InfoVis 2017 Talk

# Bridging From Goals to Tasks with Design Study Analysis Reports

Heidi Lam<sup>\*</sup>, Melanie Tory<sup>\*</sup>, and Tamara Munzner<sup>+</sup> October 4, 2017

\* Tableau Software
 \* University of British Columbia

## So I want to design a visualization, and my user says:

"As a flight reservation coordinator, I want to understand why there are so many failed purchase requests today." \*

How do I design a visualization for analysis questions like this?

Scenario from: Liu, Z., Stasko, J., & Sullivan, T. (2009). Selltrend: Inter-attribute visual analysis of temporal transaction data.
 IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics, 15(6), 1025-1032.

## To design a visualization from domain questions...



Munzner, T. (2009). **A nested model for visualization design and validation**. IEEE transactions on visualization and computer graphics, 15(6).

## So I want to design a visualization, and my user says:

<sup>44</sup>As a flight reservation coordinator, I want to understand why there are so many failed purchase requests today.<sup>\*\*\*</sup>

How do I translate domain language analysis questions to abstract tasks?

Scenario from: Liu, Z., Stasko, J., & Sullivan, T. (2009). Selltrend: Inter-attribute visual analysis of temporal transaction data.
 IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics, 15(6), 1025-1032.

## Problem: Hard to get from domain questions to tasks



Our Contribution

Bridges between domain questions and task classifications



Our Contribution

Bridges between domain questions and task classifications





## Pros & Cons of open-coding design-study papers

#### Design-study papers are digested analysis reports, not raw behaviour logs

Pros: Capitalize on previous thinking about abstractions from multiple authors across multiple domains

Cons: Steps extracted are not actual analysis sequences

- Only proof of existence
- Incomplete (e.g., floundering typically not reported)
- Ordering in analysis reports not not reliable

#### Coded InfoVis design-study papers from 2009-2015

Pre-2009 InfoVis papers did not contain analysis reports we need; InfoVis has the most design-study papers

#### Proposed framework is a thinking tool, not a model of visual analysis

## An analysis goals framework: 9 goals + 2 axes

Specificity # Populations	Explore	Describe	Explain	Confirm
Single	Discover Observation	Describe Observation	Identify Main Cause	Collect Evidence
Multiple		Compare Entities	Explain Differences	Evaluate Hypothesis



Increasing specificity of the analysis outcome

Specificity # Populations	Explore	Describe	Explain	Confirm
Single	Discover Observation			

"Lots of failed requests today..."

Specificity # Populations	Explore	Describe	Explain	Confirm
Single	Discover Observation	Describe Observation		

"Lots of failed requests today..."

**Describe** the population with a set of attributes

"Many are Class Z and R with error code 78..."

Specificity # Populations	Explore	Describe	Explain	Confirm
Single	Discover Observation	Describe Observation	Identify Main Cause	

"Lots of failed requests today..."

Describe the population with a set of attributes

Explain an observation by finding the main contributor

"Many are Class Z and R with error code 78..."

"Agent DYS handled a lot of these requests..."

<b>Specificity</b> # Populations	Explore	Describe	Explain	Confirm
Single	Discover	Describe	Identify	Collect
	Observation	Observation	Main Cause	Evidence

"Lots of failed requests today..."

Describe the population with a set of attributes

**Explain** an observation by finding the main contributor

Confirm beliefs about a population

"Many are Class Z and R with error code 78..."

"Agent DYS handled a lot of these requests..."

*"Wonder if Agent DYS was responsible for the spike..."* 

## An analysis goals framework

Specificity # Populations	Explore	Describe	Explain	Confirm
Single	Discover Observation	Describe Observation	Identify Main Cause	Collect Evidence
Multiple		Compare Entities	Explain Differences	Evaluate Hypothesis



observation and end with a definition for a subpopulation with that observation

"Lots of failed requests today..."

*"Failed requests with Airline A80"* and flight number 4360 are all of Class Z and R. with error code 78..."

Multiple population analyses start with population definitions and end with similarities and/or differences between populations

*"Failed requests and* successful requests ... "

"Both types involved Agent DYS..."

"Agent Z7F handled more failed requests..."

## An analysis goals framework

Specificity # Populations	Explore	Describe	Explain	Confirm
Single	Discover Observation	Describe Observation	ldentify Main Cause	Collect Evidence
Multiple		Compare Entities	Explain Differences	Evaluate Hypothesis

## How to use the framework?

**Analysis Goals Framework** 

#### **Domain Question**

"Why are there so many failed requests today?"

Analysis Goal Identify Main Cause

#### **Task Classifications**

Abstract Tasks

Identify extremes

## An analysis goals framework

Specificity # Populations	Explore	Describe	Explain	Confirm
Single	Discover Observation	Describe Observation	Identify Main Cause	Collect Evidence
Multiple		Compare Entities	Explain Differences	Evaluate Hypothesis

## An analysis goals framework

Specificity # Populations	Explore	Describe	Explain	Confirm
Single	Discover Observation	Describe Observation	Identify Main Cause	Collect Evidence
Multiple		Compare Entities	Explain Differences	Evaluate Hypothesis

## How to use the framework?



## How to use the framework?



## Goal breaks down to Steps

	Analysis Report	Our Framework
Input	A spike in the time trend of daily failed airline transactions	A population with an observation found at the aggregate population level
Steps	(one example loop)	(iteratively)
	Identified that Airline A80 had contributed the most failed transactions	Identify the likely dominant attribute that causes the observation
	Confirmed that the airline's contribution is worse than historical average	Confirm the identified attribute
	Filtered to focus on airline A80's failed transactions	Refine the analysis population by applying the identified dominant attribute
Output	Travel agent Z7F contributed to most of airline A80's failed transactions	The dominant attribute that explains the observation

Ċ\_

## Easy to map Steps to Tasks

Analysis Report	Our Framework	Munzner Actions & Targets*	
Identified that airline A80 contributed the most failed transactions	Identify the likely	Analyze>Consume>Discover	Attribute>One
	dominant attribute that	Search>Locate	>Distribution
	caused the observation	Query>Identify	>Extremes

...and many more...

\*Munzner, T. (2014). Visualization analysis and design. CRC press.

## Bridging From Goals to Tasks...



## Summary: An analysis goals framework

A thinking tool to help designers map domain analysis questions, to abstract goals and steps, that can easily translate into existing tasks classifications.

Analysis goals are characterised by:

- Axes of specificity (Explore, Describe, Explain, Confirm) and the number of populations (Single, Multiple)
- Analysis inputs and outputs
- Typical analysis steps

Framework derived empirically from open coding design-study papers

- Grounded in reports of real analyses from a (relatively) diverse set of domains
- **Call for action**: Help us improve this framework by collecting more goals from other sources!!

### Bridging From Goals to Tasks with Design Study Analysis Reports

http://www.cs.ubc.ca/labs/imager/tr/2017/GoalsToTasks

Bridging From Goals to Tasks with Design Study Analysis Reports

Heidi Lam, Melanie Tory and Tamara Munzner, Member, IEEE

Abstract—Visualization researchers and practitioners engaged in generating or evaluating designs are faced with the difficult problem of transforming the questions asked and actions taken by target users from domain-specific language and context into more abstract forms. Existing abstract task classifications aim to provide support for this endeavour by providing a carefully delineated suite of actions. Our experience is that this bottom-up approach is part of the challenge: low-level actions are difficult to interpret without a higher-level actions are difficult to interpret without a higher-level actions are difficult to another predict actions are difficult to interpret without a higher-level actions are difficult to another predict present.

#### http://tinyurl.com/gt27fau

Supplementary Materials of "Bridging from Goals to Tasks with Design Study Analysis Reports", InfoVis 2017

Heidi Lam, Melanie Tory, and Tamara Munzner

1 Design study papers considered

2 Summary of analysis doal assignments

Heidi Lam heidi.lam@gmail.com





Melanie Tory mtory@tableau.com





## An analysis goals framework

Specificity # Populations	Explore	Describe	Explain	Confirm
Single	Discover Observation	Describe Observation	Identify Main Cause	Collect Evidence
Multiple		Compare Entities	Explain Differences	Evaluate Hypothesis

http://www.cs.ubc.ca/labs/imager/tr/2017/GoalsToTasks

Specificity # Populations	Explore	Describe	Explain	Confirm
Multiple		Compare Entities	Explain Differences	Evaluate Hypothesis

**Describe** two or more populations by comparing one to another

Explain the reason behind differences

**Confirm** suspected similarities or differences between populations

"Wonder how the failed requests compared to the successful ones?"

"Failed requests are more from Agent Z7F..."

"Wonder if requests handled by Agent Z7F are more likely to fail?"