}{\partial \theta}$$

is such that  $c_n(\theta) / \sqrt{n} \xrightarrow{P} 0$  then the Bayesian MAP estimator has the same asymptotic covariance as the MLE.

- Let  $\hat{\theta}_n = (\bar{x}_n, s_n) = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)^2 \right)$ . This estimate is an M-estimate for

$$\psi(x, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(x, \theta_1, \theta_2) \\ \psi_2(x, \theta_1, \theta_2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x - \theta_1 \\ (x - \theta_1)^2 - \theta_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- We can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} A(\theta^*) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ -\frac{\partial \psi(X, \theta)}{\partial \theta^T} \right] \Big|_{\theta=\theta^*} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2(x - \theta_1^*) & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B(\theta^*) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \psi(X, \theta^*) \psi(X, \theta^*)^T \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \begin{pmatrix} (x - \theta_1^*)^2 & (x - \theta_1^*) \left( (x - \theta_1^*)^2 - \theta_2^* \right) \\ (x - \theta_1^*) \left( (x - \theta_1^*)^2 - \theta_2^* \right) & \left( (x - \theta_1^*)^2 - \theta_2^* \right)^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \theta_2^* & \mu_3 \\ \mu_3 & \mu_4 - \theta_2^{*2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_2^* & \mu_3 \\ \mu_3 & \mu_4 - \sigma^4 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu_3$  is the 3th central moment of  $X$  and we have use the more familiar notation  $\sigma^2 = \theta_2^*$ .

- We can estimate  $B(\theta^*)$  by

$$B(\hat{\theta}_n, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} s_n^2 & m_3 \\ m_3 & m_4 - s_n^4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- $\hat{\theta}_n$  is a MLE estimate associated to  $f(x|\theta) = (2\pi\theta_2)^{-1/2} \exp\left(-(x - \theta_1)^2 / 2\theta_2\right)$  but  $\psi_1(x, \theta_1, \theta_2) = x - \theta_1$  and  $\psi_2(x, \theta_1, \theta_2) = (x - \theta_1)^2 - \theta_2$  are not the score functions which are equal to  $\frac{\partial \log f(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta_1} = (x - \theta_1) / \theta_2$  and  $\frac{\partial \log f(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta_2} = (x - \theta_1)^2 / 2\theta_2^2 - 1/2\theta_2$ .
- It follows that clearly the  $\psi$  functions are not unique - many different functions lead to the same estimator. They also yield different  $A(\theta^*)$  and  $B(\theta^*)$  but the same  $V(\theta^*)$ .

- If we pick  $\psi_{\text{MLE}}(x, \theta) = \frac{\partial \log f(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta}$  then

$$A(\theta^*) = \mathbb{E} \left[ -\frac{\partial \psi(X, \theta)}{\partial \theta^\top} \right] \Big|_{\theta=\theta^*} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sigma^4 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B(\theta^*) = \mathbb{E} [\psi(X, \theta^*) \psi(X, \theta^*)^\top] = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sigma^2 & \frac{\mu_3}{2\sigma^3} \\ \frac{\mu_3}{2\sigma^3} & \frac{\mu_4 - \sigma^4}{4\sigma^8} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- If the data are distributed according to  $f(x|\theta)$  then  $\mu_3 = 0$  and  $\mu_4 = 3\sigma^4$  and it follows that

$$A(\theta^*) = B(\theta^*) = \text{Diag}(1/\sigma^2, 1/\sigma^4).$$

- Note that the likelihood score functions  $\psi_{\text{MLE}}$  are related to the original  $\psi$  by

$$\psi_{\text{MLE}} = C\psi$$

where  $C = \text{Diag}(1/\sigma^2, 1/\sigma^4)$ . Generally speaking all functions  $\psi' = C\psi$  where  $C$  is non singular (but possibly dependent on  $\theta^*$  and  $x$ ) leads to the same estimator and the same asymptotic matrix.

- **Example Ratio Estimator:** Let

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{x}}$$

where  $\bar{x} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$  and  $\bar{y} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$  with  $\mathbb{E}(X) = \mu_X$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(Y) = \mu_Y$ ,  $\text{var}(X) = \sigma_X^2$ ,  $\text{var}(Y) = \sigma_Y^2$  and  $\text{cov}(X, Y) = \sigma_{XY}$ .

- We have

$$\psi(X, Y, \theta) = Y - \theta X$$

thus

$$A(\theta^*) = \mathbb{E} \left[ -\frac{\partial \psi(X, \theta)}{\partial \theta^\top} \right] \Big|_{\theta=\theta^*} = \mu_X,$$

$$B(\theta^*) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \psi(X, \theta^*)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ (Y - \theta^* X)^2 \right],$$

$$V(\theta^*) = \mathbb{E} \left[ (Y - \theta^* X)^2 \right] / \mu_X^2,$$

- These matrices can be estimated through

$$A\left(\hat{\theta}_n, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\right) = \bar{x},$$

$$B\left(\hat{\theta}_n, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i - \frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{x}} x_i\right)^2,$$

$$V\left(\hat{\theta}_n, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\right) = \frac{1}{n\bar{x}^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i - \frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{x}} x_i\right)^2.$$



- If we are interested in the joint distribution of  $\left(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{x}}\right)$ , we only need to define

$$\psi(X, Y, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} Y - \theta_1 \\ X - \theta_2 \\ \theta_1 - \theta_3 \theta_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- We obtain

$$A(\theta^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & \theta_3^* & \theta_2^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad B(\theta^*) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_Y^2 & \sigma_{XY} & 0 \\ \sigma_{XY} & \sigma_X^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- We can check that the  $(3, 3)$ th element of  $V(\theta^*) = A^{-1}(\theta^*) B(\theta^*) \{A^{-1}(\theta^*)\}^T$  is

$$\begin{aligned} v_{33} &= \frac{1}{\theta_2^{*2}} [\sigma_Y^2 - 2\theta_3^* \sigma_{XY} + \theta_3^{*2} \sigma_X^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(Y - \theta^* X)^2] / \mu_X^2 \end{aligned}$$

- **Example Instrumental Variable Estimation:**

$$\begin{aligned}Y_i &= \beta X_i + \sigma_\varepsilon \varepsilon_{1,i} \\W_i &= X_i + \sigma_U \varepsilon_{2,i} \\T_i &= \gamma + \delta X_i + \sigma_\tau \varepsilon_{3,i}\end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon_{j,i}$  are mutually independent errors with zero mean and unit variance. We also assume that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are unobserved, independent of  $\{\varepsilon_{j,i}\}$  and have finite variance  $\sigma_X^2$ .

- $W_i$  is a measurement of  $X_i$  and  $T_i$  is an instrumental variable for  $X_i$  (for estimating  $\beta$ ) provided that  $\delta \neq 0$ .
- The OLS estimator of slope obtained by regressing  $Y$  on  $W$  is

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{Y|W} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i (\beta(W_i - \sigma_U \varepsilon_{2,i}) + \sigma_\varepsilon \varepsilon_{1,i})}{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i^2} \\&= \beta - \beta \underbrace{\frac{\sigma_U \sum_{i=1}^n W_i \varepsilon_{2,i}}{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i^2}}_{\rightarrow \frac{\sigma_U^2}{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_U^2}} + \underbrace{\frac{\sigma_\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n W_i \varepsilon_{1,i}}{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i^2}}_{\rightarrow 0} \\&\xrightarrow{P} \frac{\sigma_X^2}{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_U^2} \beta.\end{aligned}$$

- For sake of simplicity, let's take here  $\gamma = 0$ . Let  $\hat{\beta}_{Y|W}$  and  $\hat{\beta}_{W|T}$  be the slopes from the LS regressions of  $Y$  on  $T$  and  $W$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\beta}_{Y|T} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i T_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n T_i^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\beta \delta^{-1} (T_i - \sigma_\tau \varepsilon_{3,i}) + \sigma_\varepsilon \varepsilon_{1,i}) T_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n T_i^2} \\
 &= \beta \delta^{-1} - \underbrace{\beta \delta^{-1} \sigma_\tau \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{3,i} T_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n T_i^2}}_{\rightarrow \frac{\sigma_\tau^2}{\sigma_\tau^2 + \delta^2 \sigma_X^2}} + \underbrace{\beta \sigma_\varepsilon \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{1,i} T_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n T_i^2}}_{\rightarrow 0} \\
 &\rightarrow \beta \delta^{-1} \frac{\delta^2 \sigma_X^2}{\sigma_\tau^2 + \sigma_X^2} \\
 \hat{\beta}_{W|T} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i T_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n T_i^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\delta^{-1} (T_i - \sigma_\tau \varepsilon_{3,i}) + \sigma_U \varepsilon_{2,i}) T_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n T_i^2} \\
 &= \delta^{-1} - \underbrace{\delta^{-1} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_\tau \varepsilon_{3,i} T_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n T_i^2}}_{\rightarrow \frac{\sigma_\tau^2}{\sigma_\tau^2 + \delta^2 \sigma_X^2}} + \underbrace{\frac{\sigma_U \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{2,i} T_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n T_i^2}}_{\rightarrow 0} \\
 &\rightarrow \delta^{-1} \frac{\delta^2 \sigma_X^2}{\sigma_\tau^2 + \sigma_X^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

- The instrumental variable estimator is defined by

$$\hat{\beta}_{IV} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_{Y|T}}{\hat{\beta}_{W|T}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i T_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i T_i} \rightarrow \beta.$$

- This estimate is an M-estimator. A choice for  $\psi$  consists of using

$$\psi(Y, W, T, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 - T \\ (Y - \theta_2 W)(\theta_1 - T) \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta_1 - t_i) &= 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_1 = \bar{t} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n t_i, \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \theta_2 w_i)(\theta_1 - t_i) &= 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i (t_i - \bar{t})}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i (t_i - \bar{t})} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \bar{T}, \quad \hat{\theta}_2 = \hat{\beta}_{IV}.$$

- We obtain

$$A(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{X,T} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_T^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_T^2 (\sigma_\varepsilon^2 + \beta^2 \sigma_U^2) \end{pmatrix}$$

- This yields the asymptotic covariance matrix

$$A(\theta)^{-1} B(\theta) \left( A(\theta)^{-1} \right)^T = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_T^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_T^2 (\sigma_\varepsilon^2 + \beta^2 \sigma_U^2) / \sigma_{X,T}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- When there is doubt about the magnitude of  $\sigma_U^2$ , then we might want to estimate the joint asymptotic distribution of  $\hat{\beta}_{IV}$  and  $\hat{\beta}_{Y|W}$ .

- *Example.* The sample  $p$ th quantile  $\hat{\theta}_n = F_n^{-1}(p)$  satisfies

$$\psi(x, \theta) = p - \mathbb{I}(x \leq \theta)$$

- We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(x_i, \theta) = c_n = n \left( p - F_n(\hat{\theta}_n) \right) \leq 1$$

- This function is discontinuous at  $\theta^*$  but we can have

$$\begin{aligned} A(\theta^*) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\top} \mathbb{E}[\psi(X, \theta)]|_{\theta=\theta^*} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\top} [p - F(\theta)] \Big|_{\theta=\theta^*} \\ &= f(\theta^*). \end{aligned}$$

- We also have

$$B(\theta^*) = \mathbb{E} [p - \mathbb{I}(X \leq \theta^*)]^2 = p(1 - p).$$

thus we have

$$V(\theta^*) = \frac{p(1 - p)}{f(\theta^*)^2}.$$

- We could also stack any finite number of quantiles  $\psi$  functions together to get the joint asymptotic distribution of  $(F_n^{-1}(p_1), \dots, F_n^{-1}(p_k))$ .
- However we cannot use  $A(\hat{\theta}_n, \mathbf{x})$  to estimate  $A(\theta^*)$ : in fact, the derivative of the  $p$ th quantile  $\psi$  function is zero everywhere except at the location of the jump discontinuity!
- To estimate  $f$ , we can use a kernel density estimator. An alternative consists of approximating  $\psi$  by a smooth  $\psi$  function.

- *Example.* The positive mean deviation from the median is defined to be

$$\hat{\theta}_{1,n} = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{\theta}_{2,n}) \mathbb{I}(x_i \geq \hat{\theta}_{2,n})$$

where  $\hat{\theta}_{2,n}$  is the sample median.

- The  $\psi$  function is

$$\psi(x, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 2(x - \theta_2) \mathbb{I}(x \geq \theta_2) - \theta_1 \\ \frac{1}{2} - \mathbb{I}(x \leq \theta_2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

- The 1st component of  $\psi$  is continuous everywhere but not differentiable at  $\theta_2 = x$ . The 2nd component has a jump discontinuity at  $\theta_2 = x$ . To get  $A(\theta^*)$ , we calculate

$$\mathbb{E}[\psi(X, \theta)] = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \int_{\theta_2}^{\infty} (x - \theta_2) f(x) dx - \theta_1 \\ \frac{1}{2} - F(\theta_2) \end{pmatrix}.$$



- We write

$$2 \int_{\theta_2}^{\infty} (x - \theta_2) f(x) dx - \theta_1 = 2 \int_{\theta_2}^{\infty} x f(x) dx - 2\theta_2 [1 - F(\theta_2)] - \theta_1.$$

The derivative of this expression with respect to  $\theta_1$  is -1, the derivative with  $\theta_2$  is

$$-2\theta_2 f(\theta_2) - 2[1 - F(\theta_2)] + 2\theta_2 f(\theta_2).$$

- It follows that

$$A(\theta^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & f(\theta_2^*) \end{pmatrix}, \quad B(\theta^*) = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & \frac{\theta_1^*}{2} \\ \frac{\theta_1^*}{2} & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $b_{11} = 4 \int_{\theta_2^*}^{\infty} (x - \theta_2^*)^2 f(x) dx - \theta_1^{*2}$ .

- Finally we obtain

$$V(\theta^*) = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} - \frac{\theta_1^*}{f(\theta_2^*)} + \frac{1}{4f(\theta_2^*)^2} & \frac{\theta_1^*}{2f(\theta_2^*)} - \frac{1}{4f(\theta_2^*)^2} \\ \frac{\theta_1^*}{2f(\theta_2^*)} - \frac{1}{4f(\theta_2^*)^2} & \frac{1}{4f(\theta_2^*)^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$