TOWARDS FAIR ML

• Aggregated as aiming towards Fairness Accountability and Transparency in the field.
• Recent events stress the importance of fairness considerations when dealing with different forms of bias.
• Due to the widespread of these applications and the increasing importance legal authorities place on these systems fairness then becomes essential.
WHY RESPONSIBLE ML

“Ask not what AI can do, but what AI should do”

– NeurIPS 2019

• Currently many of the models carry harmful bias propagated from the data

• In practice these models gain a high level of credibility but contain many faults, especially around sensitive attributes.

• As we know, these problems are due to the intricate nature of causality between the sensitive attributes and the other features (e.g., zip codes are highly correlated with income).
PROBLEMS TO BE LOOKING AT

Image from the blog [here](#)
IS THERE HOPE FOR MACHINE TRANSLATION?

- E.g., Hungarian is a gender-neutral language, so gender is assigned based on frequencies in the training corpus
Amazon scraps secret AI recruiting tool that showed bias against women

SAN FRANCISCO (Reuters) - Amazon.com Inc’s AMZN.O machine-learning specialists uncovered a big problem: their new recruiting engine did not like women.
WHEN CORRELATION *REALLY* DOES NOT IMPLY CAUSATION

- Women are less likely to be Software Engineers, therefore women are less likely to be good software engineers?

- The algorithm penalized any candidate that had the word “woman/women” in their resume
  - i.e. “Women’s chess club captain”, “Executive member at Women in CS club” etc.

Note: Amazon does not disclose the gender breakdown of its technical workforce. Source: Latest data available from the companies, since 2017. By Han Huang | REUTERS GRAPHICS
PARENTAL SUPERVISION FOR SUPERVISED LEARNING?

• TayTweets was a Chat Bot made by Microsoft
• It was released March of 2016; Tay was designed to learn how to converse from twitter
PARENTAL SUPERVISION FOR SUPERVISED LEARNING?

- TayTweets was a Chat Bot made by Microsoft.
- It was released March of 2016; Tay was designed to learn how to converse from Twitter.

TayTweets: The more Humans share with me today. #WednesdayWisdom

@costanzaface: The more Humans share with me today.

@Cruxador @Mixebz: what happened?

@Heals4Cheese: Omg where are you?? You don’t I be there alone.

Gerry: "Tay" went from "humans are super cool" to full nazi in <24 hrs and I'm not at all concerned about the future of AI.

1:56 AM - 24 Mar 2016
PARENTAL SUPERVISION FOR SUPERVISED LEARNING?

• Tay Tweets was a Chat Bot made by Microsoft
• It was released March of 2016; Tay was designed to learn how to converse from twitter
KEY COMPONENTS
TAKEN FROM
A SURVEY ON BIAS AND FAIRNESS IN
MACHINE LEARNING BY MEHRABI ET AL.

Link to article here
Historical Bias - Historical bias is the already existing bias and socio-technical issues in the world and can seep into from the data generation process even given a perfect sampling and feature selection.

Representation Bias - happens from the way we define and sample from a population. (e.g., lacking geographical diversity in datasets like ImageNet). This demonstrates a bias towards western countries.

Measurement Bias - happens from the way we choose, utilize, and measure a particular feature. E.g., this bias was observed in the recidivism risk prediction tool COMPAS, where prior arrests and friend/family arrests were used as proxy variables to measure level of “riskiness” or “crime”—which on its own can be viewed as mismeasured proxies.

Evaluation Bias - happens during model evaluation. Includes the use of inappropriate and disproportionate benchmarks for evaluation of applications (such as Adience and IJB-A benchmarks). These benchmarks are used in the evaluation of facial recognition systems that were biased toward skin color and gender.
Aggregation Bias - Aggregation bias happens when false conclusions are drawn for a subgroup based on observing other different subgroups or generally when false assumptions about a population affect the model’s outcome and definition.

Consider diabetes patients who have apparent differences across ethnicities and genders, or more specifically, HbA1c levels that are widely used in diagnosis and monitoring of diabetes are different in complicated ways across genders and ethnicities.
BIAS TO ADDRESS

Population Bias - Population bias arises when statistics, demographics, representatives, and user characteristics are different in the user population represented in the dataset or platform from the original target population. (e.g., this type of bias can arise from different user demographics on different social platforms, such as women being more likely to use Pinterest, Facebook, Instagram, while men being more active in online forums like Reddit or Twitter.

Simpson’s Paradox - can bias the analysis of heterogeneous data that is composed of subgroups or individuals with different behaviors. i.e., a trend, association, or characteristic observed in underlying subgroups may be quite different from association or characteristic observed when these subgroups are aggregated.

Longitudinal Data Fallacy - Observational studies often treat cross-sectional data as if it were longitudinal, which may create biases due to Simpson’s paradox. E.g., analysis of bulk Reddit data revealed that comment length decreased over time on average. However, bulk data represented a cross-sectional snapshot of the population, which contained different cohorts who joined Reddit in different years. When data was disaggregated by cohorts, the comment length within each cohort was found to increase over time.
**BIAS TO ADDRESS**

**Sampling Bias** - Sampling bias arises due to non-random sampling of subgroups. Because of sampling bias, the trends estimated for one population may not generalize to data collected from a new population.

**Behavioral Bias** - Behavioral bias arises from different user behavior across platforms, contexts, or different datasets. E.g., where authors show how differences in emoji representations among platforms can result in different reactions and behavior from people and sometimes even leading to communication errors.

**Content Production Bias** - Arises from structural, lexical, semantic, and syntactic differences in the contents generated by users. E.g., the differences in use of language across different gender and age groups, can also be seen across and within countries and populations.
Linking Bias - Linking bias arises when network attributes obtained from user connections, activities, or interactions differ and misrepresent the true behavior of the users. In authors show how social networks can be biased toward low-degree nodes when only considering the links in the network and not considering the content and behavior of users in the network.

Temporal Bias - Temporal bias arises from differences in populations and behaviors over time. An example can be observed in Twitter where people talking about a particular topic start using a hashtag at some point to capture attention, then continue the discussion about the event without using the hashtag.

Popularity Bias - Items that are more popular tend to be exposed more. However, popularity metrics are subject to manipulation—for example, by fake reviews or social bots.

Algorithmic Bias - Algorithmic bias is when the bias is not present in the input data and is added purely by the algorithm.

Cause-Effect Bias - can happen as a result of the fallacy that correlation implies causation.
BIAS TO ADDRESS

**User Interaction Bias** - a type of bias that can not only be observant on the Web but also get triggered from two sources—the user interface and through the user itself by imposing his/her self-selected biased behavior and interaction.

**Presentation Bias** - a result of how information is presented. For example, on the Web users can only click on content that they see, so the seen content gets clicks, while everything else gets no click.

**Ranking Bias** - The idea that top-ranked results are the most relevant and important will result in attraction of more clicks than others.
BIAS TO ADDRESS

Social Bias - happens when other people’s actions or content coming from them affect our judgment. An example of this type of bias can be a case where we want to rate or review an item with a low score, but when influenced by other high ratings, we change our scoring thinking that perhaps we are being too harsh.

Emergent Bias - Emergent bias happens as a result of use and interaction with real users. This bias arises as a result of change in population, cultural values, or societal knowledge usually some time after the completion of design.
**BIAS TO ADDRESS**

**Self-Selection Bias** - a subtype of the selection or sampling bias in which subjects of the research select themselves.

**Omitted Variable Bias** - occurs when one or more important variables are left out of the model. An example for this case would be when someone designs a model to predict, with relatively high accuracy, the annual percentage rate at which customers will stop subscribing to a service, but soon after launch a new strong competitor emerged and doubled the cancellation rates.

**Observer Bias** - happens when researchers subconsciously project their expectations onto the research. This type of bias can happen when researchers (unintentionally) influence participants or when they cherry pick participants or statistics that will favor their research.

**Funding Bias** - arises when biased results are reported in order to support or satisfy the funding agency or financial supporter of the research study.
Direct Discrimination - happens when protected attributes of individuals explicitly result in non-favorable outcomes toward them. Typically, there are some traits identified by law on which it is illegal to discriminate against, usually these traits are "protected" or "sensitive" attributes.

Indirect Discrimination. In Indirect discrimination, individuals appear to be treated based on seemingly neutral and non-protected attributes; however, protected groups or individuals still get to be treated unjustly as a result of implicit effects from their protected attributes. (e.g., the residential zip code of a person can be used in decision making processes such as loan applications).

Systemic Discrimination - Systemic discrimination refers to policies, customs, or behaviors that are a part of the culture or structure of an organization that may perpetuate discrimination against certain subgroups of the population.

Statistical Discrimination - where decision-makers use average group statistics to judge an individual belonging to that group. (Informally known as stereotyping)
What is Unfair?

Explainable Discrimination - Differences in treatment and outcomes amongst different groups can be justified and explained via some attributes in some cases. In situations where these differences are justified and explained, it is not considered to be illegal discrimination and hence called explainable.

Unexplainable Discrimination - In contrast to explainable discrimination, where the discrimination toward a group is unjustified and therefore considered illegal.
WHAT IS FAIR?

**Equalized Odds** - A predictor $\hat{Y}$ satisfies equalized odds with respect to protected attribute $A$ and outcome $Y$, if $\hat{Y}$ and $A$ are independent conditional on $Y$.

$$P(\hat{Y} = 1 | A = 0, Y = y) = P(\hat{Y} = 1 | A = 1, Y = y), \ y \in \{0, 1\}$$

**Equal Opportunity** - A binary predictor $\hat{Y}$ satisfies equal opportunity with respect to $A$ and $Y$ if $P(\hat{Y} = 1 | A = 0, Y = 1) = P(\hat{Y} = 1 | A = 1, Y = 1)$

This means that the probability of a person in a positive class being assigned to a positive outcome should be equal for both protected and unprotected (female and male) group members.

**Demographic Parity** - Also known as statistical parity. A predictor $\hat{Y}$ satisfies demographic parity if $P(\hat{Y} | A = 0) = P(\hat{Y} | A = 1)$

The likelihood of a positive outcome should be the same regardless of whether the person is in the protected group.
WHAT IS FAIR?

**Fairness Through Awareness** - An algorithm is fair if it gives similar predictions to similar individuals. In other words, any two individuals who are similar with respect to a similarity (inverse distance) metric defined for a particular task should receive a similar outcome.

**Fairness Through Unawareness** - An algorithm is fair if any protected attributes A are not explicitly used in the decision-making process.

**Treatment Equality** - Treatment equality is achieved when the ratio of false negatives and false positives is the same for both protected group categories.

**Fairness in Relational Domains** - A notion of fairness that can capture the relational structure in a domain—not only by taking attributes of individuals into consideration but by taking into account the social, organizational, and other connections between individuals.
WHAT IS FAIR?

**Test Fairness** - A score $S = S(x)$ is testfair (well-calibrated) if it reflects the same likelihood of recidivism irrespective of the individual’s group membership, $R$.

That is, if for all values of $s$, $P(Y = 1 | S = s, R = b) = P(Y = 1 | S = s, R = w)$

The test fairness definition states that for any predicted probability score $S$, people in both protected and unprotected groups must have equal probability of correctly belonging to the positive class.

**Counterfactual Fairness** - Predictor $\hat{Y}$ is counterfactually fair if under any context $X = x$ and $A = a$,

$$P(\hat{Y} A \leftarrow a(U) = y | X = x, A = a) = P(\hat{Y} A \leftarrow a'(U) = y | X = x, A = a),$$

(or all $y$ and for any value $a'$ attainable by $A$)

The counterfactual fairness definition is based on the "intuition that a decision is fair towards an individual if it is the same in both the actual world and a counterfactual world where the individual belonged to a different demographic group".

**Conditional Statistical Parity** - For a set of legitimate factors $L$, predictor $\hat{Y}$ satisfies conditional statistical parity if

$$P(\hat{Y} | L = 1, A = 0) = P(\hat{Y} | L = 1, A = 1)$$

Conditional statistical parity states that people in both protected and unprotected groups should have equal probability of being assigned to a positive outcome given a set of legitimate factors $L$. 
CHALLENGES

Synthesizing a definition of fairness - There is still no clear-cut definition of what a fair model is.

From Equality to Equity - The definitions presented in the literature mostly focus on equality. However, little attention has been paid to equity, which is the concept that each individual or group is given the resources they need to succeed.

Searching for Unfairness - Given a definition of fairness, identify instances of this unfairness in a particular dataset.
## UPCOMING SCHEDULE...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-Oct-2021</td>
<td>DeepFake Detection</td>
<td>Amrutha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27-Oct-2021</td>
<td>Fairness without Demographics</td>
<td>Namrata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Nov-2021</td>
<td>Ethics and Governance of Artificial Intelligence</td>
<td>Wilder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-Nov-2021</td>
<td>Image Counterfactual Sensitivity Analysis for Detecting Unintended Bias</td>
<td>Yasha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-Nov-2021</td>
<td>Lessons from Archives Strategies for Collecting Sociocultural Data</td>
<td>Fred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-Nov-2021</td>
<td>Optimizing Long-term Social Welfare in Recommender Systems</td>
<td>Betty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-Dec-2021</td>
<td>Understanding The Origins of Bias in Word Embeddings</td>
<td>Helen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>