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Background

When we build systems that interact with the world, with humans, and with other agents, we rely upon all of the aspects of cognitive vision:

- knowledge representation
- descriptions of the scene and its constituent objects
- models of agents and their intentions
- learning
- adaptation to the world and other agents
- reasoning about events and about structures
- interpretation of other agents’ and users’ interactions
- recognition and categorization
The Robot Partners project focuses on the design and implementation of visually guided collaborative agents, specifically interacting autonomous mobile robots.

- localization and mapping
- user modeling
- interpretation of gestures and actions
- interaction with human agents
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A robot waiter at work
Plan

I will tell you about what sort of visual capabilities our robots have.

Then I’ll describe several roles the robots have played – jobs they have done.

Finally I’ll describe our recent work where we learn what visual inputs matter to a robot when interacting with people.
Robot physical structure

Erik: face display on PTU, Bumblebee stereo (gold), brain belt
Capabilities for visually-guided robots

- Seeing in depth
- Navigation - Avoiding dynamic obstacles
- Local Spatial Context
- Localization
- Face Recognition
- Gestures and Expressions
- Speech Recognition and Synthesis
- Interaction
- Associating Names with Visual Structures
Stereo: seeing in depth
Stereo results (with patchlets)
From stereo to maps
Local map generated by autonomous exploration
Robot viewing wall and desk with computers to its right.

Floor: occupancy grid map; Blue: field of view
Black: at least one cell above is occupied; White: empty space
Green: cells 60% occupied
Brightness and Depth
Depth Pixels and Scale
Where am I?

Simultaneous Localization and Mapping

- In order to collaborate with other robots and humans – its partners – a robot needs to determine its location.
- SLAM provides a map built from observed, distinguishable landmarks.
- We use visual features of the environment to build re-usable maps.
- The features are David Lowe’s Scale-Invariant Feature Transform image descriptors which are use to recognize objects and determine where they are.
- Where am I? = where is the world?
**Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT)**

- Image content is transformed into local feature descriptions that are invariant to translation, rotation, scale, and other imaging parameters.

SIFT Features
SIFT vector formation

- Thresholded image gradients are sampled over 16x16 array of locations in scale space
- Create array of orientation histograms
- 8 orientations x 4x4 histogram array = 128 dimensions

![Image gradients and Keypoint descriptor diagram]
Recognition under occlusion
Recognizing Panoramas

- Matthew Brown and David Lowe
- Recognize overlap from an unordered set of images and automatically stitch together
- SIFT features provide initial feature matching
- Image blending at multiple scales hides the seams
Multiple panoramas from an unordered image set
SIFT-base localization

SIFT features: scale, orientation

SIFT stereo: distance indicated by size
Map continuously built over time
Global Localization

- Kidnapped robot problem
- Recognize robot pose relative to a pre-built map

Measured Pose:
(70, 300, -40°)

Estimated Pose:
(75.8, 295.9, -41.1°)
Maps of terrain
Simplification by anisotropic smoothing
Silhouettes for viewing

Depending on task requirements, a detailed silhouette in a coarsely modeled surface may be sufficient for recognition and navigation purposes.
Seeing people and their actions

- The robot needs to locate people in order to assist them.
- We find faces in images and find how they move so that we can judge their expressions.
- A robot needs to know what people are doing, and what they may be telling the robot, either through gestures or motions that can be interpreted to know their attitude or feelings, or what they intend to do.
Finding People

Use occupancy grid probability map to decide where to serve
Detect people using skin color
Use stereo data to compute 3D position of people
What the robot sees
Optical Flow

$t=0$  

$t=1$  

$t=2$  

Diagram showing optical flow at different time steps.
Human facial displays in interactions: Partially Observable Markov Decision Processes

The model is a partially observable Markov decision process, or POMDP.

Partially Observable: a visual observation gives us uncertain information about the state of the world
Markov: State $t$ depends only on State $t-1$
Decision Process: actions are determined by Rewards
Coupled HMMs for Facial Expressions

Light blue circles: observables; Yellow diamonds: policies/utilities
Smiling analysed by mixture of Coupled Hidden Markov Models (CHMMs)

most likely D=2 "smile"
Trajectories: tracking
Result movie:
Tracking people
Tracking
Result
Understanding motion
Face: displaying emotional state

Neutral  Surprised  Angry  Sad

The face communicates the attitude of the robot to the interaction.
Robot Roles

- Given their capabilities, our robots can play different roles:

  a role is a set of activities with a collection of goals

- Jose is a robot waiter

- Homer delivers personal messages
Control Architecture

- Supervisor
  - Two way communication using UNIX/Windows socket architecture
  - High Level

- Middle Level
  - Localization
  - Goal planning
  - Navigation
  - Food tray monitoring
  - People Finding
  - Facial expressions
  - Speech synthesis
  - Speech recognition

- Low Level
  - robotServer
  - triglopsImageServer
  - colorImageServer
  - Two way communication using a shared memory architecture
Control Architecture (Cont.)

- Supervising Behavior decides what to do next
- There are 5 main states
- At each state more than one Behavior may be active
Constraint-based agents: models, languages and systems

Computational vision and robotics

Multiagent systems including soccer-playing robots

Specification, modeling and verification of hybrid dynamical systems
Constraint Nets: theory, tools, applications

✦ Robert St-Aubin & Mackworth are designing and building Probabilistic Constraint Nets (PCN) for representing uncertainty in robotic systems.

✦ Song & Mackworth have designed and implemented CNJ, a visual programming environment for Constraint Nets, implemented in Java. The system includes a specification and implementation of CNML, an XML environment for Constraint Nets.

✦ CNJ has been used as a tool by Pinar Muyan to develop a constraint-based controller for a simple robot soccer player.
CNJ: Robot in pursuit of ball
CNJ: Controlling Rotation/Pan/Tilt
CNJ: Animation of controller
What’s Missing?

We want to tell the robot what’s important: where to be and what to do – cognitive robotics

We want to program the robot by describing a scenario: what’s in the world and what happens – how people and objects interact

The robot should communicate: generate speech to explain actions/situations and learn verbal descriptions
Associating words with objects in images

- statistical model for learning the probability that a word is associated with an object in a scene.
- learn these relationships without access to the correct associations between objects and words.

- a Bayesian scheme for automatic weighting of features (e.g., colour, texture, position) improves accuracy by preventing overfitting on irrelevant features.
Contextual Translation

🌟 Issues: image segmentation and how context helps
Kevin Murphy

- Machine learning/ computational statistics
  - Probabilistic graphical models (PGMs)

- Combines graph theory and probability theory

My focus:
- Efficient (exact and approximate) inference algorithms
- Flexible software toolkits (e.g., BNT)

Applications to computer vision
- Visual object detection and scene understanding
Visual object detection and image understanding

My focus: model probabilistic relationships between objects and scenes.

Applications to wearable computing and mobile robotics.
Focus: Computer interpretation of 3D shape and visual motion

Objective: To understand how the measurement of visual motion can support high-level interpretation tasks related to object identity, non-visual physical properties and, for an object that is an intelligent agent, to actions and intentions.

Strategy: Link the interpretation of motion and 3D shape *as early as possible* in visual processing.

Research interests

- Image databases and content-based image retrieval
- Remote sensing and geographic information systems (GIS)
- Connections to biological vision, especially colour vision
LCI-related Grad Courses

Term 1

- 502: AI I – Mackworth
- 505: Image Understanding 1 – Little
- 532c: Graphical Models – K Murphy

Term 2

- 525: Image Understanding 2 – Lowe
- 540: Machine Learning – de Freitas
FIN
What were the successes and failures?

🌟 Failure: Image understanding systems but currently urban image analysis, e.g. building detection an rec

🌟 Successes

- new algorithms: normalized cuts, graph methods for stereo/motion
- Generative methods in general
- Bayesian methods
What are the new developments in the last decade which give us hope to overcome past difficulties?

- Most substantial change has been the change in focus from GOFAIR to situated agents living in dynamic unstructured environments.
- Dynamics mean that the low-level (subsymbolic) elements of vision become time-critical HENCE real-time stereo and motion analysis; attention becomes central – we know now from studies of inattentional blindness that representation of the world is incomplete at best.
- Movement from engineered systems with knowledge bases.
- Movement from, e.g., detectors that are generic to learned systems that operate at high speed, e.g., Viola’s face detector using boosting methods and fast implementation methods.
Hopeful new developments in the last decade (cont.)

- Move from a focus on pure deduction to a balanced approach with a focus on proper accounting for random variables in vision systems
- lead to a concentration on probabilistic methods
- e.g., mixture models
- Bayesian priors are replacing explicit knowledge as a focus
What is the relation between explicit and implicit knowledge in cognitive vision?

- What is implicit knowledge? Generic vs specific data?
- Knowledge about the structure of the world – the connections of dependence/independence relations
- Is explicit knowledge manipulable? Symbolic?
- What is the status of priors?
Motivation

- An agent needs a map to reason about actions
- Where am I? localization aids the agent to begin reasoning with its location in the map
- The map itself is an important artifact for use by others:
  - Stereo gives shape data, images
    - Virtualized reality by Kanade et al. (CMU)
    - Ikeuchi – Kamakura Great Buddha project
Navigation

- Vision based
- Build occupancy grid map of static features in the environment (using stereo)
- Path planning on grid
- Detect dynamic obstacles using stereo and bump sensors
- Update map and re-plan
Patchlet Surface Representation

- Goal: to properly interpret the uncertainty of stereo measurements in surface reconstruction.
- The sensor elements considered are local patches in the stereo image that create patchlets.
- These patchlets are fit to a plane and the uncertainty of the plane in orientation and position is determined from the stereo 3d points.
Brightness and Depth
Depth Pixels and Scale
HMMs

- HMMs and models of observable/nonobservable variables
- Observation model – mapping from unobservable states of the system to the sensor observations
- Typically Gaussian models of sensor noise are adopted but modern estimation methods, particularly Monte Carlo – Markov Chain (MCMC) methods enable more realistic and adaptable models of variation
- Hidden Markov Models (HMMs) describe transitions between unobservable states and relations between states and observables
- MDPs and POMDPs – methods for decision making using these models
- Belief networks, Bayesian networks -> dynamic Bayes nets
Action and Motion

- Recognizing people by their gait
- Identifying gestures and expressions
- Analyzing image sequences to identify activities of players from their trajectories – tracking and identification of context
Biometrics

- Recognition by visual or other means of the persons with whom the agent interacts
- The basic methods of measurement of shape, colour, motion, and so forth are common with analysis of gesture, and recognition of activity
Overview

We have developed a novel vision system that can recognize people by the way they walk. The system computes optical flow for an image sequence of a person walking, and then characterizes the shape of the motion with a set of sinusoidally-varying scalars. Feature vectors composed of the phases of the sinusoids are able to discriminate among people.
Input Sequence
Optical Flow

Optical flow (Little, Bulthoff and Poggio): $n$ frames of $(u,v)$ data, where $u$ is the $x$ flow and $v$ is the $y$ flow.

Points (white) where flow is non-zero.
Magnitude of flow
Fitting Ellipses to motion
Scatterplot

Separation is sufficient so that the k-nearest neighbours classification succeeds 96%
Surveillance and recognition

Jim Little
Work by Jim Clark and his students (McGill University)
Multiple Camera Area Surveillance Techniques

🌟 To distinguish "normal" people, objects, and activities from anomalous ones, and alert a security agent in the case of anomalous conditions.

🌟 Our current projects are:

- Fast people detection in corridor images, to be used as input for activity recognition.
- Similarity filter development, for knowing when a given scene has been seen before.
- Context-based object and scene recognition algorithms.
People Detection Algorithm

- uses JPEG encoded images from a network camera. A *large* set of image features is computed, *without* the need for complete JPEG decompression.
- A support-vector machine (SVM) is used to classify image regions into people or non-people regions.
- A related project is developing an FPGA hardware implementation.
What can be done in the next few years, in the next 5 - 7 years, what are long term goals?

❖ Return back to our roots in AI – reasoning is required to move past the most simple methods using priors, which themselves have little structure
❖ Reasoning allows one to specify structure
❖ How can we introduce knowledge bases into vision
❖ From a statistical model of images to the next level – association of objects in scenes?
❖ Local, within contexts, learning of association – requires some reasonable form of
❖ Segmentation – identification of constituent units
❖ Rich description
Lip-Reading
- Tulips1 database
- 12 subjects - 4 words

Affine (2 ZPs): 66%
First 7 ZPs  76%
2, 4, 8, 9, 10, 14, 22: 79%
(96 sequences, 835 frames)