# Existence and Explict Constructions of q+1 Regular Ramanujan Graphs for Every Prime Power q

by

## Moshe Morgenstern

## Technical Report 91-11 June 1991

Department of Computer Science University of British Columbia Vancouver, B.C. CANADA V6T 1Z2



# Existence and Explicit Constructions of q + 1Regular Ramanujan Graphs for Every Prime Power q

Moshe Morgenstern \* †

#### June 1991

#### Abstract

For any prime power q, we give explicit constructions for many infinite linear families of q+1 regular Ramanujan graphs. This partially solves a problem that was raised by A. Lubotzky, R. Phillips and P. Sarnak [LPS]. They gave the same results as here, but only for q being prime and not equal to 2, and raised the question of the existence and explicit construction of such graphs for other degrees of regularity.

Our graphs are given as Cayley graphs of  $PGL_2$  or  $PSL_2$  over finite fields, with respect to very simple generators. They also satisfy all other extremal combinatorial properties that those of [LPS] do.

# 1 Introduction

An (n, r, d) - expander is a finite r regular undirected graph  $\Gamma = (V, E)$ , with |V| = n (|I| = |O| = n in case of a bipartite graph,  $V = I \cup O$ ), such that for any set  $S \subseteq V$  ( $S \subseteq I$  when  $\Gamma$  is bipartite), the set of neighbors of S $\Gamma(S) = \{v \in V \mid (v, u) \in E \text{ for some } u \in S\}$  satisfies

 $|\Gamma(S)| \ge |S| + d(1 - |S|/n)|S|.$ 

Over the last decade, expanding graphs have became one of the most useful tools in computational complexity. For example, expanding graphs play

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Computer Science, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6T 1W5. Tel. (604) 822-2930. E-mail morgen@cs.ubc.ca

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Part of this research was done while the author was a Ph.D. student in the department of Mathematics at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

a crucial role in many lower bounds, asymptotically optimal algorithms, and designs of communication networks. (References for these and more, can be found in [K1] for example). While it is generally easy to prove that expanders with various properties exist through probabilistic methods, explicit constructions have been much more difficult to obtain, though many applications require an explicit construction.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected undirected *r*-regular graph with *n* vertices (*n* inputs and *n* outputs in case of a bipartite graph), and *A* its adjacency matrix. Clearly *r* is the largest eigenvalue of *A*, and has multiplicity one. Denote the second largest eigenvalue by  $\lambda$ . It has been proved that:

Theorem [Al, AM]

a.  $\Gamma$  is an  $(n, r, 1 - \lambda^2/r^2)$ -expander.

**b.** If  $\Gamma$  is an (n, r, d)-expander then  $\lambda \leq r - d^2/8r$ .

c. Let  $\{\Gamma_i = (V_i, E_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be an infinite family of r regular graphs. If  $\lim_{i\to\infty} |V_i| = \infty$  then  $\liminf_{i\to\infty} \lambda(\Gamma_i) \ge 2\sqrt{r-1}$ .

We see that every r regular graph is an expander for some d. But what we are looking for is a *linear family* of r regular expanders, i.e. a family  $\{\Gamma_i = (V_i, E_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ , s.t. every  $\Gamma_i$  is a  $(|V_i|, r, d)$ -expander, for fixed r and d,  $|V_i| \to \infty$  linearly.

The first explicit construction for linear families of expanders was given by Margulis [Ma], and was improved by Gaber and Galil [GG]. It was known that much better families exist [Pin, Pip], but for a long time they were not found explicitly, until the Ramanujan graphs were built by Lubotzky-Phillips-Sarnak [LPS] (and independently by Margulis [Ma 1] at the same time). The theorem above says that looking for a family of expanders with a good common d, is almost the same as looking for a family with a good common bound for  $\lambda$ , but for this the best we can expect is  $\lambda \leq 2\sqrt{r-1}$ .

**Definition 1.1** A Ramanujan Graph is a connected finite r regular graph  $\Gamma$ , its second largest eigenvalue  $\lambda(\Gamma)$  is smaller or equal to  $2\sqrt{r-1}$ .

In [LPS], by use of representation theory of  $PGL_2(Q_p)$  and Deligne's theorem (known as Ramanujan's conjecture), for every prime  $p \neq 2$  a linear family of p + 1 regular Ramanujan graphs is explicitly constructed. They raised the question of the existence and explicit construction of r regular Ramanujan graphs for other r's. Here we solve this partially, by working over function fields, and using Drinfeld's theorem [Dr] (instead of Deligne's). For every prime power q (including even q's) we explicitly construct many linear families of q + 1 regular Ramanujan graphs.

Moreover, the graphs are given as Cayley graphs of  $PGL_2$  or  $PSL_2$  over finite fields, with respect to very simple generators, and also have all other combinatorial properties that those of [LPS] do. Our main results are summarized in theorems 4.13 and 5.13.

In section 2 we present the background about the discrete valuations of  $F_q(x)$ , the local tree of  $PGL_2$ , and quaternion algebras over  $F_q(x)$ . In section 3 the abstract construction is given, and we prove that the results are Ramanujan graphs. Then in sections 4 -5 we derive explicit constructions from this abstract one. Section 4 deals with odd prime powers q, and section 5 with even q's. Sections 4 -5 can be read without reading section 3.

## 2 Background

Let q be a prime power, and  $F_q$  the field with q elements,  $F_q[x]$  the polynomials over  $F_q$  and  $k = F_q(x)$  its quotient field. For every irreducible  $f \in F_q[x]$ , the discrete valuation  $v_f$  on k is defined by  $v_f(g/h) = \operatorname{ord}_f(g) - \operatorname{ord}_f(h)$ , where  $\operatorname{ord}_f(g)$  is the maximal power n s.t.  $f^n$  divides g. The valuation at 1/x (also called the valuation at infinity) is defined by  $v_{1/x}(g/h) = \operatorname{degree}(h) - \operatorname{degree}(g)$ . These are all discrete valuations of k, they are called the places of k. For a place p let  $k_p$  be the completion of k with respect to the metric  $|a| = q^{-v_p(a)}$ , and  $O_p$  its integers.  $k_p = F_q((p))$  is the field of Laurent series in p over  $F_q$ , and  $O_p = F_q[[p]]$  is the ring of Taylor series in p over  $F_q$ .

For an algebraic group <u>H</u> defined over k, we will always denote by  $H_*$  its points over \*, e.g.  $H_k, H_p, H_{O_p}$  (the only exception for these notations is  $O_p$ ). Our notations will be:

$$\underline{G}' = PGL_2 , \ \underline{G} = \{\xi \in \mathcal{A} \mid N(\xi) \neq 0\}/Z , \ \underline{G}^1 = \{\xi \in \mathcal{A} \mid N(\xi) = 1\} \ (1)$$

where  $PGL_2$  is the group of  $2 \times 2$  invertible matrices divided by its center, A is a fixed quaternion algebra, and '/Z' means 'divided by its center'.

The Adele ring of H is defined by:

$$H_{\mathbf{A}} = \{(\cdots, g_p, \cdots) \in \prod_p H_p \mid g_p \in H_{O_p} \text{ almost everywhere } \}$$

where by 'almost everywhere' we mean: except for a finite number of places. Addition and multiplication are componentwise. A topology on  $H_{\mathbf{A}}$  is defined by declaring the subring  $\prod_{p} H_{O_{p}}$  with the usual Tychonof product topology to be open. With this topology,  $H_{\mathbf{A}}$  is a locally compact ring.  $H_{k}$  is embedded naturally into  $H_{\mathbf{A}}$  by  $g \to (g, g, \dots, g, \dots)$  (see [GGPS] e.g. for more details).

In [Se, §II 1] the structure of the  $q^{\text{degree}(p)} + 1$  regular tree is put on  $G'_p/G'_{O_p}$  (where degree(1/x) = 1). The tree  $T_p = G'_p/G'_{O_p}$  is completely described by saying that the neighbors of  $gG'_{O_p}$  are the  $q^{\text{deg}(p)} + 1$  matrices  $gs_iG'_{O_p}$  is  $i = 1, \ldots, q^{\text{deg}(p)} + 1$ , where

$$\{s_1, \dots, s_{q^{\deg(p)}+1}\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} p & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{array}{c} b \in \mathsf{F}_q[x] \\ \deg(b) < \deg(p) \end{array} \right\} \bigcup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{array} \right\} \right\}.$$
(2)

From this point of view it is clear that  $G'_p$  acts on  $T_p$  (from the left) as a group of automorphisms.

A quaternion algebra over  $k = F_q(x)$  is a skewfield  $\mathcal{A}$  with center k, that has degree 4 as a vector space over k. By [Alb, Th. 26] there is a basis of the form 1, i, j, ij, for  $\mathcal{A}$  over k. The minimal polynomial of i over k has degree 2, and let  $\overline{i}$  be its algebraic conjugate, the same is true for j and ij. For a quaternion  $\xi = a+bi+cj+dij$ , this defines its conjugate  $\overline{\xi} = a+b\overline{i}+c\overline{j}+d\overline{i}j$ , the trace  $tr(\xi) = \xi + \overline{\xi}$ , and the norm  $N(\xi) = \xi\overline{\xi}$ . By definition  $tr(\xi), N(\xi) \in k$ , and  $\xi$  is invertible iff  $N(\xi) \neq 0$ . Since  $(ij)^2 - (ij + \overline{j}\overline{i})ij + ij\overline{j}\overline{i} = 0$  we see that  $\overline{ij} = \overline{j}\overline{i}$ . Hence  $\overline{\xi_1\xi_2} = \overline{\xi_2}\overline{\xi_1}$  and  $N(\xi_1\xi_2) = N(\xi_1)N(\xi_2)$ .

An order in  $\mathcal{A}$ , is a subring of  $\mathcal{A}$  which is finitely generated as an  $\mathsf{F}_q[x]$  submodule, and contains a basis for  $\mathcal{A}$  (over k), its first element being 1. A maximal order is an order which is not included in any other order. An *integral set* is a maximal order which contains i, j, ij . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be an integral set,  $\xi$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{S}$  if  $\xi^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  has class number 1 if  $\mathcal{S}$  (and hence every other maximal order) is a principle ideal ring. By a result of Eichler [Ei] every quaternion algebra over  $\mathsf{F}_q(x)$  has class number 1, but for the simple algebras we use for our explicit construction this result is easily proved directly.

The following is a key theorem for the explicit constructions:

**Theorem 2.1** [To, Th. 10] Let  $\mathcal{A}$  have class number 1,  $\mathcal{S}$  be an integral set, and  $\xi \in \mathcal{S}$  be indivisible by a polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ . If  $N(\xi) = f_1 \cdots f_n$  where the  $f_i$  are irreducible in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ , then  $\xi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_n$  where  $N(\pi_i) = f_i \cdot \pi_1$  is unique except for multiplication by a unit of S on the right,  $\pi_2, \ldots, \pi_{n-1}$  are unique but for multiplication by units on the right or left, and  $\pi_n$  is unique except for left unit factors.

We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is ramified at a place p, if  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is still a skewfield. By well known structure theorems of central simple algebras, (see [Di, Alb, Re] e.g.), the only other possibility is that  $\mathcal{A}_p \cong M_2(k_p)$ , then we say that  $\mathcal{A}$ splits at p. In this case, for any maximal order M of  $\mathcal{A}$ , it is possible to have  $\theta: \mathcal{A}_p \cong M_2(k_p)$  s.t.

$$\operatorname{tr}(\xi) = \operatorname{tr}(\theta(\xi)), \quad N(\xi) = \det(\theta(\xi)), \qquad \theta(\mathcal{A}_{O_p}) = M_2(O_p) \,. \tag{3}$$

By theorems of Eichler and Hasse ([Re, Th. 32.11, 32.13] e.g.) there are only a finite number of places in which  $\mathcal{A}$  is ramified, this number is even and not zero.

One of the basic tools we will use to prove the abstract construction, but also to recover things about the explicit construction, is the Strong Approximation Theorem. Let  $\underline{H} = SL_2$  or  $\underline{H} = \underline{G^1}$ .

**Theorem 2.2** [Pr] [Vi, Th. 4.3] Let S be a set of places of k such that  $\prod_{p \in S} H_p$  is not compact, then  $H_k \prod_{p \in S} H_p$  is dense in  $H_A$ .

#### **3** Abstract Construction

Let  $\mathcal{A} = k\mathbf{1} + k\mathbf{i} + k\mathbf{j} + k\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}$  be a quaternion algebra over  $k = \mathbf{F}_q(x)$ , which is ramified at 1/x, and p is a finite place (i.e.  $p \neq 1/x$ ) in which  $\mathcal{A}$  splits. Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbf{F}_q[x, 1/p]$  be the minimal subring of k which contains  $\mathbf{F}_q$ , x and 1/p, and  $\Gamma(1) = G_{\mathcal{R}}$  (see (1) for the notations). (Since an element  $\xi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}$  iff  $N(\xi)$  is so in  $\mathcal{R}$ , the group  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}^*$  of all invertible elements in  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}$  is:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}^* = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}} \mid N(\xi) = ap^n, \ a \in \mathsf{F}_a^*, \ n \in Z \} ,$$

SO

$$\Gamma(1) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}^* / Z(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}^*) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}^* / \{ a p^n \mid a \in \mathsf{F}_q, n \in \mathbb{Z} \}.$$
(4)

Understanding this makes clear how the explicit constructions we will later give, result from this abstract construction).

Let  $g(x) \in F_q[x]$  be any polynomial prime to p, and to any other finite place in which  $\mathcal{A}$  is ramified. Since after multiplying by a suitable power of p, every  $\xi \in \Gamma(1)$  can be represented by a quaternion with coefficients in  $F_q[x]$ , and (p,g) = 1, we may define

 $\Gamma(g) = \{ a+b\mathbf{i}+c\mathbf{j}+d\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j} \in \Gamma(1) \mid b \equiv c \equiv d \equiv 0 \mod g(x), \ (a,g) = 1 \}.$ (5)

 $\Gamma(g) \subseteq G_p \cong PGL_2(k_p) = G'_p$  (since  $\mathcal{A}$  splits at p).

**Lemma 3.1**  $\Gamma(g)$  is a co-compact lattice in  $G_p$  (i.e.  $\Gamma(g)$  is discrete in  $G_p$  and  $\Gamma(g) \setminus G_p$  is compact).

**Proof:** Since  $|\Gamma(1) : \Gamma(g)| < \infty$  it is enough to prove it for  $\Gamma(1)$ . Let  $K^1 = \prod_{f \neq p, 1/x} G^1_{O_p}$ , clearly  $G^1_{1/x} G^1_p K^1$  is a nonempty open subset of  $G^1_A$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is ramified at 1/x and splits at p,  $G^1_{1/x}$  is compact but  $G^1_p \cong SL_2(k_p)$  and hence  $G^1_{1/x} G^1_p$  are not. By theorem 2.2  $G^1_k G^1_{1/x} G^1_p$  is dense in  $G^1_A$ , so

$$G_{\mathbf{A}}^{1} = G_{k}^{1} G_{1/x}^{1} G_{p}^{1} K^{1} \,. \tag{6}$$

But  $G_k^1 \cap K^1 = G_{\mathcal{R}}^1$ , therefor

$$G^1_{\mathcal{R}} \backslash G^1_{1/x} G^1_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong G^1_k \backslash G^1_{\mathfrak{A}} / K^1 .$$

$$\tag{7}$$

By [We, ch. IV, th. 2.2, 3.4]  $G_k^1 \backslash G_{\mathcal{A}}^1$  is compact, and from (7) so is  $G_{\mathcal{R}}^1 \backslash G_p^1$ . But  $|G_p:G_p^1| < \infty$  (since  $|O_p^*:O_p^{*2}| < \infty$ ), so  $G_{\mathcal{R}}^1 \backslash G_p$  and hence  $G_{\mathcal{R}} \backslash G_p = \Gamma(1) \backslash G_p$  are compact.

Assume that  $\{\gamma_n\} \subseteq \Gamma(1)$  is a sequence which proves that  $\Gamma(1)$  is not discrete in  $G_p$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is ramified at 1/x, so  $G_{1/x}$  is compact, we may assume that  $\{\gamma_n\} \subseteq G_{1/x}$  converges. Hence,  $\{\gamma_n\} \hookrightarrow G_{1/x}G_p$  diagonally, proves that the diagonal embedding of  $\Gamma(1)$  into  $G_{1/x}G_p$  is not discrete. This obviously is not true, since the valuations at 1/x and p are opposite to one another.  $\Box$ 

Look at the action of  $\Gamma(g)$  (from the left) on the  $q^{\deg(p)} + 1$  regular tree  $T_p = G'_p/G'_{O_p} = G_p/G_{O_p}$ . Since  $T_p$  is discrete, the quotient  $\Gamma(g) \backslash G_p/G_{O_p}$  of  $T_p$  by the discrete subgroup  $\Gamma(1)$ , is discrete. By lemma 3.1 it is also compact, i.e. it is a finite graph.

Theorem 3.2 If  $\mu \neq \pm (q^{\deg(p)} + 1)$  is an eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix of  $\Gamma(g) \backslash G_p/G_{O_p}$ , then  $|\mu| \leq 2\sqrt{q^{\deg(p)}}$ . In particular  $\Gamma(g) \backslash G_p/G_{O_p}$  is a Ramanujan graph.

**Proof:** We are not going to give much detail, since the proof is almost the same as that one which is given in [Lu] for the global field Q. A detailed proof for our case when the global field is  $F_q(x)$ , can be found in [Mo].

Let  $\rho$  be a continuous irreducible unitary representation of  $G'_p$  in (H, <, >). Assume  $\rho$  is of class one, i.e. there is a  $v \in H$  s.t ||v|| = 1, and  $G'_{O_p} \cdot v = v$ .  $f_{\rho}(g) = \langle gv, v \rangle$  is called the spherical function of  $\rho$   $(f_{\rho} : G'_p \to C)$ . Since at most one such v can exist,  $f_{\rho}$  is well defined.

Let  $U = G'_{O_p} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} G'_{O_p}$ ,  $1_U$  its characteristic function, and define the convolution  $(f * h)(x) = \int_{G'_p} f(xy^{-1})h(y)dy$ . There is a  $\mu \in C$  s.t.  $f_{\rho} * 1_U = \mu f_{\rho}$ , and then  $\rho$  is denoted by  $\rho^{\mu}$ . For more details see [La, ch. IV].

**Lemma:**  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix A of  $\Gamma(g) \backslash G'_p / G'_{O_p}$ iff the representation  $\rho^{\mu}$  appears in the (right) regular representation  $R_{G'_p}$ of  $G'_p$  in  $L_2(\Gamma(g) \backslash G'_p)$ . Moreover, if  $\mu \neq \pm (q^{\deg(p)} + 1)$  then  $\rho^{\mu}$  is not one dimensional.

**Proof:** Assume there is an  $e \in L_2(\Gamma(g) \setminus G'_p/G'_{O_p})$  s.t ||e|| = 1 and  $Ae = \mu e$ , in particular  $e \in L_2(\Gamma(g) \setminus G'_p)$  and  $R_{G'_p}(G'_{O_p}) \cdot e = e$ . Let  $\rho$  be the irreducible sub representation (sub module) of  $R_{G'_p}$  which contains e, clearly  $f_{\rho}(g) = \langle ge, e \rangle$ . A simple calculation shows that  $f_{\rho} * 1_U = \mu f_{\rho}$ , so  $\rho = \rho^{\mu}$  appears in  $L_2(\Gamma(g) \setminus G'_p)$ .

Assume  $\rho^{\mu}$  appears in  $L_2(\Gamma(g)\backslash G'_p)$ , and let f be its  $G'_{O_p}$  fixed vector, so  $f \in L_2(\Gamma(g)\backslash G'_p/G'_{O_p})$ . A simple calculation shows that  $Af = \mu f$  and  $\mu$ is an eigenvalue of A.

Assume  $\rho^{\mu}$  is one dimensional, then  $H = \langle f \rangle$  with  $G'_{O_p} \cdot f = f$ , and  $\rho^{\mu}$  is trivial on  $G'_{O_p}$ . It must also be trivial on  $PSL_2(k_p)$  which is simple. But  $|G'_p: PSL_2(k_p)G'_{O_p}| = 2$ , so the only possibilities for the spherical function are to be trivial, i.e.  $\rho^{\mu} = 1$  and  $\mu = q^{\deg(p)} + 1$ , or to give the values  $\{\pm 1\}$  which is easily seen to be the spherical function of  $\rho^{-(q^{\deg(p)}+1)}$ .  $\Box$ 

Let  $g(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{l} g_i(x)^{n_i}$ , where  $g_i \in \mathsf{F}_q[x]$  are irreducible, and  $g_i \neq g_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Let

$$K_r = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Ker} \{ G_{O_r} \xrightarrow{\alpha} G(O_r/r^{n_i}O_r) \} & r = g_i \quad 1 \le i \le l \\ K_r = G_{O_r} & r \ne g_i \end{cases}$$

where:

$$\alpha(a+b\mathbf{i}+c\mathbf{j}+d\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}) = (a \mod r^{n_i}) + (b \mod r^{n_i})\mathbf{i} + (c \mod r^{n_i})\mathbf{j} + (d \mod r^{n_i})\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}.$$

Let  $K = \prod_{f \neq p, 1/x} K_f$  and  $H = G_k G_{1/x} G_p K$ . Since  $|\prod_{f \neq p, 1/x} G_{O_f} : K| < \infty$ , and by (6)  $G_{\mathbf{A}}^1 = G_k^1 G_{1/x}^1 G_p^1 K^1$ , and since by theorem 2.2  $k_{\mathbf{A}}^* = k^* k_{1/x}^* k_p^* \prod_f O_f^*$ , it is clear that H contains a finite index subgroup of  $G_{\mathbf{A}}^1 k_{\mathbf{A}}^*$ . But since  $G_r' = PSL_2(k_r)k_r^*$  for any place r, we know that  $|G_{\mathbf{A}} : G_{\mathbf{A}}^1 k_{\mathbf{A}}^*| < \infty$ , and hence  $|G_{\mathbf{A}} : H| < \infty$ , and also  $|G_k \setminus G_{\mathbf{A}}/K : G_k \setminus H/K| < \infty$ . Since  $G \cap K = \Gamma(g)$ ,

$$G_k \backslash H / K \cong \Gamma(g) \backslash G_{1/x} G_p$$

as  $G_{1/x}G_p$  modules (by multiplication from the right), and

$$|G_k \backslash G_{\mathbf{A}}/K : \Gamma(g) \backslash G_{1/x}G_p| < \infty .$$

Therefore, for every irreducible representation  $\tau_{1/x} \otimes \tau_p$  of the (right) regular representation of  $G_{1/x}G_p$  in  $L_2(\Gamma(g)\backslash G_{1/x}G_p)$ , there is an irreducible sub representation  $\delta = \bigotimes_f \delta_f$  of the regular representation of  $G_{\mathbf{A}}$  in  $L_2(G_k\backslash G_{\mathbf{A}})$ , s.t.  $\delta_{1/x} = \tau_{1/x}$  and  $\delta_p = \tau_p$ .

Assume now that  $\mu \neq \pm (q^{\deg(p)+1})$  is an eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix of  $\Gamma(g) \backslash G_p/G_{O_p} = \Gamma(g) \backslash G'_p/G'_{O_p}$ . By the lemma,  $\rho^{\mu}$  (which is not one dimensional) appears in the regular representation of  $G_p$  in  $L_2(\Gamma(g) \backslash G_p)$ , so  $\rho = 1 \otimes \rho^{\mu}$  appears in  $L_2(\Gamma(g) \backslash G_{1/x}G_p)$ , and hence  $\delta = \otimes_f \delta_f$  with  $\delta_p = \rho^{\mu}$  appears in the regular representation of  $G_{\mathbf{A}}$  in  $L_2(G_k \backslash G_{\mathbf{A}})$ . By the Jacquet Langlands correspondence [Ge, th. 10.5] there is a cuspidal sub representation  $\chi = \otimes_f \chi_f$  of  $G'_{\mathbf{A}}$  in  $L_2(G'_k \backslash G'_{\mathbf{A}})$  s.t.  $\chi_p = \delta_p = \rho^{\mu}$ . By the theorem of Drinfeld [Dr],  $\rho^{\mu} = \chi_p$  is a principle series representation, i.e.  $|\mu| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$ .  $\Box$ 

## 4 Explicit Construction for Odd q's

Let us choose the quaternion algebra

 $\mathcal{A} = k\mathbf{1} + k\mathbf{i} + k\mathbf{j} + k\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}$   $\mathbf{i}^2 = \epsilon$ ,  $\mathbf{j}^2 = x - 1$ ,  $\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j} = -\mathbf{j}\mathbf{i}$ 

where  $\epsilon$  is not a square in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and  $k=\mathbb{F}_q(x)$ . Here,  $\overline{\mathbf{i}} = -\mathbf{i}$ ,  $\overline{\mathbf{j}} = -\mathbf{j}$ ,  $\overline{\mathbf{ij}} = -\mathbf{ij}$ so for  $\xi = a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{ij}$ ,  $\overline{\xi} = a - b\mathbf{i} - c\mathbf{j} - d\mathbf{ij}$ ,  $\operatorname{tr}(\xi) = 2a$ , and

$$N(\xi) = a^2 - b^2 \epsilon + (d^2 \epsilon - c^2)(x - 1) .$$
(8)

If  $N(\xi) = 0$  it happens also for a  $\xi$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ , which is impossible for  $\xi \neq 0$  by lemma 4.2, so  $\mathcal{A}$  is a skewfield. Computing the discriminant of  $\mathcal{A}$  we see that the only finite place in which  $\mathcal{A}$  is ramified is x - 1, so in order to have an even number of such places, 1/x must also be ramified. Lemma 4.1 The class number of  $\mathcal{A}$  is 1.

**Proof:** Immediate from [To, Th. 8].

$$S = F_q[x]\mathbf{1} + F_q[x]\mathbf{i} + F_q[x]\mathbf{j} + F_q[x]\mathbf{ij}$$

is an integral set in  $\mathcal{A}$  ([To, Th. 1]). Let

$$N_z = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{S} \mid N(\xi) = z \}.$$

Lemma 4.2

**a.**  $N_0 = \{0\}, |N_1| = q + 1.$ 

**b.** 
$$|N_x| = (q+1)^2$$
.

c. Let  $N_1$  act (by multiplication) from the left on  $N_x$ . In every one of the q+1 cosets of  $N_1 \setminus N_x$  there is a unique representative  $1 + \gamma \mathbf{j} + \delta \mathbf{ij}$ , where  $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbf{F}_q$  is one of the q+1 solutions in  $\mathbf{F}_q$  for  $\delta^2 \epsilon - \gamma^2 = 1$ .

**Proof:** a. Assume  $\xi = a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j} \in N_0 \cup N_1$ . Let  $\gamma, \delta$  be the leading coefficients of c, d, and  $d_1 = \max\{\deg(a), \deg(b)\}, d_2 = \max\{\deg(c), \deg(d)\}$ . If  $d_2 \ge d_1$ , then in order to have in (8)  $\deg(N(\xi)) \le 0$ ,  $\delta^2 \epsilon - \gamma^2$  must be zero which is impossible, so  $d_1 > d_2$ . Since  $\alpha^2 - \beta^2 \epsilon \ne 0$ , we have

$$0 \ge \deg(N(\xi)) = \deg(a^2 - b^2 \epsilon) > \deg((d^2 \epsilon - c^2)(x - 1))$$

and conclude that d = c = 0, and  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Clearly  $\xi \in N_0$  is impossible for  $\xi \neq 0$ , since then  $a^2 - b^2 \epsilon = 0$ , but  $\xi \in N_1$  can happen when  $a^2 - b^2 \epsilon = 1$ . As we will see right away, this last equation has exactly q + 1 solutions, so  $|N_1| = q + 1$ .

For  $u, v, w \in F_q$ , the number of solutions of  $uX^2 + vY_2 = w$  with  $X, Y \in F_q$ , is  $q - \eta$  if  $w \neq 0$  and  $q(\eta + 1)$  for w = 0, where  $\eta = 1$  if -uv is a square in  $F_q$ , and  $\eta = -1$  if it is not. See e.g. [Co, Corollary 1 to Th. 10].

**b.** Assume  $N(\xi) = x$ . If  $d_1 > d_2$ , since  $\deg(a^2 - b^2\epsilon)$  is even, in order to have  $N(\xi) = x$  in (8)  $\alpha^2 - \beta^2\epsilon$  must be zero, which can not happen, so  $d_2 \ge d_1$ . But since  $\delta^2\epsilon - \gamma^2 \ne 0$ ,  $d, c \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $d^2\epsilon - c^2 = 1$ , which causes that  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $a^2 - b^2\epsilon = 1$ . This again gives  $(q+1)^2$  solutions. c. The q+1 quaternions of S

$$\xi_i = 1 + \gamma_i \mathbf{j} + \delta_i \mathbf{i} \mathbf{j} \qquad \text{s.t.} \quad \delta_i^2 \epsilon - \gamma_i^2 = 1 \qquad i = 1, \dots, q+1 \quad (9)$$

are as needed. Because  $u \in N_1$  is of the form  $u = \eta + \mu i$  (see the proof of part a), we have  $u\xi_i = \eta + \mu i + \cdots$ . So if  $u\xi_i$  is also one of the q+1 quaternions of (9), we get  $\eta = 1$ ,  $\mu = 0$  and  $u\xi = \xi$ . This shows that no two quaternions of (9) appear in the same class of  $N_1 \setminus N_x$ . But  $|N_1 \setminus N_x| = q + 1$  so every class has exactly one representative.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 4.3**  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{q+1}$  of (9) are called the basic norm x. Since  $\xi$  is a basic norm x iff  $\overline{\xi}$  is so, we can assume that  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{\frac{q+1}{2}}$  are inconjugate to one another, and  $\overline{\xi_i} = \xi_{\frac{q+1}{2}+i}$ .

**Lemma 4.4** A quaternion  $t \in S$  with  $N(t) = x^n$  for some integer n, has the unique factorization

$$t = x^r u \theta_1 \cdots \theta_m \tag{10}$$

where 2r + m = n, N(u) = 1,  $\theta_i$  are basic norm x, and x does not divide  $\theta_1 \cdots \theta_m$ .

**Proof:** Let  $x^r$  be the maximal power of x dividing t. By theorem 2.1 and lemma 4.1 we get a unique factorization (up to units)  $t/x^r = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_m$  with  $N(\pi_i) = x$ . For a unique unit v of S  $v\pi_m = \theta_m$  is a basic norm x, and of course  $N(v) = N(\theta_m)/N(\pi_m) = 1$ . Looking at  $\pi_1 \cdots \pi_m = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_{m-1} v^{-1} \theta_m$  and doing the same for  $\pi_{m-1} v^{-1}$  etc. we finally get  $t/x^r = u\theta_1 \cdots \theta_m$  uniquely.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.5** A quaternion  $t = a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j} \in S$  with  $N(t) = x^n$  for some integer n is a multiple of basic norm x iff

$$a-1, b \equiv 0 \mod (x-1) \quad . \tag{11}$$

**Proof:** A basic norm x satisfies (11), and it is easily seen that (11) is preserved under multiplication of quaternions. On the other hand  $\xi$  has the factorization (10), in which  $x^r\theta_1\cdots\theta_m$  is a multiple of basic norm x  $(x = \xi\overline{\xi})$ , and hence satisfies (11). In this factorization of t, u must be 1, since after multiplying  $x^r\theta_1\cdots\theta_m$  by any other u, (11) will not hold.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 4.6** 

$$\Lambda(x-1) = \left\{ t = a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j} \in S \mid \begin{array}{c} a-1, b \equiv 0 \mod (x-1), \\ N(t) \text{ is a power of } x, \\ x \text{ doesn't divide } t \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Corollary 4.7**  $\Lambda(x-1)$  is a free group, and  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{\frac{g+1}{2}}$  of definition 4.3 are free generators.

**Proof:** Follows immediately from lemma 4.4 and theorem 4.5.  $\Box$ 

Since our algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  splits at x, there is an isomorphism

 $\theta:\mathcal{A}_x\cong M_2(k_x)$ 

which satisfies (3), and clearly  $\theta : \Lambda(x-1) \hookrightarrow PGL_2(k_x) = G'_x$ . We shall identify  $\Lambda(x-1)$  and its image in  $G'_x$ . Let  $\Lambda(x-1)$  act by multiplication form the left on the q+1 regular tree  $T_x = G'_x/G'_{O_x}$ .

Lemma 4.8 The action of  $\Lambda(x-1)$  on  $T_x$  is simply transitive (i.e. transitive and without stabilizers). Moreover,  $T_x$  can be viewed as the Cayley graph of  $\Lambda(x-1)$  w.r.t. the basic norm x as generators.

**Proof:** Since  $\Lambda(x-1) \subseteq \Gamma(1)$  of (4) (for p = x), it is discrete in  $G_x$  by lemma 3.1. A subgroup of  $G_x$  which stabilizes a vertex is compact, ([Se, Exercises to Ch. II §1.1]). So if  $\gamma \in \Lambda(x-1)$  stabilizes a vertex, then  $\{\cdot \gamma^n \mid n \in Z\}$  is discrete and compact and hence finite. This is impossible since  $\Lambda(x-1)$  is a free group.

From the description of  $T_x$  in (2) we see that the distance of  $gG'_{O_x}$  from  $1G'_{O_x}$  (the root of the tree) is  $v_x(\det(g))$ . So the basic norm x take  $1G'_{O_x}$  to its immediate neighbors, and if  $\xi_1G'_{O_x} = \xi_2G'_{O_x}$ , then  $\xi_2^{-1}\xi_1$  stabilizes  $1G'_{O_x}$  which is impossible for  $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$ . Therefore, the q + 1 neighbors of  $1G'_{O_x}$  are given exactly by the q + 1 basic norm x. Continuing this, we see that  $\xi_i\xi_jG'_{O_x}$   $j = 1, \ldots, q + 1$  are all the q + 1 neighbors of  $\xi_iG'_{O_x}$ , etc. Hence, every vertex of  $T_x$  is reachable with element of  $\Lambda(x-1)$ .  $\Box$ 

Let  $g(x) \in F_q[x]$  be prime to x(x-1), and d = degree(g(x)).

#### **Definition 4.9**

 $\Lambda(g) = \{ \xi = a + bi + cj + dij \in \Lambda(x-1) \mid b, c, d \equiv 0 \mod g(x), (a,g) = 1 \}.$ 

$$\Gamma_g = \Lambda(g) \backslash T_x \quad (= \Lambda(g) \backslash G'_x / G'_{O_x} = \Lambda(g) \backslash \Lambda(x-1)).$$

**Theorem 4.10**  $\Gamma_g$  is a finite q+1 regular graph. Moreover,  $\Gamma_g$  is the Cayley graph of the group  $\Lambda(g) \setminus \Lambda(x-1)$  w.r.t. q+1 basic norm x as generators.

**Proof:** Since  $\Lambda(g(x)) \supseteq \Gamma((x-1)g(x))$  (see (5)), and by lemma 4.8  $T_x$  is identified with  $\Lambda(x-1)$ , our graph  $\Gamma_g = \Lambda(g) \setminus \Lambda(x-1)$  is covered by

$$\overline{\Gamma}_g = \Gamma((x-1)g(x)) \backslash G'_x / G'_{O_x} .$$
(12)

 $\widehat{\Gamma_g}$  is finite, since by lemma 3.1 it is compact and discrete, so  $\Gamma_g$  is also finite. By lemma 4.8  $T_x$  is the Cayley graph of  $\Lambda(x-1)$  w.r.t. the basic norm x as generators, but no two basic norm x are equivalent modulo  $\Lambda(g)$ , so the quotient  $\Lambda(g) \setminus \Lambda(x-1)$  is as claimed.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.11** Let  $\mu$  be any eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix of  $\Gamma_g$ , if  $\mu \neq \pm (q+1)$ , then  $|\mu| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$ . In particular  $\Gamma_g$  is a Ramanujan graph.

**Proof:** As in (12),  $\Gamma_g$  is covered by  $\widehat{\Gamma_g}$  which by theorem 3.2 is as needed. Every function  $f \in L_2(\Gamma_g)$  can be lifted to a function  $\widehat{f} \in L_2(\widehat{\Gamma_g})$  s.t. as operators, the adjacency matrices A and  $\widehat{A}$  give the same results. If  $\widehat{v}$  lies above v, then by definition  $\widehat{f}(\widehat{v}) = f(v)$ , and we have  $\widehat{A}(\widehat{f})(\widehat{v}) = A(f)(v)$ . (Recall that as an operator  $A(f)(v) = \sum_{u \text{ a neighbor of } v} f(u)$ ). So every eigenvalue of  $\Gamma_g$  is also an eigenvalue of  $\widehat{\Gamma_g}$ , and hence  $\Gamma_g$  is as needed.  $\Box$ 

For the sake of simplicity, let us assume from now on that g(x) is irreducible of even degree d. (Since  $\mathcal{A}$  splits at every factor of g(x), similar results can be achieved with much weaker assumptions on g(x)). By our assumption there is an  $\underline{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$  s.t.  $\underline{i}^2 = \epsilon$ . Define  $\mu : \Lambda(x-1) \to PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$  by

$$\mu(a+b\mathbf{i}+c\mathbf{j}+d\mathbf{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} a-b\underline{\mathbf{j}} & c-d\underline{\mathbf{j}} \\ (x-1)(c+d\underline{\mathbf{j}}) & a+b\underline{\mathbf{j}} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (13)

The kernel of  $\mu$  is  $\Lambda_g$ , so the range of  $\mu$  which is isomorphic to  $\Gamma_g$  is the Cayley graph of  $\mu(\Lambda(x-1))$  with respect to the q+1 generators:

$$\mu(\xi_k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \gamma_k - \delta_k \underline{i} \\ (\gamma_k + \delta_k \underline{i})(x-1) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad k = 1, \dots, q+1 \quad (14)$$
  
$$\gamma_k, \delta_k \in \mathsf{F}_q \text{ are all the } q+1 \text{ solutions in } \mathsf{F}_q \text{ for } \quad \delta_k^2 \epsilon - \gamma_k^2 = 1 \ .$$

In the same way as in [Lu] we use the strong approximation theorem to prove:

#### Lemma 4.12 $\mu(\Lambda(x-1)) \supseteq PSL_2(\mathsf{F}_{gd}).$

**proof:** Since  $\mathcal{A}$  splits at x,  $G_x^1 \cong SL_2(k_x)$  is not compact, and by theorem 2.2  $G_k^1 G_x^1$  is dense in  $G_A^1$  (see (1) for the notations). Therefore,  $G_k^1$  is dense in  $G_A^1/G_x^1$  and for any open set K of  $G_A^1/G_x^1$ ,  $G_k^1 \cap K$  is dense in K. Hence, for any continuous function  $f: K \longmapsto \{a \text{ finite set}\}$   $f(K) = f(G_k^1 \cap K)$ . In particular, this is true for

$$K = G_{1/x}^1 \{ a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j} \in G_{O_{x-1}}^1 \mid a - 1, b \equiv 0 \mod x - 1 \} \prod_{p \neq x, x - 1} G_{O_p}^1.$$

Let  $\hat{\mu} : K \mapsto SL_2(\mathsf{F}_{q^d}) = SL_2(\mathsf{F}_q[x]/g(x)\mathsf{F}_q[x])$  be as follows: For  $\xi = (\xi_{1/x}, \dots, \xi_g = a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}, \dots)$ 

$$\hat{\mu}(\xi) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} (a \mod g) - \mathbf{i}(b \mod g) & (c \mod g) - \mathbf{i}(d \mod g) \\ (x-1)((c \mod g) + \mathbf{i}(d \mod g)) & (a \mod g) + \mathbf{i}(b \mod g) \end{array}\right)$$

(i.e. looking only on the g-s component, reducing its coefficients modulo g, and then sending it to  $SL_2(\mathsf{F}_{q^d})$  by (13)). Since  $\xi_g \in G^1_{O_g}$ ,  $\hat{\mu}$  is well defined. Clearly,  $\hat{\mu}$  is continuous and  $\hat{\mu}(K) = SL_2(\mathsf{F}_{q^d})$ , so  $\hat{\mu}(G^1_k \cap K) = SL_2(\mathsf{F}_{q^d})$ .

By the definition of K

$$G_k^1 \cap K = \{a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j} \in H(\mathsf{F}[x, 1/x]) \mid a - 1, b \equiv 0 \mod x - 1\}.$$
(15)

Considering  $\hat{\mu}$  as a function to  $PGL_2(\mathsf{F}_{qd})$ , we may multiply every element of  $G_k^1 \cap K$  by powers of x. Multiplying by a suitable power of x, will force it to be in  $\Lambda(x-1)$ , so  $\hat{\mu}(\Lambda(x-1)) \supseteq PSL_2(\mathsf{F}_{qd})$ . But  $\mu = \hat{\mu}$  on  $\Lambda(x-1)$ , hence  $\mu(\Lambda(x-1)) \supseteq PSL_2(\mathsf{F}_{qd})$ .  $\Box$ 

As the [LPS] Ramanujan graphs, our graphs satisfy a few extremal combinatorial properties.

**Definition** Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite connected graph. The *girth* of  $\Gamma$ , is the minimal length of a cycle in  $\gamma$ . The *diameter* of  $\Gamma$ , is the maximal distance between any two vertices of  $\Gamma$ . The *chromatic number* of  $\Gamma$ , denoted by  $\chi(\Gamma)$ , is the minimal number of colors one needs, in order to color the vertices of  $\Gamma$ s.t. adjacent vertices have different colors. The *independence number* of  $\Gamma$ , denoted by  $\iota(\Gamma)$ , is the maximal number of vertices of  $\Gamma$ , s.t. no two vertices are adjacent.

Recall also the Legendre symbol:

$$\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \text{ is a square modulo } y \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 4.13** Let q be an odd prime power,  $\epsilon$  a non square in  $F_q$ . Let  $g(x) \in F_q[x]$  be irreducible of even degree d, and  $F_{q^d}$  is represented as  $F_q[x]/g(x)F_q[x]$ . Let  $\mathbf{i} \in F_{q^d}$  be s.t.  $\mathbf{i}^2 = \epsilon$ , and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \gamma_k - \delta_k \underline{i} \\ (\gamma_k + \delta_k \underline{i})(x-1) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad k = 1, \dots, q+1 \quad (16)$$

 $\gamma_k, \delta_k \in \mathsf{F}_q$  are all the q+1 solutions in  $\mathsf{F}_q$  for  $\delta_k^2 \epsilon - \gamma_k^2 = 1$ .

**a.** If 
$$(\frac{x}{g(x)}) = 1$$
.

Let  $\Omega_g$  be the Cayley graph of  $PSL_2(\mathsf{F}_{qd})$  w.r.t. the generators (16).

- 1.  $\Omega_q$  is a q + 1 regular Ramanujan graph.
- 2.  $|\Omega_g| = \frac{q^{3d} q^d}{2}$ , and  $\Omega_g$  is not bipartite.
- 3. Girth( $\Omega_q$ ) > 2/3 log<sub>a</sub>  $|\Omega_q| + 1$ .
- 4. Diameter( $\Omega_g$ )  $\leq 2 \log_g |\Omega_g| + 2$ .
- 5. The chromatic number  $\chi$  of  $\Omega_g$  satisfies  $\chi(\Omega_g) \ge \frac{q+1}{2\sqrt{q}} + 1$ .
- 6. The independence number  $\iota$  of  $\Omega_g$  satisfies  $\iota(\Omega_g) \leq \frac{2\sqrt{q}}{q+1} |\Omega_g|$ .

**b.** If 
$$(\frac{x}{q(x)}) = -1$$
.

Let  $\Gamma_g$  be the Cayley graph of  $PGL_2(\mathsf{F}_{g^d})$  w.r.t. the generators (16).

- 1.  $\Omega_g$  is a q+1 regular Ramanujan graph.
- 2.  $|\Omega_g| = q^{3d} q^d$ , and  $\Omega_g$  is bipartite.
- 3. Girth( $\Omega_g$ ) > 4/3 log<sub>g</sub> | $\Omega_g$ |.
- 4. Diameter( $\Omega_g$ )  $\leq 2 \log_g |\Omega_g| + 2$ .

**Proof:** a.  $\left(\frac{det(\mu(\xi_k))}{g(x)}\right) = 1$ , so  $\mu(\xi_k) \in PSL_2(\mathsf{F}_{qd})$ . By lemma 4.12  $\mu(\Gamma_g) = \Omega_g$ , and by theorems 4.10 and 4.11 it is a q + 1 regular Ramanujan graph.

 $\Omega_g = PSL_2(\mathsf{F}_{q^d})$  and  $|PSL_2(\mathsf{F}_{q^d})| = \frac{g^{3d}-q^d}{2}$ . Assume  $\Omega_g$  is bipartite i.e.  $PSL_2(\mathsf{F}_{q^d}) = I \cup O$ , and the identity e is in I, then

$$I = <\mu(\xi_i)\mu(\xi_j) \,|\, 1 \le i, j \le q+1 >$$

is a subgroup of  $PSL_2(\mathsf{F}_{q^d})$ . For any  $g \in I$  and any generator  $\mu(\xi_k)$ 

$$\mu(\xi_k)g\mu(\xi_k)^{-1} = \mu(\xi_k)g\mu(\xi_{(k+\frac{q+1}{2}) \mod (q+1)}) \in I.$$

So I is a normal subgroup of  $PSL_2(F_{q^d})$ , which is impossible since  $PSL_2(F_{q^d})$  is simple.

In order to bound the girth, it is enough to consider only cycles that begin and end at e. Such a cycle of length t is created by an element  $\xi = a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{ij} = \xi_{i_1} \cdots \xi_{i_t} \in \Lambda(g)$ . Clearly

$$N(\xi) = a^2 - b^2 \epsilon + (x - 1)(d^2 \epsilon - c^2) = x^t .$$

Since  $\mu^2 - \eta^2 \epsilon = 0$  for  $\mu, \eta \in \mathbb{F}_q$  iff  $\mu = \eta = 0, t$  is odd iff  $t = \deg(d^2\epsilon - c^2) + 1 = \deg(d^2) + 1$ . But g divides c and d, so  $t \ge 2\deg(g) + 1 > 2/3\log_q |\Omega_g| + 1$ . If t = 2r is even,  $N(\xi) \equiv a^2 \equiv x^{2r} \mod g^2$  and  $g^2$  divides  $(a - x^r)(a + x^r)$ . But (a, g) = 1, and hence  $g^2$  divides  $(a - x^r)$  or  $g^2$  divides  $(a + x^r)$ . Hence,  $r \ge 2\deg(g)$  (since  $r \ge \deg(a)$ ), and  $t \ge 4\deg(g) > 4/3\log_q |\Omega_g|$ .

The proof for the diameter is similar to that in [LPS], using theorem 4.11 to bound the eigenvalues. A weaker version can be found in [AM].

For the chromatic number see [Ho], and for the independence number a proof due to Alon can be found in [LPS].

**b.** For any s generators  $\mu(\xi_{i_1}), \ldots, \mu(\xi_{i_s})$ ,

$$\left(\frac{\det(\mu(\xi_{i_1}\cdots\xi_{i_s}))}{g(x)}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & s \text{ is even} \\ -1 & s \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

So  $\mu(\xi_{i_1})\cdots\mu(\xi_{i_d}) \in PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$  iff s is even, and  $\Omega_g$  is bipartite. Since  $\mu(\Lambda(x-1)) \supseteq PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$  and  $|PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^d}) : PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})| = 2$ ,  $\mu(\Lambda(x-1)) = PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$  and  $|PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})| = q^{3d} - q^d$ .

The bound for the girth is obtained as in part a, using the fact that now t must be even since  $N(\xi) \equiv a^2 \equiv x^t \mod g$ , and hence  $\left(\frac{x^t}{g(x)}\right) = 1$ . The bound for the diameter is again obtained as in part a.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 4.14** When degree $(g(x)) \to \infty$  we get an infinite linear family of q + 1 regular Ramanujan graphs.

#### 5 Explicit Construction for Even q's

Since much is similar to the case of odd q's, we will give proof only when the difference is fundamental. i.e. when the proof does not appear, it means that it is similar to that of the analogue claim in section 4 (which is denoted by the same number).

Let q be an even prime power, and  $f(x) = x^2 + x + \epsilon$  irreducible over  $F_q$ . (It is easy to find such f(x), since  $\alpha$  is a root of any irreducible  $z^2 + az + b$  iff  $\alpha/a$  is a root of  $z^2 + z + b/a^2$ ). Let us choose the quaternion algebra:

 $\mathcal{A} = k\mathbf{1} + k\mathbf{i} + k\mathbf{j} + k\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}$   $\mathbf{i}^2 = \mathbf{i} + \epsilon$ ,  $\mathbf{j}^2 = x$ ,  $\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j}$ .

Here  $\overline{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbf{i} + 1$ ,  $\overline{\mathbf{j}} = \mathbf{j}$ ,  $\overline{\mathbf{ij}} = \mathbf{ij}$  so for  $\xi = a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{ij}$ ,  $\overline{\xi} = \xi + b$ ,  $\operatorname{tr}(\xi) = b$ , and

$$N(\xi) = a^{2} + b^{2}\epsilon + ba + (c^{2} + d^{2}\epsilon + cd)x.$$
(17)

By lemma 5.2  $N(\xi) = 0$  iff  $\xi = 0$ , so  $\mathcal{A}$  is a quaternion algebra. Computing the discriminant of  $\mathcal{A}$  we see that the only finite place in which  $\mathcal{A}$  is ramified is x, so 1/x is also ramified.

Lemma 5.1 The class number of A is 1.

**Proof:** Immediate from [To, Th. 9].  $\Box$ 

$$S = F_q[x]\mathbf{1} + F_q[x]\mathbf{i} + F_q[x]\mathbf{j} + F_q[x]\mathbf{ij}$$

is an integral set in  $\mathcal{A}$  ([To, Th. 6]). Let  $N_z = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{S} \mid N(\xi) = z \}$ .

Lemma 5.2

- a.  $|N_1| = q + 1$ .
- b.  $|N_{x+1}| = (q+1)^2$ .
- c. In every coset of  $N_1 \setminus N_{x+1}$  we can choose a unique representative of the form

$$\xi = 1 + \gamma \mathbf{j} + \delta \mathbf{i} \mathbf{j} \qquad \gamma, \delta \in \mathbf{F}_a, \quad \gamma^2 + \gamma \delta + \delta^2 \epsilon = 1.$$
(18)

which has exactly q + 1 solutions in  $F_q$ .

**Proof:** For  $\alpha, \beta \in F_q$ ,  $\alpha^2 + \alpha\beta + \beta^2 \epsilon = 0$  iff  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  (since if not,  $f(\alpha/\beta) = 0$ ). Using this as in the proof of lemma 4.2, we see that

$$\xi = a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j} \in N_0 \cup N_1 \cup N_{x+1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q \,.$$

Everything results now from (17), since there are exactly q+1 solutions  $(\alpha, \beta)$ in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for  $\alpha^2 + \alpha\beta + \beta^2\epsilon = 1$ . This is true since for  $(1,0) \neq (\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$ 

$$\alpha^2 + \alpha\beta + \beta^2\epsilon = 1$$
 iff  $f(\alpha/\beta) = (\alpha/\beta)^2 + (\alpha/\beta) + \epsilon = 1/\beta^2$ .

But any  $s \in \mathbf{F}_q$  gives a solution  $(\alpha, \beta) = (\frac{s}{\sqrt{f(s)}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{f(s)}})$  for  $f(\alpha/\beta) = 1/\beta^2$ (since  $f(s) \neq 0$ ). This of course leads to q different solutions, and (1, 0) is one more.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 5.3**  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{q+1}$  of (18) are called the basic norm x + 1. Again we assume that  $\overline{\xi_i} = \xi_{i+\frac{q+1}{2}}$ .

**Lemma 5.4** A quaternion  $t \in S$  with  $N(t) = (x + 1)^n$  for some integer n, has the unique factorization

$$t = (x+1)^r u\theta_1 \cdots \theta_m \tag{19}$$

where 2r + m = n, N(u) = 1,  $\theta_i$  are basic norm x + 1, and x + 1 does not divide  $\theta_1 \cdots \theta_m$ .

**Theorem 5.5** A quaternion  $t = a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j} \in S$  with  $N(t) = (x + 1)^n$ for some integer n is a multiple of basic norm x + 1 iff

$$a-1, b \equiv 0 \mod x \quad . \tag{20}$$

**Definition 5.6** 

$$\Lambda(x) = \left\{ t = a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{S} \mid \begin{array}{l} a - 1, b \equiv 0 \mod x, \\ N(t) \text{ is a power of } x + 1, \\ x + 1 \text{ doesn't divide } t \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Corollary 5.7**  $\Lambda(x)$  is a free group, and  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{\frac{q+1}{2}}$  of definition 5.3 are free generators.

A splits at x + 1, so we have

$$\theta:\mathcal{A}_{x+1}\cong M_2(k_{x+1})$$

and  $\theta: \Lambda(x) \hookrightarrow PGL_2(k_{x+1}) = G'_{x+1}$ .  $\Lambda(x)$  or more precisely  $\theta(\Lambda(x))$  acts on the tree  $T_{x+1} = G'_{x+1}/G'_{O_{x+1}}$ .

**Lemma 5.8** The action of  $\Lambda(x)$  on  $T_{x+1}$  is simply transitive. So  $T_{x+1}$  can be identified with the Cayley graph of  $\Lambda(x)$  w.r.t. the basic norm x + 1 as generators.

Let  $g(x) \in F_q[x]$  be any polynomial which is prime to x(x+1), and d = degree(g(x)).

**Definition 5.9** 

$$\begin{split} \Lambda(g) &= \{ \ \xi = a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j} \in \Lambda(x) \ | \ b, c, d \equiv 0 \ \mathrm{mod} \ g(x), \ (a, g) = 1 \ \}. \\ \Gamma_g &= \Lambda(g) \backslash T_{x+1} \ (= \Lambda(g) \backslash G'_{x+1} / G'_{O_{x+1}} = \Lambda(g) \backslash \Lambda(x) \ ). \end{split}$$

**Theorem 5.10**  $\Gamma_g$  is a finite q+1 regular graph. Moreover,  $\Gamma_g$  is the Cayley graph of the group  $\Lambda(g) \setminus \Lambda(x)$  w.r.t. the q+1 basic norm x+1 as generators.

**Theorem 5.11** Let  $\mu$  be any eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix of  $\Gamma_g$ , if  $\mu \neq \pm (q+1)$  then  $|\mu| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$ . In particular,  $\Gamma_g$  is a Ramanu'jan graph.

For the sake of simplicity, assume that g(x) is irreducible of even degree. Therefore there is an  $\underline{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^d} \cong \mathbb{F}_q[x]/g(x)\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  s.t.  $f(\underline{i}) = \underline{i}^2 + \underline{i} + \epsilon = 0$  and define  $\mu : \Lambda(x) \to PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^d}) = PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^d})$  by

$$\mu(a+b\mathbf{i}+c\mathbf{j}+d\mathbf{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} a+b\underline{\mathbf{i}} & c+d\underline{\mathbf{i}} \\ x(c+d\underline{\mathbf{i}}+d) & a+b\underline{\mathbf{i}}+b \end{pmatrix}$$

Clearly  $N(\xi) = \det(\mu(\xi))$ . The kernel of  $\mu$  is  $\Lambda(g)$ , so  $\Gamma_g$  is the Cayley graph of  $\mu(\Lambda(x))$  with respect to the q+1 generators:

$$\mu(\xi_k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \gamma_k + \delta_k \underline{i} \\ (\gamma_k + \delta_k \underline{i} + \delta_k) x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad k = 1, \dots, q+1 \quad (21)$$

 $\gamma_k, \delta_k \in \mathsf{F}_q$  are all the q+1 solutions in  $\mathsf{F}_q$  for  $\gamma_k^2 + \gamma_k \delta_k + \delta_k^2 \epsilon = 1$ .

Lemma 5.12  $\mu(\Lambda(x)) = PSL_2(\mathsf{F}_{q^d}) = PGL_2(\mathsf{F}_{q^d}).$ 

**Theorem 5.13** Let q be a power of 2,  $f(x) = x^2 + x + \epsilon$  irreducible in  $\mathsf{F}_q[x]$ . Let  $g(x) \in \mathsf{F}_q[x]$  be irreducible of even degree d, and  $\mathsf{F}_{q^d}$  is represented as  $\mathsf{F}_q[x]/g(x)\mathsf{F}_q[x]$ . Let  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathsf{F}_{q^d}$  be a root of f(x), and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \gamma_k + \delta_k \underline{i} \\ (\gamma_k + \delta_k \underline{i} + \delta_k)x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad k = 1, \dots, q+1 \quad (22)$$

 $\gamma_k, \delta_k \in \mathsf{F}_q$  are all the q+1 solutions in  $\mathsf{F}_q$  for  $\gamma_k^2 + \gamma_k \delta_k + \delta_k^2 \epsilon = 1$ .

Let  $\Gamma_g$  be the Cayley graph of  $PSL_2(\mathsf{F}_{q^d})$  w.r.t. the generators (22). Then:

1.  $\Gamma_g$  is a q+1 regular Ramanujan graph.

- 2.  $|\Gamma_q| = q^{3d} q^d$ , and  $\Gamma_q$  is not bipartite.
- 3. Girth( $\Gamma_g$ )  $\geq 2/3 \log_g |\Gamma_g|$ .
- 4. Diameter( $\Gamma_g$ )  $\leq 2 \log_g |\Gamma_g| + 2$ .
- 5. The chromatic number  $\chi$  of  $\Gamma_g$  satisfies  $\chi(\Gamma_g) \ge \frac{q+1}{2\sqrt{q}} + 1$ .
- 6. The independence number  $\iota$  of  $\Gamma_g$  satisfies  $\iota(\Gamma_g) \leq \frac{2\sqrt{q}}{q+1}|\Gamma_g|$ .

**Corollary 5.14** When degree $(g(x)) \to \infty$  we get an infinite linear family of q + 1 regular Ramanujan graphs.

## Acknowledgments

I wish to thank my advisors A. Lubotzky and E. Shamir for fruitful discussions and encouragement.

## References

- [Al] N. Alon, Eigenvalues and Expanders, Combinatorica 6 (2) (1986), 83-96.
- [Alb] A.A. Albert, Structure of Algebras A.M.S. New York, 1939; revised, 1961.
- [AM] N. Alon, V. Milman,  $\lambda_1$ , Isoperimetric Inequalities for Graphs, and Superconcentrators, J. of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 38 (1985), 73-88.
- [Co] E. Cohen, Sums of an Even Number of Squares in  $GF[p^n, x]$ , II, Duke Math. J. 14, (1947), 543-557.
- [Di] L.E. Dickson, Algebras and Their Arithmetics, The Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois, 1923.
- [Dr] V.G. Drinfeld, The proof of Peterson's Conjecture for GL(2) over global field of characteristic p, Functional Analysis and its applications, 22 (1988), 28-43.
- [Ei] M. Eichler, Uber die Idealklassnzahl Hyperkomplexer Systeme, Math. Zeitschrift, 43 (1938) 481-494.
- [Ge] S. Gelbart, Automorphic Forms on Adele Groups, Princeton university press, Princeton 1975.
- [GG] O. Gaber, Z. Galil, Explicit Construction of Linear Sized Superconcentrators, J. of Comp Sys. Sci. 22 (1981), 407-420.
- [GGPS] I.M. Gelfand, M.I. Graev, I.I. Pyatetskii-Shapiro, Representation Theory and Automorphic Functions, W.B. Saunders Com., 1969.
- [Ho] A.J. Hoffman, On Eigenvalues and Coloring of Graphs, in Graph Theory and its Applications, (ed: B. Harris), Academic Press, 1970, 79-91.

- [KI] M. Klawe, Limitations on Explicit Constructions of Expanding Graphs, SIAM J. Compu. 13 (1984) 155-156.
- [La] S. Lang,  $SL_2(R)$ , Springer-Varlag, New-York, 1985.
- [LPS] A. Lubotzky, R. Phillips, P. Sarnak, Ramanujan Graphs, Combinatorica 8(3) (1988), 261-277.
- [Lu] A. Lubotzky, Discrete Groups, Expanding Graphs and Invariant Measures, to appear.
- [Ma] G.A. Margulis, Explicit Construction of Concentrators, Problems of Inform. Transmission (1975), 325-332.
- [Ma 1] G.A. Margulis, Explicit Group Theoretical Constructions of Combinatorial Schemes and their Application to the Design of Expanders and Superconcentrators, Problems of Inform. Transmission, 24 (1988), 39-46.
- [Mo] M. Morgenstern, Ramanujan Diagrams and Explicit Construction of Expanding Graphs, Ph.D. Thesis, Hebrew Univ. of Jerusalem, 1990.
- [Pin] M.S. Pinsker, On the Complexity of a Concentrator, Proc. 7th International Teletraffic Conf. Stockholm, 1973.
- [Pip] N. Pippenger, Superconcentrators, SIAM J. of Comp. 6 1972, 298-304.
- [Pr] G. Prasad, Strong Approximation for Semi-Simple Groups over Function Fields, Ann. of Math. 105 (1977).
- [Re] I. Reiner, Maximal Orders, Academic Press, 1975.
- [Se] J.P. Serre, Trees, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [To] L. Tornheim, Integral Sets of Quaternion Algebras over Function Fields, Trans. A.M.S. 48 (1940), 436-450.
- [Vi] M.F. Vigneras, Arithmetique des Algebres de Quaternions, Lecture Notes in Math. 800, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [We] A. Weil, Basic Number Theory, Springer-Verlag, 1967.