

## Quantum Signal Propagation in Depolarizing Channels

Nicholas Pippenger\*  
(nicholas@cs.ubc.ca)

Department of Computer Science  
The University of British Columbia  
Vancouver, British Columbia V6T 1Z4  
CANADA

**Abstract:** Let  $X$  be an unbiased random bit, let  $Y$  be a qubit whose mixed state depends on  $X$ , and let the qubit  $Z$  be the result of passing  $Y$  through a depolarizing channel, which replaces  $Y$  with a completely random qubit with probability  $p$ . We measure the quantum mutual information between  $X$  and  $Y$  by  $T(X;Y) = S(X) + S(Y) - S(X,Y)$ , where  $S(\dots)$  denotes von Neumann's entropy. (Since  $X$  is a classical bit, the quantity  $T(X;Y)$  agrees with Holevo's bound  $\chi(X;Y)$  to the classical mutual information between  $X$  and the outcome of any measurement of  $Y$ .) We show that  $T(X;Z) \leq (1-p)^2 T(X;Y)$ . This generalizes an analogous bound for classical mutual information due to Evans and Schulman, and provides a new proof of their result.

---

\* The work reported here was supported by an NSERC Research Grant.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be an unbiased random bit, let  $Y$  be a random bit depending on  $X$ , and let the bit  $Z$  be the result of passing  $Y$  through a binary symmetric channel, which complements the value of  $Y$  with probability  $\varepsilon$ . The binary symmetric channel can be viewed as replacing  $Y$  by an unbiased random bit with probability  $p = 2\varepsilon$ . Evans and Schulman [E1, E2] have established the inequality

$$I(X; Z) \leq (1 - p)^2 I(X; Y), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $I(X; Y) = H(X) + H(Y) - H(X, Y)$  is the mutual information between  $X$  and  $Y$ , and  $H(\dots)$  denotes Shannon's entropy [S].

Our goal is to establish a quantum analogue of (1.1). As before we let  $X$  be an unbiased random bit, but now we let  $Y$  be a qubit whose mixed state depends on  $X$ , and we let  $Z$  be the result of passing  $Y$  through a quantum depolarizing channel, which replaces  $Y$  by a completely random qubit with probability  $p$ . (See the survey of Bennett and Shor [B] for all quantum information-theoretic notions used in this paper.) To measure the information that  $Y$  contains about  $X$ , we define the quantum mutual information

$$T(X; Y) = S(X) + S(Y) - S(X, Y),$$

where  $S(\dots)$  denotes von Neumann's entropy [N]. Since  $X$  is a classical bit,  $T(X; Y)$  agrees with Holevo's upper bound  $\chi(X; Y)$  to the classical mutual information between  $X$  and the outcome of any measurement of  $Y$  (see Holevo [H]). Our result is

$$T(X; Z) \leq (1 - p)^2 T(X; Y). \quad (1.2)$$

Since von Neumann's entropy is a generalization of Shannon's entropy, (1.2) is a generalization of (1.1), and our proof of (1.2) provides a new proof of (1.1).

## 2. Density Matrices

Let the joint mixed state of  $X$  and  $Y$  be described by the  $4 \times 4$  density matrix  $\varrho_{XY} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \varrho_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varrho_1 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\varrho_0$  and  $\varrho_1$  are the  $2 \times 2$  density matrices describing  $Y$  when  $X = 0$  and  $X = 1$ , respectively. We then have  $\varrho_X = \text{Tr}_Y(\varrho_{XY}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\varrho_Y = \text{Tr}_X(\varrho_{XY}) = \frac{1}{2}(\varrho_0 + \varrho_1)$ , and the quantum mutual information between  $X$  and  $Y$  is

$$\begin{aligned} T(X; Y) &= S(\varrho_X) + S(\varrho_Y) - S(\varrho_{XY}) \\ &= 1 + S\left(\frac{1}{2}(\varrho_0 + \varrho_1)\right) - \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}S(\varrho_0) + \frac{1}{2}S(\varrho_1)\right) \\ &= S\left(\frac{1}{2}(\varrho_0 + \varrho_1)\right) - \frac{1}{2}S(\varrho_0) - \frac{1}{2}S(\varrho_1). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $Y$  be the input to a depolarizing channel, whose output  $Z$  is a completely random qubit (described by the density matrix  $\tau = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ) with probability  $p$  and is the intact qubit  $Y$  with probability  $1 - p$ . The  $X$  and  $Z$  are described by the density matrix

$$\varrho_{XZ} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (1-p)\varrho_0 + p\tau & 0 \\ 0 & (1-p)\varrho_1 + p\tau \end{pmatrix},$$

and the quantum mutual information between  $X$  and  $Z$  is

$$T(X; Z) = S\left(\frac{1}{2}(1-p)(\varrho_0 + \varrho_1)\right) - S((1-p)\varrho_0 + p\tau) - S((1-p)\varrho_1 + p\tau).$$

Our goal is to establish the inequality

$$T(X; Z) \leq (1-p)^2 T(X; Y). \quad (2.1)$$

A  $2 \times 2$  density matrix  $\varrho$  can be expressed as

$$\varrho = \frac{1}{2}(I + \boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}),$$

where  $I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix,  $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$  is a vector whose components are the Pauli matrices  $\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\pi_x, \pi_y, \pi_z)$  is a real polarization vector in the Bloch sphere:  $\boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} \leq 1$ .

For a  $2 \times 2$  density matrix  $\varrho$ , the von Neumann entropy is given by

$$S(\varrho) = -\lambda_0 \log \lambda_0 - \lambda_1 \log \lambda_1,$$

where  $\lambda_0$  and  $\lambda_1$  are the eigenvalues of  $\varrho$  and the logarithms are to base 2. Since the von Neumann entropy is invariant under a unitary transformation  $\varrho \mapsto U^\dagger \varrho U$  (where  $U \in \mathbf{SU}(2)$ ), it depends for a  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\varrho$  only on the length  $r = \|\boldsymbol{\pi}\| = (\pi_x^2 + \pi_y^2 + \pi_z^2)^{1/2}$  of the polarization vector. Specifically, the eigenvalues of  $\varrho$  are then  $\frac{1+r}{2}$  and  $\frac{1-r}{2}$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} S(\varrho) &= -\frac{1+r}{2} \log \frac{1+r}{2} - \frac{1-r}{2} \log \frac{1-r}{2} \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{2}(1+r) \log(1+r) - \frac{1}{2}(1-r) \log(1-r). \end{aligned}$$

To apply this formula to our situation, we need the lengths  $r_0$  and  $r_1$  of the polarization vectors  $\boldsymbol{\pi}_0$  and  $\boldsymbol{\pi}_1$  of  $\varrho_0$  and  $\varrho_1$ , respectively, as well as the length  $r_2$  of the polarization

vector  $\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\pi}_0 + \boldsymbol{\pi}_1)$  of  $\frac{1}{2}(\varrho_0 + \varrho_1)$ . Again using unitary invariance, we may assume that  $\boldsymbol{\pi}_0$  is along the positive  $x$ -axis, and that  $\boldsymbol{\pi}_1$  is in the  $(x, y)$ -plane and at angle  $\vartheta$  to  $\boldsymbol{\pi}_0$ . Then

$$r_2 = \frac{1}{2}(r_0^2 + r_1^2 + 2r_0r_1t)^{1/2},$$

where  $t = \cos \vartheta$ . We can now write

$$T(X; Y) = A\left(\frac{1}{2}(r_0^2 + r_1^2 + 2r_0r_1t)^{1/2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}A(r_0) - \frac{1}{2}A(r_1),$$

where

$$A(r) = -\frac{1}{2}(1+r)\log(1+r) - \frac{1}{2}(1-r)\log(1-r).$$

The effect of a depolarizing channel with depolarizing probability  $p$  is to reduce the polarization vector by a factor of  $q = 1 - p$ . Thus

$$T(X; Y) = A\left(\frac{1}{2}q(r_0^2 + r_1^2 + 2r_0r_1t)^{1/2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}A(qr_0) - \frac{1}{2}A(qr_1).$$

The inequality (2.1) that we want to prove is therefore equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} A\left(\frac{1}{2}q(r_0^2 + r_1^2 + 2r_0r_1t)^{1/2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}A(qr_0) - \frac{1}{2}A(qr_1) \\ \leq q^2 \left( A\left(\frac{1}{2}(r_0^2 + r_1^2 + 2r_0r_1t)^{1/2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}A(r_0) - \frac{1}{2}A(r_1) \right), \end{aligned}$$

or

$$B(qr_0, qr_1, t) \leq q^2 B(r_0, r_1, t)$$

for  $-1 \leq t \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq r_0, r_1, r_2 \leq 1$ , where

$$B(r_0, r_1, t) = A\left(\frac{1}{2}(r_0^2 + r_1^2 + 2r_0r_1t)^{1/2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}A(r_0) - \frac{1}{2}A(r_1).$$

Since  $r_0$  and  $r_1$  appear symmetrically, we may assume that  $r_0 \geq r_1$  and set  $r_1 = sr_0$ . If we now set  $C(r, s, t) = B(r, sr, t)$ , the inequality (2.1) then becomes

$$C(qr, s, t) \leq q^2 C(r, s, t), \tag{2.2}$$

where  $-1 \leq t \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq r, s \leq 1$ .

### 3. Convexity

To show that a function  $f(x)$  satisfying  $f(0) = 0$  also satisfies

$$f(qx) \leq q^2 f(x) \tag{3.1}$$

for  $0 \leq q \leq 1$  and  $x > 0$ , it will suffice to show that  $f(x^{1/2})$  is convex in  $x$ . For then we will have

$$\begin{aligned} f(qx) &= f(((1 - q^2) \cdot 0 + q^2 x^2)^{1/2}) \\ &\leq (1 - q^2) f(0^{1/2}) + q^2 f((x^2)^{1/2}) \\ &= q^2 f(x). \end{aligned}$$

To show that a function  $f(x^{1/2})$  is convex in  $x$  for  $x > 0$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} f(x^{1/2}) \geq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Since

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} f(x^{1/2}) = \frac{1}{4x} f''(x^{1/2}) - \frac{1}{4x^{3/2}} f'(x^{1/2}),$$

multiplying though by  $4x^2 > 0$  and substituting  $y = x^{1/2}$  yields that (3.2) is equivalent to

$$y^2 f''(y) - y f'(y) \geq 0.$$

Thus if we define the operator

$$\Delta_y = y^2 \frac{d^2}{dy^2} - y \frac{d}{dy},$$

then to prove (3.1), it will suffice to show that

$$\Delta_y f(y) \geq 0.$$

In particular, to prove (2.2), it will suffice to show that

$$\Delta_r C(r, s, t) \geq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Define

$$E(x) = (1 + x) \ln(1 + x) + (1 - x) \ln(1 - x).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} E'(x) &= \ln(1 + x) - \ln(1 - x) \\ &= 2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{2k - 1} x^{2k-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E''(x) &= \frac{1}{1+x} + \frac{1}{1-x} \\ &= \frac{2}{1-x^2} \\ &= 2 \sum_{k \geq 1} x^{2k-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if we define

$$D(x) = \Delta_x E(x),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x) &= x^2 E''(x) - x E'(x) \\ &= 2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2k-1}\right) x^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Since each term in this sum is non-decreasing and convex,  $D(x)$  is non-decreasing and convex.

Since  $A(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \log e E(x)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(r, s, t) &= A(u(s, t)r) - \frac{1}{2}A(r) + \frac{1}{2}A(sr) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log e \left( \frac{1}{2}E(r) + \frac{1}{2}E(sr) - E(u(s, t)r) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$u(s, t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + s^2 + 2st)^{1/2}.$$

Thus

$$\Delta_r C(r, s, t) = \frac{1}{2} \log e \left( \frac{1}{2}D(r) + \frac{1}{2}D(sr) - D(u(s, t)r) \right).$$

Since  $D(x)$  is convex in  $x$ , we have

$$\Delta_r C(r, s, t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \log e \left( D\left(\frac{1}{2}(1+s)r\right) - D(u(s, t)r) \right).$$

Since  $t \leq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(s, t) &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + s^2 + 2st)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus since  $D(x)$  is non-decreasing in  $x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_r C(r, s, t) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \log e \left( D\left(\frac{1}{2}(1+s)r\right) - D(u(s, t)r) \right) \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (3.3).

#### 4. References

- [B] C. H. Bennett and P. W. Shor, “Quantum Information Theory”, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 44 (1998) 2724–2742.
- [E1] W. Evans and L. J. Schulman, “Signal Propagation, with Application to a Lower Bound on the Depth of Noisy Formulas”, *Proc. IEEE Symp. on Foundations of Comp. Sci.*, 34 (1993) 594–603.
- [E2] W. S. Evans and L. J. Schulman, “Signal Propagation and Noisy Circuits”, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 45 (1999) 2367–2373.
- [H] A. S. Holevo, “Bounds for the Quantity of Information Transmitted by a Quantum Communication Channel”, *Probl. Inform. Transm.*, 9 (1973) 177–183.
- [N] J. von Neumann, “Thermodynamik quantenmechanischer Gesamtheiten”, *Gött. Nachr.*, (1927) 273–291.
- [S] C. E. Shannon, “A Mathematical Theory of Communication”, *Bell Syst. Tech. J.*, 27 (1948) 379–423, 623–655.