

# Why Isn't Relational Learning Taking Over the World?

David Poole

Department of Computer Science,  
University of British Columbia

January 22, 2026

# Relational Learning

Relational learning is learning models that make (probabilistic) predictions about entities (things, objects, including events):

- their properties
- relations among them
- existence
- identity

# Relational Learning

Relational learning is learning models that make (probabilistic) predictions about entities (things, objects, including events):

- their properties
- relations among them
- existence
- identity

Also statistical relational AI,  
relational probabilistic models,  
logic learning

# Relational Models

*“The mind is a neural computer, fitted by natural selection with combinatorial algorithms for causal and probabilistic reasoning about plants, animals, objects, and people.*

*How the Mind Works*, Steven Pinker, 1997

# Relational Models

*“The mind is a neural computer, fitted by natural selection with combinatorial algorithms for causal and probabilistic reasoning about plants, animals, objects, and people.*

*How the Mind Works*, Steven Pinker, 1997

# Relations and Knowledge Graphs

- Example relation:

Patient	Test	Technician	Result	DateTime
54326	353	99807	1	202601220945
54326	353	87601	0	202601250830
...				

# Relations and Knowledge Graphs

- Example relation:

Patient	Test	Technician	Result	DateTime
54326	353	99807	1	202601220945
54326	353	87601	0	202601250830
...				

- Relational datasets typically contain lots of **identifiers**: typically arbitrary integers

# Relations and Knowledge Graphs

- Example relation:

Patient	Test	Technician	Result	DateTime
54326	353	99807	1	202601220945
54326	353	87601	0	202601250830
...				

- Relational datasets typically contain lots of **identifiers**: typically arbitrary integers
- (subject, verb, object) **triples** → knowledge graphs.

# Relations and Knowledge Graphs

- Example relation:

Patient	Test	Technician	Result	DateTime
54326	353	99807	1	202601220945
54326	353	87601	0	202601250830
...				

- Relational datasets typically contain lots of **identifiers**: typically arbitrary integers
- (subject, verb, object) **triples** → knowledge graphs.
- Given a table: use (row, column, value) where row is either a primary key or a **reified** entity.

# Relations and Knowledge Graphs

- Example relation:

Patient	Test	Technician	Result	DateTime
54326	353	99807	1	202601220945
54326	353	87601	0	202601250830
...				

- Relational datasets typically contain lots of **identifiers**: typically arbitrary integers
- (subject, verb, object) **triples** → knowledge graphs.
- Given a table: use (row, column, value) where row is either a primary key or a **reified** entity.
- E.g, (54326353202601220945, patient, 54326)  
(54326353202601220945, result, 1)

# Relations and Knowledge Graphs

- Example relation:

Patient	Test	Technician	Result	DateTime
54326	353	99807	1	202601220945
54326	353	87601	0	202601250830
...				

- Relational datasets typically contain lots of **identifiers**: typically arbitrary integers
- (subject, verb, object) **triples** → knowledge graphs.
- Given a table: use (row, column, value) where row is either a primary key or a **reified** entity.
- E.g, (54326353202601220945, patient, 54326)  
(54326353202601220945, result, 1)
- Number of triples for reified entity = number of columns

## Standard Evaluation Datasets

- FB15k is extracted from Freebase, a predecessor of WikiData
- entities and relations that appeared in more than 100 triples

## Standard Evaluation Datasets

- FB15k is extracted from Freebase, a predecessor of WikiData
- entities and relations that appeared in more than 100 triples
- Example triples:

(A.S. Livorno Calcio, /soccer/roster,  
    Forward (association football))  
(Forward (association football), /soccer/position,  
    Cambridge United F.C.)  
(California, religion, Methodism)  
(Ambient music, /music/genre, Portishead (band))  
(Marriage, /marriage/spouse, Noel Gallagher)  
(Hannah Montana: The Movie,  
    film\_release\_region, Egypt)

# Reified Entities

- In Wikidata, over 98% of the entities appear as the subject of fewer than 10 triples.
- This includes:
  - Long tail of entities that are just stubs
  - Reified entities.

# Reified Entities

- In Wikidata, over 98% of the entities appear as the subject of fewer than 10 triples.
- This includes:
  - Long tail of entities that are just stubs
  - Reified entities.
- Including only entities appearing in many tuples  
→ no reified entities.

# Evaluation

How to evaluate a prediction

- Accuracy

# Evaluation

## How to evaluate a prediction

- **Accuracy:** To predict whether a random triple is in Wikidata, answering “no” is over 99.9999999995% accurate.

# Evaluation

## How to evaluate a prediction

- **Accuracy**: To predict whether a random triple is in Wikidata, answering “no” is over 99.9999999995% accurate.
- **Log loss or cross entropy loss**

# Evaluation

How to evaluate a prediction

- **Accuracy**: To predict whether a random triple is in Wikidata, answering “no” is over 99.9999999995% accurate.
- **Log loss or cross entropy loss**: with no negative statements, can't evaluate probabilities.

## How to evaluate a prediction

- **Accuracy**: To predict whether a random triple is in Wikidata, answering “no” is over 99.9999999995% accurate.
- **Log loss or cross entropy loss**: with no negative statements, can't evaluate probabilities.
- **Ranking**: given test triple  $(s, v, o)$ , rank object for  $(s, v, ?)$ . The **ranking** is the position of  $o$  in ranked list.

## How to evaluate a prediction

- **Accuracy**: To predict whether a random triple is in Wikidata, answering “no” is over 99.9999999995% accurate.
- **Log loss or cross entropy loss**: with no negative statements, can't evaluate probabilities.
- **Ranking**: given test triple  $(s, v, o)$ , rank object for  $(s, v, ?)$ . The **ranking** is the position of  $o$  in ranked list.
  - Hit at  $k$

## How to evaluate a prediction

- **Accuracy**: To predict whether a random triple is in Wikidata, answering “no” is over 99.9999999995% accurate.
- **Log loss or cross entropy loss**: with no negative statements, can't evaluate probabilities.
- **Ranking**: given test triple  $(s, v, o)$ , rank object for  $(s, v, ?)$ . The **ranking** is the position of  $o$  in ranked list.
  - Hit at  $k$
  - Mean ranking

## How to evaluate a prediction

- **Accuracy**: To predict whether a random triple is in Wikidata, answering “no” is over 99.9999999995% accurate.
- **Log loss or cross entropy loss**: with no negative statements, can't evaluate probabilities.
- **Ranking**: given test triple  $(s, v, o)$ , rank object for  $(s, v, ?)$ . The **ranking** is the position of  $o$  in ranked list.
  - Hit at  $k$
  - Mean ranking
  - Mean reciprocal ranking

# Evaluation

Problems with ranking:

# Evaluation

Problems with ranking:

- Some questions cannot be asked:  
*Who is the Pope married to?*

# Evaluation

Problems with ranking:

- Some questions cannot be asked:  
*Who is the Pope married to?*
- Asking  $(s, v, ?)$  leaks information about the test set.  
Asking who  $e$  is married to.

# Evaluation

Problems with ranking:

- Some questions cannot be asked:  
*Who is the Pope married to?*
- Asking  $(s, v, ?)$  leaks information about the test set.  
Asking who  $e$  is married to.
- Some queries are trivial:  
*What positions does Cambridge United F.C. have?*

# Evaluation

## Problems with ranking:

- Some questions cannot be asked:  
*Who is the Pope married to?*
- Asking  $(s, v, ?)$  leaks information about the test set.  
Asking who  $e$  is married to.
- Some queries are trivial:  
What positions does Cambridge United F.C. have?
- Some queries are almost impossible:  
Which football team has someone playing *forward*?

# Evaluation

Problems with ranking:

- Some questions cannot be asked:  
*Who is the Pope married to?*
- Asking  $(s, v, ?)$  leaks information about the test set.  
Asking who  $e$  is married to.
- Some queries are trivial:  
What positions does Cambridge United F.C. have?
- Some queries are almost impossible:  
Which football team has someone playing *forward*?
- Actual probabilities are lost.

# Evaluation

## Problems with ranking:

- Some questions cannot be asked:  
*Who is the Pope married to?*
- Asking  $(s, v, ?)$  leaks information about the test set.  
Asking who  $e$  is married to.
- Some queries are trivial:  
What positions does Cambridge United F.C. have?
- Some queries are almost impossible:  
Which football team has someone playing *forward*?
- Actual probabilities are lost.
- Loses sight of the downstream task.

## Looking Forward: missing data

- Ignoring missing data can lead to misleading results.

## Looking Forward: missing data

- Ignoring missing data can lead to misleading results.
- Missing data is rarely missing at random:
  - Wikidata contains all Taylor Swift albums released
  - For most recording artists, most albums are missing

## Looking Forward: missing data

- Ignoring missing data can lead to misleading results.
- Missing data is rarely missing at random:
  - Wikidata contains all Taylor Swift albums released
  - For most recording artists, most albums are missing
- **Open Problem:** better ways of handling missing data for relational domains

# Looking Forward

- Need better datasets.
  - Most real-world databases are valuable and so secret
  - Use public datasets. Environmental? Scientific data?

# Looking Forward

- Need better datasets.
  - Most real-world databases are valuable and so secret
  - Use public datasets. Environmental? Scientific data?
- To make decision we need to be able to infer probabilities:
  - negative information or meta-information (“no more”, functional)
  - predict future from past (assume dataset is complete for some cases)

- Need better datasets.
  - Most real-world databases are valuable and so secret
  - Use public datasets. Environmental? Scientific data?
- To make decision we need to be able to infer probabilities:
  - negative information or meta-information (“no more”, functional)
  - predict future from past (assume dataset is complete for some cases)
- **Open Problem:** Better evaluation for the various types of relational domains and questions

## Looking Forward: Query Types

- When predicting entities, there are three types:
  - one or more known entities

## Looking Forward: Query Types

- When predicting entities, there are three types:
  - one or more known entities
  - an entity or entities not represented (without identifier)

## Looking Forward: Query Types

- When predicting entities, there are three types:
  - one or more known entities
  - an entity or entities not represented (without identifier)
  - no entity

## Looking Forward: Query Types

- When predicting entities, there are three types:
  - one or more known entities
  - an entity or entities not represented (without identifier)
  - no entity
- E.g. asking for birth mother of a person

## Looking Forward: Query Types

- When predicting entities, there are three types:
  - one or more known entities
  - an entity or entities not represented (without identifier)
  - no entity
- E.g. asking for birth mother of a person
- E.g., asking for children of a person

## Looking Forward: Query Types

- When predicting entities, there are three types:
  - one or more known entities
  - an entity or entities not represented (without identifier)
  - no entity
- E.g. asking for birth mother of a person
- E.g., asking for children of a person
- E.g., asking whether the president of South Korea and the prime minister of Canada had a private meeting at the 2025 ASEAN Summit (or 2026 Summit)

## Looking Forward: Query Types

- When predicting entities, there are three types:
  - one or more known entities
  - an entity or entities not represented (without identifier)
  - no entity
- E.g. asking for birth mother of a person
- E.g., asking for children of a person
- E.g., asking whether the president of South Korea and the prime minister of Canada had a private meeting at the 2025 ASEAN Summit (or 2026 Summit)
- **Open Problem:** Determining probabilities for various types of possible answers.

## Looking Forward: Aggregation

- **Aggregation:** prediction depends on variable number of related entities.  
e.g. predicting gender from movies rated.  
Number of related entities can vary from zero to thousands

## Looking Forward: Aggregation

- **Aggregation:** prediction depends on variable number of related entities.  
e.g. predicting gender from movies rated.  
Number of related entities can vary from zero to thousands
- Some models have built-in aggregation  
(e.g., noisy-or, logistic regression, attention)

## Looking Forward: Aggregation

- **Aggregation:** prediction depends on variable number of related entities.  
e.g. predicting gender from movies rated.  
Number of related entities can vary from zero to thousands
- Some models have built-in aggregation  
(e.g., noisy-or, logistic regression, attention)
- Other models use explicit operations  
(e.g., maximum, sum or mean.)

## Looking Forward: Aggregation

- **Aggregation:** prediction depends on variable number of related entities.  
e.g. predicting gender from movies rated.  
Number of related entities can vary from zero to thousands
- Some models have built-in aggregation  
(e.g., noisy-or, logistic regression, attention)
- Other models use explicit operations  
(e.g., maximum, sum or mean.)
- **Open Problem:** Do related entities provide independent evidence?

# Conclusions

- Relational learning: model objects and relationships, rather than their manifestations in language or images.

# Conclusions

- Relational learning: model objects and relationships, rather than their manifestations in language or images.
- Why Isn't Relational Learning Taking Over the World?
  - Poor test sets. E.g, contain no reified entities
  - Evaluation: ranking is rarely sensible

# Conclusions

- Relational learning: model objects and relationships, rather than their manifestations in language or images.
- Why Isn't Relational Learning Taking Over the World?
  - Poor test sets. E.g, contain no reified entities
  - Evaluation: ranking is rarely sensible
- Some ways forward:

# Conclusions

- Relational learning: model objects and relationships, rather than their manifestations in language or images.
- Why Isn't Relational Learning Taking Over the World?
  - Poor test sets. E.g, contain no reified entities
  - Evaluation: ranking is rarely sensible
- Some ways forward:
  - more types of real-world prediction problems

# Conclusions

- Relational learning: model objects and relationships, rather than their manifestations in language or images.
- Why Isn't Relational Learning Taking Over the World?
  - Poor test sets. E.g, contain no reified entities
  - Evaluation: ranking is rarely sensible
- Some ways forward:
  - more types of real-world prediction problems
  - learn directly on relations (knowledge hypergraphs)

# Conclusions

- Relational learning: model objects and relationships, rather than their manifestations in language or images.
- Why Isn't Relational Learning Taking Over the World?
  - Poor test sets. E.g, contain no reified entities
  - Evaluation: ranking is rarely sensible
- Some ways forward:
  - more types of real-world prediction problems
  - learn directly on relations (knowledge hypergraphs)
  - use (public) complete knowledge databases, predict future from past

# Conclusions

- Relational learning: model objects and relationships, rather than their manifestations in language or images.
- Why Isn't Relational Learning Taking Over the World?
  - Poor test sets. E.g, contain no reified entities
  - Evaluation: ranking is rarely sensible
- Some ways forward:
  - more types of real-world prediction problems
  - learn directly on relations (knowledge hypergraphs)
  - use (public) complete knowledge databases, predict future from past
- Potential to learn from all data in the world.

# Conclusions

- Relational learning: model objects and relationships, rather than their manifestations in language or images.
- Why Isn't Relational Learning Taking Over the World?
  - Poor test sets. E.g, contain no reified entities
  - Evaluation: ranking is rarely sensible
- Some ways forward:
  - more types of real-world prediction problems
  - learn directly on relations (knowledge hypergraphs)
  - use (public) complete knowledge databases, predict future from past
- Potential to learn from all data in the world.
- **Much** more detail in paper.

See also:

Invited talk: “The Essence of Intelligence is Appropriate Action (not thinking, reasoning, learning or language) and other things every student of AI should know”

David Poole and Alan Mackworth

Sunday at 8:30am.