ACCEPTABLE NUMERATIONS OF FUNCTION SPACES

Akira Kanda Department of Computer Science The University of British Columbia Vancouver, B.C. V6T 1W5 Canada

Technical Report 83-12

October 1983

(To appear in Zeitschrift fur Mathematische Logik und Grundlagen der Mathematik)

READING ROOM - COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPT. UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA 6356 AGRICULTURAL ROAD VANCOUVER, B.C. V6T 1W5

TECHNICAL

REPORT

ABSTRACT

We study when a numeration of the set of morphisms from a numeration to the other is well-behaved. We call well-behaved numerations "acceptable numerations". We characterize acceptable numerations by two axioms and show that acceptable numerations are recursively isomorphic to each other. We also show that for each acceptable numeration a fixed point theorem holds. Relation between Cartesian closedness and S-m-n property is discussed in terms of acceptable numerations. As an example of acceptable numerations, we study directed indexings of effective domains.

ECHNICA. REPORT

THE TRADE STUPPED - ROUS SERVES.

MARSHY LE WITER COLUMN AND AND AND ACTIC AND ACTIC AND ALARCE ACTICATION ACTIC VALUES ACTICATION AND AND AND

1. Numerations

The theory of numerations developed by Ersov [1,2] is a very useful general theory of computation which is based on very simple concepts. In this section, we briefly overview some basic concepts and results of this theory.

Definition 1.1

A <u>numeration</u> (of a set X) is a surjective map $\chi:N \rightarrow X$ where N is the set of all natural numbers. A <u>morphism</u> from a numeration $\alpha:N \rightarrow A$ to the other $\beta:N \rightarrow B$ is a function $h:A \rightarrow B$ which can be <u>realized</u> by a recursive function, i.e. for which there is a recursive function $r_h:N \rightarrow N$ satisfying:

$$h \cdot \alpha = \beta \cdot r_h$$

For each numeration $\chi: N \rightarrow X$ we define an equivalence relation = $_{\chi}$ by:

 $n = \chi m$ iff $\chi(n) = \chi(m)$.

It can readily be seen that numerations and morphisms form a category. We denote this category by <u>Num</u>. Notice that for any numeration $x:N \rightarrow X$, the identity map $id_X:X \rightarrow X$ is a morphism from x to x, for $id_N:N \rightarrow N$ realizes id_X .

Throughout, let ϕ be a Gödel numbering of partial recursive functions. Definition 1.2

A numeration $\alpha: N \rightarrow A$ is <u>precomplete</u> if for every partial recursive function f, there is a recursive function g s.t. $f(n) \neq$ implies $f(n) =_{\alpha} g(n)$, and we can compute a Gödel number of g from that of f. We say g makes f total modulo α .

Proposition 1.3 (Ersov)

Let $\alpha: N \rightarrow A$ be precomplete, then there is a total recursive function fix_{$\alpha}: N \rightarrow N$ s.t.</sub>

$$\phi_n(fix_\alpha(n)) \neq implies \phi_n(fix_\alpha(n)) = fix_\alpha(n).$$

<u>Proof</u> Let ϕ_r make $\lambda x \cdot \phi_n(\phi_x(x))$ total modulo α . Let r* be $\phi_r(r)$. Assume $\phi_n(r^*)$ then

 $\phi_n(r^*) = \phi_n(\phi_r(r)) = \phi_r(r) = r^*.$

Since α is precomplete, we can compute r and thus r* from a Gödel number n of ϕ_n .

Proposition 1.4 (Ersov)

The Gödel numbering ϕ is precomplete.

Let $\alpha,\beta:N\rightarrow S$ be numerations. We say β is <u>reducible</u> to α , in symbols $\alpha<\beta$, iff there is a recursive function f satisfying $\alpha = \beta \cdot f$. Intuitively $\alpha<\beta$ iff we can compute a β -index of an element of S from an α -index of it. Proposition 1.5 (Ersov)

Let $\alpha,\beta:N\rightarrow S$ be precomplete numerations satisfying $\alpha<\beta$ and $\beta<\alpha$, then there is a recursive isomorphism $h:N\rightarrow N$ s.t. $\alpha = \beta \cdot h$

Definition 1.6

Let $\alpha: N \rightarrow A$ and $\beta: N \rightarrow B$ be numerations. We define a numberation $\alpha x \beta: N \rightarrow A x B$ by:

 $\alpha x\beta(\langle n,m \rangle) = (\alpha(n),\beta(m))$

where <-,-> is the pairing function.

2. Acceptable Numerations of Function Spaces

Let $\alpha: N \rightarrow A$ and $\beta: N \rightarrow B$ be numerations. In this section we study a concept of "acceptable" numeration of the set Hom (α, β) of all morphisms from α to β . Essentially "acceptable" numerations are "well-behaved" numerations.

-3-

Definition 2.1 (acceptable numeration)

Let $\alpha: N \rightarrow A$ and $\beta: N \rightarrow B$ be numerations. A numeration $\tau: N \rightarrow Hom(\alpha, \beta)$ is <u>semi-effective</u> iff there is a recursive function $Eval_{\tau}: N^2 \rightarrow N$ s.t.

 $\tau(m)(\alpha(n)) = \beta(Eval_{\tau}(m,n))$

A semi-effective numeration $\tau: \mathbb{N} \to \text{Hom}(\alpha, \beta)$ is <u>acceptable</u> iff there is a recursive function $\text{Enum}_{\tau}: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ s.t. if f is a morphism from α to β which is realized by $r_f = \phi_m$ then $f = \tau(\text{Enum}_{\tau}(m))$.

Lemma 2.2

Let $\tau: N \rightarrow Hom(\alpha, \beta)$ be a semi-effective numeration, then there is a recursive function Real_{τ}: N $\rightarrow N$ s.t. $\phi_{Real_{\tau}}(m)$ realizes $\tau(m)$. Proof By S-m-n theorem

The next theorem states that acceptable numerations are maximum numerations.

Theorem 2.3

Let $\tau: N \rightarrow Hom(\alpha, \beta)$ be semi-effective and $\delta: N \rightarrow Hom(\alpha, \beta)$ be acceptable then $\tau < \delta$.

 $\frac{\text{Proof}}{\tau(m)} = \delta(\text{Enum}_{\delta} \cdot \text{Real}_{\tau}(m)), \text{ for } \delta \text{ is acceptable.}$ Therefore

Π

Theorem 2.4

Let $\tau: N \rightarrow Hom(\alpha, \beta)$ be acceptable, then τ is precomplete. Proof Let f be a partial recursive function. Assume $f(x) \neq .$

$$\tau(f(x))(\alpha(y)) = \beta(r_{\tau}(f(x))(y))$$
$$= \beta(\phi_{\text{Real}_{\tau}}(f(x))(y)).$$

Since ϕ is precomplete, there is a recursive function g s.t. if f(x) then $\phi_{\text{Real}_{\tau}}(f(x)) = \phi_{g}(x)$. Thus we have: $\tau(f(x))(\alpha(y)) = \beta(\phi_{g}(x)(y))$ $= \tau(\text{Enum}_{\tau}(g(x)))(\alpha(y)).$

Therefore $\tau(f(x)) = \tau(\operatorname{Enum}_{\tau} \cdot g(x))$. Obviously we can compute a Gödel number of $\operatorname{Enum}_{\tau} \cdot g$ from that of f.

The next theorem states that there is only one acceptable numeration of $Hom(\alpha,\beta)$.

Π

Theorem 2.5 (Recursive Isomorphism Theorem)

Let $\tau, \tau': \mathbb{N} \to \text{Hom}(\alpha, \beta)$ be acceptable numerations, then there is a recursive isomorphism h: $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\tau = \tau' \cdot h$. Proof By 2.3, 2.4 and 1.5

<u>Notation</u> Since there is only one acceptable numeration of Hom (α,β) , we denote it by $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ if any.

It should be noticed that the acceptability of a numeration corresponds to a generalization of Myhill-Shepherdson theorem [5] in recursive function theory. Thus the main result of this section can be stated roughly as: "numerations satisfying the Myhill-Shepherdson property form the maximam recursive isomorphism class". The following theorems will explain why we say acceptable numerations are "well-behaved" numerations.

Theorem 2.6

If $\tau: N \rightarrow Hom(\alpha, \beta)$ is an acceptable numeration then there is a total recursive function fix_{τ}: N > N s.t.

 $\phi_n(fix_\tau(n)) \neq implies \phi_n(fix_\tau(n)) = fix_\tau(n).$

Proof Immediate from 2.4.

Theorem 2.7

Let $\tau: N \rightarrow Hom(\alpha, \beta)$, $\rho: N \rightarrow Hom(\beta, \gamma)$ and $\delta: N \rightarrow Hom(\alpha, \gamma)$ be acceptable, then there is a recursive function $Comp_{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}: N \times N \rightarrow N$ s.t.

 $\delta(\operatorname{Comp}_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n})) = \rho(\mathfrak{n})\cdot\tau(\mathfrak{m}).$

Theorem 2.8

Let $\alpha: N \rightarrow A$, $\beta: N \rightarrow B$ and $\gamma: N \rightarrow C$ be numerations s.t. $(\alpha \times \beta \rightarrow \gamma): N \rightarrow Hom(\alpha \times \beta, \gamma)$ and $(\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma)): N \rightarrow Hom(\alpha, (\beta \rightarrow \gamma))$ are acceptable. Then $(\alpha \times \beta \rightarrow \gamma)\cong (\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma))$ in <u>Num</u>.

Proof Define Curry:Hom $(\alpha \times \beta, \gamma) \rightarrow$ Hom $(\alpha, (\beta \rightarrow \gamma))$ and

Apply: Hom $(\alpha, (\beta \rightarrow \gamma)) \rightarrow$ Hom $(\alpha \times \beta, \gamma)$ by:

Curry(f)(a)(b) = f(a,b)

$$pply(g)(a,b) = g(a)(b)$$

Apply($(\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma)(k))(\alpha(m),\beta(n))$

Then

А

$$= (\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma))(k)(\alpha(m),\beta(n))$$

= $(\beta \rightarrow \gamma)(Eval_{(\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma))}(k,m))(\beta(n))$
= $\gamma(Eval_{(\beta \rightarrow \gamma)}(Eval_{(\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma))}(k,m),n))$
= $\gamma(\phi_{(\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma))}(k,m),n))$

Π

where u is some recursive function due to S-m-n theorem. Therefore we have:

$$Apply((\alpha + (\beta + \gamma))(k))$$

$$= (\alpha x \beta + \gamma)(Enum_{(\alpha x \beta + \gamma)}(u(k))).$$
Thus
$$Apply \in Hom((\alpha + (\beta + \gamma)), (\alpha x \beta + \gamma)).$$
Also
$$Curry((\alpha x \beta + \gamma)(k))(\alpha (m))(\beta (n))$$

$$= (\alpha x \beta + \gamma)(k)(\alpha x \beta (< m, n >))$$

$$= \gamma (Eval_{(\alpha x \beta + \gamma)}(k, < m, n >))$$

$$= \gamma (\phi_{v}(k)(< m, n >))$$

where v is some recursive function due to S-m-n theorem. Thus we have:

Curry
$$((\alpha \times \beta \rightarrow \gamma)(k))(\alpha(m))$$

= $\lambda\beta(n) \cdot \gamma(\phi_{V(k)}())$
= $\lambda\beta(n) \cdot \gamma(\phi_{V'(k,m)}(n))$
= $(\beta \rightarrow \gamma)(Enum_{(\beta \rightarrow \gamma)}(v'(k,m)))$
= $(\beta \rightarrow \gamma)(\phi_{V''(k)}(m))$

where v' and v'' are recursive functions due to S-m-n theorem. Thus we have:

$$Curry((\alpha x\beta \rightarrow \gamma)(k)) = (\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma))(Enum_{(\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma))}(v''(k))).$$

Thus

Curry
$$\in$$
 Hom $((\alpha x \beta \rightarrow \gamma), (\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma)))$.

Obviously Curry Apply = id

Apply.Curry = id.

Corollary 2.9

Let \underline{K} be a full subcategory of the category of numerations s.t.

 \square

(1) For every object α:N→A, β:N→B in K, there is a unique, up to recursive isomorphism, acceptable numeration (α→β):N→Hom(α,β) in K.
 We call such category an acceptable subcategory of Num.

(2) $\alpha x\beta$:N \rightarrow AxB is in K

(3) <u>K</u> has a final object

Then K is Cartesian closed.

The next theorem relates Cartesian closedness to a generalized S-m-n property.

Theory 2.10

Let $\alpha: N \rightarrow A$, $\beta: N \rightarrow B$ and $\gamma: N \rightarrow C$ be numerations s.t. $(\alpha x \beta \rightarrow \gamma): N \rightarrow Hom(\alpha x \beta, \gamma)$ and $(\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma)): N \rightarrow Hom(\alpha, (\beta \rightarrow \gamma))$ are acceptable. Then there is a recursive function S s.t. $(\alpha x \beta \rightarrow \gamma)(m)(\alpha(n), \beta(k)) = (\beta \rightarrow \gamma)(S(m,n))(\beta(k))$

<u>Proof</u> By 2.8, $(\alpha \times \beta \rightarrow \gamma) \cong (\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma))$. Therefore we have:

 $(\alpha x \beta \rightarrow \gamma)(m)(\alpha(n),\beta(k))$

= $(\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma))(r_{Curry}(m))(\alpha(n))(\beta(k))$

= $(\beta \rightarrow \gamma)(Eval_{(\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma))}(r_{Curry}(m),n))(\beta(k)).$

Thus a recursive function S s.t.

$$S(m,n) = Eval_{(\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma))}(r_{Currv}(m),n)$$

satisfies the theorem.

Π.

3. Effective Domains and Directed Indexings

As an example of acceptable subcategory of <u>Num</u>, we study the category of directed indexings of effective domains. Since the purpose of this section is neither to give an exposition on effective domains nor to present some new results on this theory, we make explicit reference only to that literature which are relevant to acceptable numerations. A <u>domain</u> is a partially ordered set (X,<) such that

- (1) For every subset Z⊂X, if Z has an upper bound then the least upper bound (lub)∐Z exists.
- (2) The set B_{χ} of compact elements of X is countable.
- (3) For every element $x \in X$, $B_x = \{b \in B_X | b < x\}$ is directed and $x = \bigsqcup B_x$.

Let $\varepsilon: N \rightarrow B_{\chi}$ be a numeration. (X,<) is an <u>effectively given domain</u> if there is a pair (b,1) of recursive predicates satisfying:

 $b(x) \leftrightarrow \varepsilon(f_p(x))$ has an upper bound

 $l(x,k) \leftrightarrow \varepsilon(k) = \bigsqcup_{\varepsilon} (f_p(x))$

where f_p is the standard enumeration of finite subsets of N.

An element $x \in X$ is <u>computable</u> w.r.t. ε if for some recursively enumerable set W, $\varepsilon(W)$ is directed and $x = \bigsqcup \varepsilon(W)$. Comp (X,ε) denotes the set of all computable elements of (X,ε) and is called an <u>effective domain</u> (<u>generated</u> by ε).

For every effectively given domain (X,ε) there is a recursive function $d_{\varepsilon}: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon(\mathbb{W}_{d_{\varepsilon}}(j))$ is directed and if $\varepsilon(\mathbb{W}_{i})$ is directed then $\bigsqcup \varepsilon(\mathbb{W}_{i}) = \bigsqcup \varepsilon(\mathbb{W}_{d_{\varepsilon}}(i))$. This function is due to the following "directing" procedure

- generate W_j as $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots$ - generate an r.e. set $W_{d_{\varepsilon}}(j) = \{y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots\}$ by: $y_0 = x_0$ $y_{n+1} = \underline{if} \{\varepsilon(y_0), \dots, \varepsilon(y_n), \varepsilon(x_n)\}$ has an upper bound in B_{χ} <u>then</u> $\mu k.\varepsilon(k) = \bigcup \{\varepsilon(y_0), \dots, \varepsilon(y_n), \varepsilon(x_{n+1})\}$ <u>else</u> y_n

This function gives us a numeration $\delta_{\varepsilon}: \mathbb{N} \to \operatorname{Comp}(X, \varepsilon)$ defined by $\delta_{\varepsilon}(i) = \bigsqcup_{\varepsilon} (W_{d_{\varepsilon}}(i))$. This numeration is called a <u>directed indexing</u> of $\operatorname{Comp}(X, \varepsilon)$. Given effectively given domains (X,ε) and (X',ε') , let $[X \rightarrow X']$ be the set of all functions called continuous functions f:X $\rightarrow X'$ which preserve the lub of directed subsets, (i.e. if D $\sim X$ is directed then $f(D) = \{f(x) | x \in D\}$ is directed and $f(\bigcup D) = \bigcup f(D)$.) with the following partial ordering: for f,g $\in [X \rightarrow X']$,

f<g iff f(x) < g(x) for all $x \in X$.

It is well-known that $[X \rightarrow X']$ is a domain where

 $B_{[X \rightarrow X]} = \text{the set of all possible finite joins of the step functions}$ $[b,b']:X \rightarrow X' \text{ s.t. } b \in B_X, \ b' \in B_X' \text{ and}$ $[b,b'](x):= \underline{\text{if }} b < x \underline{\text{then }} b' \underline{\text{else }} \underline{|}$ $\text{where } \underline{|} = \underline{|} | \phi.$

Let $[\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon']: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow B_{[X \rightarrow X']}$ be the following numeration:

 $[\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon'](n) := if \sigma(n)$ has a lub then $||\sigma(n)| else |$

 $\sigma(n) = \{ [\varepsilon(i), \varepsilon'(j)] | (i,j) \in \Pr(n) \}$

Pr:standard enumeration of finite subsets of NxN.

It is known that if (X,ε) and (X',ε') are effectively given domains then $([X \rightarrow X'], [\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon'])$ is also an effectively given domain. f:X \rightarrow X' is <u>computable</u> wrt $(\varepsilon,\varepsilon')$ iff $f_{\varepsilon}Comp([X \rightarrow X'], [\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon'])$. Proposition 3.1 (Kanda [3])

A continuous function f from an effectively given domain (X,ε) to another (X',ε') is computable w.r.t. $(\varepsilon,\varepsilon')$ iff $f^{\circ}_{Comp}(X,\varepsilon)$ is a morphism from $\delta_{\varepsilon}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow Comp(X,\varepsilon)$ to $\delta_{\varepsilon'}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow Comp(X',\varepsilon')$. This equivalence is effective, i.e. from a directed index of f, we can compute a Gödel number of a recursive function which realizes $f^{\circ}_{Comp}(X,\varepsilon)$ and vice versa.

Proposition 3.2 (Weihrauch-Schafer [8], Streicher [6]).

Let (X, ε) and (X', ε') be effectively given domains and $f: X \rightarrow X'$ be a

П

function. If f[Comp(X, ϵ) is a morphism from δ_{ϵ} to δ_{ϵ} , then f is continuous.

Let us introduce a numeration $(\delta_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \delta_{\varepsilon},):\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\delta_{\varepsilon}, \delta_{\varepsilon},)$ by $(\delta_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \delta_{\varepsilon},)(i) = \delta_{(\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon')}(i) [\mathrm{Comp}(X, \varepsilon).$ This is a numeration of $\mathrm{Hom}(\delta_{\varepsilon}, \delta_{\varepsilon'})$ because we have 3.1 and 3.2. We can consider this numeration $(\delta_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \delta_{\varepsilon'})$ as the directed indexing $\delta_{(\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon')}$ of $\mathrm{Comp}([X \rightarrow X'], [\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon'])$ because for each element of $\mathrm{Hom}(\delta_{\varepsilon}, \delta_{\varepsilon'})$ there is a unique computable extension. In other words, to within the identification $\mathrm{Hom}(\delta_{\varepsilon}, \delta_{\varepsilon'}) = \mathrm{Comp}([X \rightarrow X'], [\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon']),$ we have $(\delta_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \delta_{\varepsilon'}) = \delta_{[\varepsilon \rightarrow \varepsilon']}$.

П

Now as an immediate consequence of 3.1, we have:

Theorem 3.3

 $(\delta_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \delta_{\varepsilon}): \mathbb{N} \rightarrow Hom(\delta_{\varepsilon}, \delta_{\varepsilon})$ is acceptable. Thus the category of directed indexings of effective domains is an acceptable subcategory of Num.

Let (Y,ε) and (Y',ε') be effectively given domains. Then YxY' is a domain with $B_{YXY'} = B_Y x B_{Y'}$. Let $\varepsilon x \varepsilon' : N \rightarrow B_{YXY}$ be the following numeration: $\varepsilon x \varepsilon' (< n, m >) = (\varepsilon(n), \varepsilon'(m))$. Then $(YxY', \varepsilon x \varepsilon')$ is an effectively given domain. It is obvious that we have $\delta_{\varepsilon} x \delta_{\varepsilon'} = \delta_{\varepsilon x \varepsilon'}$.

A singleton is obviously an effective domain. Therefore in summary, we have:

Theorem 3.4

The category of directed indexings of effective domains is Cartesian closed.

Proof By 2.9, 3.3 and above.

Due to the theorem 2.10, S-m-n theorem holds for directed indexings.

4. Concluding Remarks

In Kanda [4] and Weihrauch [7], it was shown that "acceptable indexings" of an effective domain are all recursively isomorphic. This result is a special case of our general result 2.5.

Acknowledgement

The author thanks T. Streicher for encouraging and stimulating correspondence. This research was supported by Canada Natural Science and Engineering Council grant #A2457. References

- [1] Ersov, Ju.L. Theorie der Numerierungen I. Zeitschrife für Math. Logik, Bd. 19, Heft. 4, 1973.
- [2] Ersov, Ju.L. Theorie der Numerierungen II. Zeitschrife für Math. Logik, Bd. 21, Heft. 6, 1975.
- [3] Kanda, A. Ph.D. Thesis, Warwick University, 1980.
- [4] Kanda, A. Gödel Numbering of Domain Theoretic Computable Functions, Dept. of Computer Studies, Leeds Univ., Report No. 138, 1980.
- [5] Myhill-Shepherdson, Effective Operations on Partial Recursive Functions, Zeitshrife für Math. Logik, Bd. 1, 1955.
- [6] Streicher, T. Diplomarbeit, Johannes Kepler Universität Linz, 1982.
- [7] Weihrauch, K. Rekursionstheories und Komplexitatstheorie auf Effectiven Cpo-s, Informatik Berichte Nr. 9, Fernuniversitat Hagen, 1981.
- [8] Weihrauch-Schafer, Admissible Representations of Effective CPO's, Proc. of MFCS '81, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, No. 118, 1981.