

Question 1 [13 marks]

This is “Tree 1” in the rubric.

- (a) `t` is the type of a leaf in the tree.
- (b) A tree of type `DecTree t` is in the `Show` class if `t` is in the `Show` class. To show a tree of type `t`, call `showb` with the tree and the empty list.
- (c) `decode1 :: DecTree u -> [Int] -> (u, [Int])`
`decode1 (Val v) c = (v, c)`
`decode1 (Spl lt _) (0:c) = decode1 lt c`
`decode1 (Spl _ rt) (1:c) = decode1 rt c`

Question 2 [12 marks]

This is “Tree 2” in the rubric.

- (a) It can give an error if it is given a bit string that does not fully decode. This arises because `decode1` does not include a case for the empty list.
- (b) It has to read the whole bit string to decide if the result is `Nothing`, instead of being able to use the first decoded symbol. (This was, by design, the most difficult question of the exam!)
- (c) It was claimed to work for all types at the leaves, but with this declaration, it only works for strings at the leaves. The type of `decode1` and `decode` need to have `String` instead of the type variable `u`.
`decode1 :: DecTree String -> [Int] -> (String, [Int])`
`decode :: DecTree String -> [Int] -> [String]`
- (d) Add the following to `decode1`
`decode1 (Spl lt _) [] = decode1 lt []`

Question 3 [11 marks]

This is “MapFold Tree” in the rubric.

- (a) `maptree :: (t1 -> t2) -> DecTree t1 -> DecTree t2`
`maptree f (Val t) = Val (f t)`
`maptree f (Spl lt rt) = Spl (maptree f lt) (maptree f rt)`
- (b) This question was designed to separate the A students from the rest.
`treefold :: (t1 -> t2 -> t2) -> t2 -> DecTree t1 -> t2`
`treefold f b (Val t) = f t b`
`treefold f b (Spl lt rt) = treefold f (treefold f b rt) lt`

Question 4 [9 marks]

This is “Type Question” in the rubric.

- (a) Here is a reduction (I added extra parentheses that were not required)
`(((+).length) "abc") 4`
`= ((+) (length "abc")) 4`
`= ((+) 3) 4`
`= 7`

(b) There are lots of possible answers including:

- Each function can be tested and debugged separately.
- Each function gives the same answer each time it is called.
- Calling one function can never mess up another function call.

(c) There are lots of possible answers including:

- It helps you and other programmers read what you have done (good documentation).
- Defining the types of functions before they are written helps with designing the function.
- It helps the compiler find the source of an error when there is problem, because each function can be type checked independently.