## Tensors for optimization(?)

Higher order and accelerated methods

Based on
Estimate sequence methods: extensions and approximations, Michel Baes, 2009
MLRG Fall 2020 - Tensor basics and applications - Nov 18
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Higher order derivatives = more information
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## But... isn't Newton "bad"?

Newton's method: $\quad x^{\prime}=x-\alpha\left[\nabla^{2} f(x)\right]^{-1} \nabla f(x)$

Less stable than gradient descent
Only works for small problems

Can go up instead of down Awful scaling with dimension

## Why use even higher order information?

Today: a primer
Newton: the issues and how to fix them
General recipe for higher order
Some intuition for faster/approximate methods

Next week: Superfast higher order methods
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## The issues with Newton: Stability
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## Optimization

Want to minimize $f$. At $x$, we know $f(x), \nabla f(x), \ldots$


Surrogate: Simple(r) to optimize, progress on it leads to progress on $f$

Assumptions on $f$ and available information $\rightarrow$ Best algorithm?
Algorithm $\rightarrow$ Why does it work? When does it work?

Gradient descent $\rightarrow$ Modified Newton $\rightarrow$ Arbitrary order
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$$
\text { Gradient Descent (fixed } \alpha \text { ): } \quad x_{t+1}=x_{t}-\alpha \nabla f\left(x_{t}\right)
$$

The Hessian is bounded $\rightarrow$ The gradient does not change too fast

Taylor expansion:
$f(y)=f(x)+f^{\prime}(x)(y-x)+\frac{1}{2!} f^{\prime \prime}(x)(y-x)^{2}+\frac{1}{3!} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)(y-x)^{3}+\ldots$
Truncated error:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(y) & =f(x)+f^{\prime}(x)(y-x)+O\left((y-x)^{2}\right) \\
& \leq f(x)+f^{\prime}(x)(y-x)+\left[\frac{1}{2} \max _{x} f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right](y-x)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

First order
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$$
\tilde{f}(y)=f(x)+\nabla f(x)(y-x)+\frac{L}{2}\|y-x\|^{2}
$$

Convex quadratic upper bound on $f$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\nabla \tilde{f}(y) \\
& 0=\nabla f(x)+L(y-x) \\
& y=x-\frac{1}{L} \nabla f(x)
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Second order
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## Second order

The Hessian does not change too fast

$$
f(x)+f^{\prime}(x)(y-x)+\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(x)(y-x)^{2}+\left[\frac{1}{6} \max _{x} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)\right](y-x)^{3}
$$

The third derivative is bounded

$$
x^{\prime}=\arg \min _{y}\left\{\langle\nabla f(x), y-x\rangle+\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2} f(x)[y-x, y-x]+\frac{M}{6}\|y-x\|^{3}\right\}
$$

Cubic regularization of Newton's method

Cubic regularization: non-convex
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## General strategy

For $m$ th order methods: If bounded $(m+1)$ th derivative

Minimize $\quad\left\{m\right.$ th order Taylor expansion $\left.+C\|x-y\|^{m+1}\right\}$

So far:
Issues with naïve Newton
Regularity assumptions for GD and higher order methods
Cubic regularization
Next:
How to solve the subproblem
Some caveats
Is it faster? Fastest?

## Time per iteration



Cubic regularization update:

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{\prime}=x-d \\
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\end{gathered}
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## Cubic regularization update:

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{\prime}=x-d \\
\min _{d}\left\{\langle g, d\rangle+\frac{1}{2} H[d, d]+\frac{M}{6}\|d\|^{3}\right\} \\
\ldots \text { It's not convex (but it is simpler) }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { If }\|d\|=r \quad & \Longrightarrow \quad \text { minimizing a quadratic (with simple constraints) } \\
d=\left[H+\frac{M r}{2} l\right]^{-1} g
\end{array}
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## Cubic regularization update:

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{\prime}=x-d \\
\min _{d}\left\{\langle g, d\rangle+\frac{1}{2} H[d, d]+\frac{M}{6}\|d\|^{3}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

... It's not convex (but it is simpler)

If $\|d\|=r \quad \Longrightarrow \quad$ minimizing a quadratic (with simple constraints)

$$
d=\left[H+\frac{M r}{2} I\right]^{-1} g
$$

Find the fixed point of

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\left\|\left[H+\frac{M r}{2} l\right]^{-1} g\right\| \tag{1D,convex}
\end{equation*}
$$

Time: Matrix inverse (once, then reuse) $O\left(n^{3}\right)$

+ a few iterations of a convex 1D solver (only matrix-vector products)
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## Caveats

## GD works well $\neq$ Cubic regularization works well

Quality of approximation goes up if higher derivatives are smooth enough

Bounds on $f, f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}, f^{\prime \prime \prime}, \ldots$

Some functions get "smoother" with higher derivatives, some less so

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
f & f^{\prime} & f^{\prime \prime} & f^{\prime \prime \prime} & f^{\prime \prime \prime \prime} \\
\sin (c x) & c \cos (c x) & -c^{2} \sin (c x) & -c^{3} \cos (c x) & c^{4} \sin (c x) \\
c<1 \Longrightarrow & \max f^{(m)}(x) \rightarrow 0 & c>1 \Longrightarrow \max f^{(m)}(x) \rightarrow \infty
\end{array}
$$
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## Is it faster?

$$
\text { After } T \text { steps, } f\left(x_{T}\right)-f^{*} \leq \text { ? }
$$

(in convex world)
$C_{m}$ depends on bound on $f^{(m+1)}$ (and initial error)

Gradient descent:

$$
f\left(x_{t}\right)-f^{*} \leq C_{1} / T
$$

Cubic regularization:

$$
f\left(x_{t}\right)-f^{*} \leq C_{2} / T^{2}
$$

$m$ th-order (regularized):
$f\left(x_{t}\right)-f^{*} \leq C_{m} / T^{m}$

How many iterations to reach $f\left(x_{T}\right)-f^{*} \leq \epsilon$ ?

Gradient descent:
Cubic regularization:
$m$ th-order (regularized):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T \geq C_{1} / \epsilon \\
& T \geq\left(C_{2} / \epsilon\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& T \geq\left(C_{m} / \epsilon\right)^{1 / m}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Is it faster?

Time to reach $f\left(x_{T}\right)-f^{*} \leq \epsilon$


## Plot caveats

- Height depends on constants
- Only slopes are accurate
- Worst case, if assumptions hold
- Log-log scale

Main takeaway:
For tiny $\epsilon$, higher order methods are better even if more expensive/iteration
"Actual time":

Number of iterations:
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Gradient descent: $1 / T$
Cubic regularization: $1 / T^{2}$
Accelerated Gradient Descent: $1 / T^{2}$
"Actual time": nope

Only need to solve subproblem approximately (at first)

$$
\tilde{f}_{t}\left(x_{t+1}\right)-\tilde{f}_{t}^{*} \leq \epsilon_{t}, \quad \epsilon_{t}=O\left(\frac{1}{t^{c}}\right)
$$

Number of iterations: nope (for convex functions)

Gradient descent: $1 / T$
Cubic regularization: $1 / T^{2} \quad$ Accelerated Gradient Descent: $1 / T^{2}$

Accelerated cubic regularization: $1 / T^{3} \quad m$ th order: $1 / T^{m+1}$

## Main ideas



Optimization with higher order approximations
Regularity assumptions
Constructing upper bounds
Solving polynomials

Next week: Super fast accelerated higher order methods

Thanks!

