Tensor Applications

Betty Shea

UBC MLRG 2020 Winter Term 1

30-Sept-2020

UBC MLRG 2020 Winter Term 1

Tensor Applications

▶ < ≧ ▶ ≧ ∽ < < 30-Sept-2020 1/29

Today



- What is a tensor?
- Examples

2 MLRG

- Overview
- What this term's MLRG is and is not about

Papers and Signup

- List of papers
- Acknowledgements and references

What is a tensor?



- Multi-dimensional array
- Linear operator

• Wikipedia: "an algebraic object that describes a relationship between sets of algebraic objects related to a vector space"



• • = • •

Where are tensors used: broad areas

- Statistics: Joint probability tensors
- Physics: Einstein field equations
- Material science: stress tensors, strain tensors and elasticity



Where are tensors used: machine learning



Natural language processing: Topic models, n-grams

 Image processing: A colour image is three matrices of pixels corresponding to red, green and blue.

Example 1: Recommender systems

Very big and sparse matrix Y

- Netflix: rows are content viewers, columns are movies
- Amazon: rows are shoppers, columns are items for sale



"Regularized PCA on the available entries of Y"

 $Y \approx ZW$ where Z represents user features and W movie features

Matrix factorization produces a latent factor model of types of users and movies.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

"Regularized PCA on the available entries of Y"

 $Y \approx ZW$ where Z represents user features and W movie features

Matrix factorization produces a latent factor model of types of users and movies.

Issues with matrix factorization

- Solutions are not unique
- Feedback from user may not just be a single number

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

"Regularized PCA on the available entries of Y"

 $Y \approx ZW$ where Z represents user features and W movie features

Matrix factorization produces a latent factor model of types of users and movies.

Issues with matrix factorization

- Solutions are not unique
- Feedback from user may not just be a single number

Why we may want to go to tensor factorization

- Tensor factorization often give unique solutions
- Can learn all dimensions of the feedback simultaneously

• • = • • =

"Regularized PCA on the available entries of Y"

 $Y \approx ZW$ where Z represents user features and W movie features

Matrix factorization produces a latent factor model of types of users and movies.

Issues with matrix factorization

- Solutions are not unique
- Feedback from user may not just be a single number

Why we may want to go to tensor factorization

- Tensor factorization often give unique solutions
- Can learn all dimensions of the feedback simultaneously

Tensor factorization is NP-hard in general.

• • = • • =

Example 2: The complexity of matrix multiplication

Consider multiplying two $n \times n$ matrices. Standard algorithm takes n^3 multiplications.

- 4 ∃ ▶

Example 2: The complexity of matrix multiplication

Consider multiplying two $n \times n$ matrices. Standard algorithm takes n^3 multiplications.

For example, there are 8 multiplications in the n = 2 case

$$C = A \times B = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

| 1 $A_{11} \times B_{11}$ | (2) $A_{12} \times B_{21}$ |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 3 $A_{21} \times B_{11}$ | |
| 5 $A_{11} \times B_{12}$ | (6) $A_{12} \times B_{22}$ |
| • $A_{21} \times B_{12}$ | (3) $A_{22} \times B_{22}$ |

Example 2: The complexity of matrix multiplication

Consider multiplying two $n \times n$ matrices. Standard algorithm takes n^3 multiplications.

For example, there are 8 multiplications in the n = 2 case

$$C = A \times B = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_{11} \times B_{11}$$

$$A_{11} \times B_{12}$$

$$A_{11} \times B_{12}$$

$$A_{11} \times B_{12}$$

$$A_{11} \times B_{12}$$

$$A_{12} \times B_{22}$$

From CPSC221, we know that it is possible to use 7 instead of 8 multiplications.

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Strassen's algorithm

$$C = A \times B = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Define 7 new quantities

$$M_1 = (A_{11} + A_{22}) \times (B_{11} + B_{22})$$

$$M_3 = A_{11} \times (B_{12} - B_{22})$$

$$M_5 = (A_{11} + A_{12}) \times B_{22}$$

$$M_7 = (A_{12} - A_{22}) \times (B_{21} + B_{22})$$

And then these additions give you the answer

1
$$C_{11} = M_1 + M_4 - M_5 + M_7$$

3 $C_{21} = M_2 + M_4$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textcircled{0} & M_2 = (A_{21} + A_{22}) \times B_{11} \\ \textcircled{0} & M_4 = A_{22} \times (B_{21} - B_{11}) \\ \textcircled{0} & M_6 = (A_{21} - A_{11}) \times (B_{11} + B_{12}) \end{array}$

2
$$C_{12} = M_3 + M_5$$

3 $C_{22} = M_1 - M_2 + M_3 + M_6$

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

(

Strassen's algorithm

$$C = A \times B = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Define 7 new quantities

And then these additions give you the answer

1
$$C_{11} = M_1 + M_4 - M_5 + M_7$$

3 $C_{21} = M_2 + M_4$

Also works if the entries are matrices instead of scalars.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textcircled{0} \quad M_2 = (A_{21} + A_{22}) \times B_{11} \\ \textcircled{0} \quad M_4 = A_{22} \times (B_{21} - B_{11}) \\ \textcircled{0} \quad M_6 = (A_{21} - A_{11}) \times (B_{11} + B_{12}) \end{array}$

2
$$C_{12} = M_3 + M_5$$

3 $C_{22} = M_1 - M_2 + M_3 + M_6$

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Strassen's algorithm

$$C = A \times B = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Define 7 new quantities

$$M_1 = (A_{11} + A_{22}) \times (B_{11} + B_{22})$$

$$M_3 = A_{11} \times (B_{12} - B_{22})$$

$$M_5 = (A_{11} + A_{12}) \times B_{22}$$

$$M_7 = (A_{12} - A_{22}) \times (B_{21} + B_{22})$$

And then these additions give you the answer

1
$$C_{11} = M_1 + M_4 - M_5 + C_{21} = M_2 + M_4$$

Also works if the entries are matrices instead of scalars.

M₇

For the general $n \times n$ case, takes $n^{\log_2 7}$ multiplications.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textcircled{0} \quad M_2 = (A_{21} + A_{22}) \times B_{11} \\ \textcircled{0} \quad M_4 = A_{22} \times (B_{21} - B_{11}) \\ \textcircled{0} \quad M_6 = (A_{21} - A_{11}) \times (B_{11} + B_{12}) \end{array}$

2
$$C_{12} = M_3 + M_5$$

3 $C_{22} = M_1 - M_2 + M_3 + M_6$

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

The natural question is then can we do better than $n^{\log_2 7} \approx n^{2.81}$?

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

The natural question is then can we do better than $n^{\log_2 7} \approx n^{2.81}$?

The more interesting question is how much better can we do?

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

The natural question is then can we do better than $n^{\log_2 7} \approx n^{2.81}$?

The more interesting question is how much better can we do?

The exponent ω of matrix multiplication is the lowest real-valued *h* where two $n \times n$ matrices may be multiplied using $O(n^h)$ arithmetic operations.

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

The natural question is then can we do better than $n^{\log_2 7} \approx n^{2.81}$?

The more interesting question is how much better can we do?

The exponent ω of matrix multiplication is the lowest real-valued h where two $n \times n$ matrices may be multiplied using $O(n^h)$ arithmetic operations.

For Strassen's algorithm, $\omega = \log_2 7$.

Since then... (chart from Wikipedia)



Matrix multiplication exponent $\boldsymbol{\omega}$

Understanding the complexity of matrix multiplication has to do with understanding ω .

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

Matrix multiplication exponent $\boldsymbol{\omega}$

Understanding the complexity of matrix multiplication has to do with understanding ω .

And tensors provide a way to understand ω .

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

Matrix multiplication exponent $\boldsymbol{\omega}$

Understanding the complexity of matrix multiplication has to do with understanding ω .

And tensors provide a way to understand ω .

Like matrices, tensors could also be viewed as both

- structures containing data, i.e. a d-way array and
- linear operators, i.e. can multiply vectors, matrices and tensors

• • = • • =

Vector spaces A, B, and C with $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $c \in C$.

• define $A^* = \{f : A \to \mathbb{R} | f \text{ is linear}\}$

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Vector spaces A, B, and C with $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $c \in C$.

```
• define A^* = \{f : A \to \mathbb{R} | f \text{ is linear}\}
```

• define a *linear map* $\alpha \otimes b : A \rightarrow B$ by

 $a \mapsto \alpha(a)b$ where $\alpha \in A^*$

(This is equivalently a matrix, e.g., permutation matrices, reflection matrices, etc.)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Vector spaces A, B, and C with $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $c \in C$.

• define
$$A^* = \{f : A \to \mathbb{R} | f \text{ is linear}\}$$

• define a *linear map* $\alpha \otimes b : A \rightarrow B$ by

 $a \mapsto \alpha(a)b$ where $\alpha \in A^*$

(This is equivalently a matrix, e.g., permutation matrices, reflection matrices, etc.) • define a *bilinear map* $\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes c : A \times B \rightarrow C$ by

 $(a, b) \mapsto \alpha(a)\beta(b)c$ where $\alpha \in A^*$ and $\beta \in B^*$

This is equivalently a tensor, which can be decomposed into a sum of rank 1 tensors.

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

Vector spaces A, B, and C with $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $c \in C$.

• define
$$A^* = \{f : A \to \mathbb{R} | f \text{ is linear}\}$$

• define a *linear map* $\alpha \otimes b : A \rightarrow B$ by

 $a \mapsto \alpha(a)b$ where $\alpha \in A^*$

(This is equivalently a matrix, e.g., permutation matrices, reflection matrices, etc.) • define a *bilinear map* $\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes c : A \times B \rightarrow C$ by

$$(a, b) \mapsto \alpha(a)\beta(b)c$$
 where $\alpha \in A^*$ and $\beta \in B^*$

This is equivalently a tensor, which can be decomposed into a sum of rank 1 tensors. • So, we can write a bilinear map $T : A \times B \to C$ as

$$T(a,b) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha^{i}(a)\beta^{i}(b)c_{i}$$
 for some r where $\alpha^{i} \in A^{*}, \beta^{i} \in B^{*}, c_{i} \in C$

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

The *rank* of a bilinear map $T : A \times B \to C$, denoted R(T), is the minimal number r over all possible ways of writing T in the form

$$T(a,b) = \sum_{i=1}^r lpha^i(a)eta^i(b)c_i$$

If T has rank r, its complexity in terms of multiplications is r.

A D N A B N A B N A B N

• Matrix multiplication of square matrices is a bilinear map of the form

$$M_{n,n,n}: \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \to \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨ

• Matrix multiplication of square matrices is a bilinear map of the form

$$M_{n,n,n}: \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \to \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$$

• The smallest number of multiplications for multiplying two $n \times n$ matrices is given by $R(M_{n,n,n})$. This is the minimum r over all possible ways to write $M_{n,n,n}$ as a sum of rank 1 tensors.

A D N A B N A B N A B N

• Matrix multiplication of square matrices is a bilinear map of the form

$$M_{n,n,n}: \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \to \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$$

- The smallest number of multiplications for multiplying two $n \times n$ matrices is given by $R(M_{n,n,n})$. This is the minimum r over all possible ways to write $M_{n,n,n}$ as a sum of rank 1 tensors.
- The lowest achievable matrix multiplication exponent is in fact the rank of a bilinear map

$$\omega = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \log_n \left(R(M_{n,n,n}) \right)$$

A D N A B N A B N A B N

• Matrix multiplication of square matrices is a bilinear map of the form

$$M_{n,n,n}: \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \times \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \to \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$$

- The smallest number of multiplications for multiplying two $n \times n$ matrices is given by $R(M_{n,n,n})$. This is the minimum r over all possible ways to write $M_{n,n,n}$ as a sum of rank 1 tensors.
- The lowest achievable matrix multiplication exponent is in fact the rank of a bilinear map

$$\omega = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \log_n \left(R(M_{n,n,n}) \right)$$

And the complexity of matrix multiplication is determined by our ability to find explicit equations for the set of tensors in ℝ^{n²} ⊗ ℝ^{n²} ⊗ ℝ^{n²} of rank at most *r*.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

MLRG

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Main themes, structure and schedule

• Anticipated to run between 30-Sep to 9-Dec

→ < ∃ →</p>

Main themes, structure and schedule

- Anticipated to run between 30-Sep to 9-Dec
- 10 papers
 - > Two papers on tensor basics, definitions, operations, theory, complexity
 - A paper on link prediction and knowledge graphs that uses tensor factorization
 - Two papers on latent models
 - Three papers on higher order optimization methods
 - A paper each on on tensors in deep neural networks and in visual data

• • = • • =

Things that are relevant to this MLRG

- Read papers that use tensors in one form or another.
- Understanding when it makes sense to increase the complexity of our models and methods.
 - ► Matrices → tensors
 - First-order methods \rightarrow higher-order methods
- Understanding the hidden assumptions in our simpler methods that no longer apply.
- Generalization.

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

Things that are not the main focus

A thorough and rigorous understanding of tensors and tensor decompositions.
 Elina Robeva's MATH 605D would be much better for this.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

Papers and Signup

・ロト ・日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

Papers 1 and 2: Background

1. Kolda and Bader (2009) Tensor decompositions and applications

- http://www.kolda.net/publication/TensorReview.pdf
- Sections 1 to 3.3 (and more if you feel like it)

Additional resources:

- Ankur Moitra. (2014) Algorithmic Aspects of Machine Learning, sections 3.1-3.2 http://people.csail.mit.edu/moitra/docs/bookex.pdf
- Previous MLRG talks: https://www.cs.ubc.ca/labs/lci/mlrg/slides/Spectral_Methods.pdf, https://www.cs.ubc.ca/labs/lci/mlrg/slides/MLRG_Tensor_Talk.pdf
- Survey paper: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=7891546

2. Hillar and Lim (2013) Most tensor problems are NP-hard

https://arxiv.org/abs/0911.1393

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Papers 3: Knowledge graphs

- 3. Kazemi and Poole (2018) SimplE embedding for link prediction in knowledge graphs
 - https://papers.nips.cc/paper/ 7682-simple-embedding-for-link-prediction-in-knowledge-graphs.pdf

(4) (日本)

Papers 4 and 5: Latent models

4. Hsu and Kakade. (2013) Learning mixtures of spherical Gaussians: moment methods and spectral decompositions

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1206.5766.pdf

Additional resources:

- Anandkumar et al. (2014) Tensor decompositions for learning latent variable models https://arxiv.org/pdf/1210.7559.pdf
- MLSS slides : http://newport.eecs.uci.edu/anandkumar/pubs/MLSS-part1.pdf
- 540 slides: https://www.cs.ubc.ca/~schmidtm/Courses/540-W20/L7.pdf

5. Anandkumar et al. (2012) A spectral algorithm for latent Dirichlet allocation

https://papers.nips.cc/paper/ 4637-a-spectral-algorithm-for-latent-dirichlet-allocation

Additional resources:

- Anandkumar et al. (2014) Tensor decompositions for learning latent variable models https://arxiv.org/pdf/1210.7559.pdf
- MLSS slides: http://newport.eecs.uci.edu/anandkumar/pubs/MLSS-part2.pdf
- 540 slides: https://www.cs.ubc.ca/~schmidtm/Courses/540-W20/L29.pdf
- Ankur Moitra. (2014) Algorithmic Aspects of Machine Learning, section 3.5 http://people.csail.mit.edu/moitra/docs/bookex.pdf

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Papers 6 to 8: Higher order methods

- 6. Baes, Michel. (2009) Estimate sequence methods: extensions and approximations
 - http://www.optimization-online.org/DB_FILE/2009/08/2372.pdf
 - "the modern view on what can be gained by higher-order methods"
- 7. Nesterov, Yurii. (2020) Inexact accelerated high-order proximal-point methods
 - https://dial.uclouvain.be/pr/boreal/object/boreal:227219
 - Bi-level Unconstrained Minimization framework, pth-order proximal point operation

8. Cartis et al. (2018) Sharp worst-case evaluation complexity bounds for arbitrary-order nonconvex optimization with inexpensive constraints

- https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.01220
- ARqp framework, e-approximate q order necessary minimizers for p order problems. Upper bounding the complexity of the tensor step in the previous paper.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Papers 9 and 10: Neural networks and visual data

9. Novikov et al. (2015) Tensorizing Neural Networks

- https://papers.nips.cc/paper/5787-tensorizing-neural-networks
- Tensors in deep neural networks
- 10. Liu et al. (2012) Tensor completion for estimating missing values in visual data
 - https://www.cs.rochester.edu/u/jliu/paper/Ji-ICCV09.pdf

• • = • • =

Signup sheet

| Winter term 1 2020 - Tensor basics and applications Every Wednesday at 1:00 PM Online | | | |
|---|-----------|--|---------------------|
| Date | Presenter | Торіс | |
| Sep 30 | Betty | Motivation | |
| Oct 7 | | Tensor basics: Notation, operations, etc. (Kolda and Bad | er 2009) |
| Oct 14 | | Tensor basics: Complexity (arXiv ID: 0911.1393) | |
| Oct 21 | Bahare | Tensor factorization: Knowledge graphs (Kazemi and Poole 2018) | |
| Oct 28 | | Latent models: Gaussian mixture models (arXiv ID: 1206. | 5766) |
| Nov 4 | | Latent models: Topic models (arXiv ID: 1204.6703) | |
| Nov 11 | | Higher order methods: Estimate sequence methods (Bae | s 2009) |
| Nov 18 | | Higher order methods: Bi-level unconstrained minimizat | ion (Nesterov 2020) |
| Nov 25 | | Higher order methods: ARqp framework (arXiv ID: 1811.0 |)1220) |
| Dec 2 | | Other appl: Tensors in deep neural networks (arXiv ID: 1 | 509.06569) |
| Dec 9 | | Other appl: Tensor completion in visual data (Liu et al. 2 | 013) |

<ロト <問ト < 目と < 目と

Acknowledgements

• I used the first few lectures from Elina Robeva's MATH 605D Fall 2020 Tensor decompositions and their applications

https://sites.google.com/view/ubc-math-605d/class-overview

- The collaborative filtering example is taken from Mark Schmidt's CPSC 340 slides https://www.cs.ubc.ca/~schmidtm/Courses/340-F19/L30.pdf
- The Strassen's algorithm example is taken from Landsberg's book *Tensors: Geometry and Applications*

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

References

- Landsberg, J.M. *Tensors: Geometry and Applications* The introduction chapter is available here.
- Robeva, Elina. MATH605D Fall 2020 Tensor decomposition and their applications https://sites.google.com/view/ubc-math-605d/class-overview
- Strang, G. Linear Algebra and Learning From Data

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Thank you

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Bonus

"The workhorse of scientific computation is matrix multiplication."

– J.M. Landsberg, Tensors: Geometry and Applications

It's been shown that $R(M_{2,2,2})$ is exactly 7 but not much else is known about $R(M_{n,n,n})$ except that

- $R(M_{3,3,3})$ is somewhere between 19 and 23.
- Best asymptotic lower bound: $\frac{5}{2}n^2 3n \le R(M_{n,n,n})$

A (10) N (10) N (10)