• Project #2 - get started!

"In Prolog, as in most halfway decent programming languages, there is no tension between writing a beautiful program an writing an efficient program. If your Prolog code is ugly, the chances are that you either don't understand your problem or don't understand your programming language, and in neither case does your code stand much chance of being efficient. In order to ensure your program is efficient, you need to know what it is doing, and if your code is ugly, you will find it hard to analyse."

Richard A. O'Keefe, "The Craft of Prolog", 1990.

#### Last time

- difference lists
- definite clause grammars
- computer algebra and calculus

To do

- natural language interfaces to databases
- Semantic web
- negation as failure
- pragmatic choices of Prolog
- proofs with variables and complex terms

- A sentence consists of a noun phrase followed by a verb phrase.
- sentence(L, E) is true if (L, E) forms a difference list that is a sentence
- noun\_phrase(L, E) is true if (L, E) forms a difference list that is a noun phrase
- verb\_phrase(L, E) is true if (L, E) forms a difference list that is a verb phrase

```
sentence(L_0,L_2) :-
noun_phrase(L_0,L_1),
verb_phrase(L_1,L_2).
```

- How can we get from natural language directly to the answer?
- Goal: map natural language to a query that is asked of a knowledge base.
- Add arguments representing the individual

 $noun_phrase(T_0, T_1, O)$ 

means

- $T_0 T_1$  is a difference list forming a noun phrase.
- The noun phrase refers to the individual *O*.
- Can be implemented by the parser directly calling the knowledge base.

```
see
https://www.cs.ubc.ca/~poole/cs312/2024/prolog/
geography_QA.pl
```

```
% A noun phrase is a determiner followed by adjectives fol:
% by a noun followed by an optional modifying phrase:
noun_phrase(L0, L4, Ind) :-
    det(L0, L1, Ind),
    adjectives(L1, L2, Ind),
    noun(L2, L3, Ind),
    omp(L3, L4, Ind).
```

```
% adj(T0,T1,Entity) is true if T0-T1
% is an adjective that is true of Entity
adj(["large" | L], L, Ind) :- large(Ind).
adj([LangName, "speaking" | L], L, Ind) :-
language(Ind, Lang), name(Lang, LangName).
```

% adjectives(T0,T1,Entity) is true if % T0-T1 is a sequence of adjectives that true of Entity adjectives(T0,T2,Entity) :-

```
adj(T0,T1,Entity),
adjectives(T1,T2,Entity).
adjectives(T,T,_).
```

reln(T0, T1, Subject, Object)

- T0 T1 is a verb or preposition that provides
- a relation that true between Subject and Object

#### Verbs and propositions provide relations

```
% A modifying phrase / relative clause is either
% a relation (verb or preposition)
% followed by a noun_phrase or
% 'that' followed by a relation then a noun_phrase
mp(L0, L2, Subject) :-
    reln(L0, L1, Subject, Object),
    aphrase(L1, L2, Object).
mp(["that" | L0], L2, Subject) :-
    reln(L0, L1, Subject, Object),
    aphrase(L1, L2, Object).
```

```
% An optional modifying phrase is either a modifying phrase
omp(L0,L1,E) :-
    mp(L0,L1,E).
omp(L, L, _).
```

What if geography\_QA contained this as the definition of adjectives (where adjectives and adj are in a different order in the body):

```
adjectives(L0, L2, Ind) :-
    adjectives (L0, L1, Ind),
    adj(L1, L2, Ind).
adjectives(L, L, _).
```

- A it would work the same
- B it might give incorrect results when the original doesn't
- C it might fail in cases when the original doesn't
- D it might not halt in cases when the original halts

### Real-world queries

- Want a tokenizer: mapping from strings to sequence of words. split\_string or readln provides a simple ones.
- What should the system do with ungrammatical sentences?
- What should the system do with new words?
- What about pronoun references?

The student took many courses. Two computer science courses and one mathematics course were particularly difficult. The mathematics course... Who was the captain of the Titanic? Was she tall?

- And other tricky and subtle aspects of English?
  - program them
  - learn them

see

http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~poole/cs312/2024/prolog/
geography\_QA.pl

- What does it mean if it answers *false*?
   We can't tell whether it couldn't parse the question or there were no answers to the question.
- Almost impossible to debug.
- Idea: parse the sentence first, building a query that is then asked of the database.

http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~poole/cs312/2024/prolog/
geography\_QA\_query.pl

# Building a list of constraints on the entity (geography\_QA\_query.pl)

noun\_phrase(L0,L4,Entity,C0,C4) is true if

- L0 and L4 are list of words, such that
  - L4 is an ending of L0
  - the words in L0 before L4 (written L0 L4) form a noun phrase
- Entity is an individual that the noun phrase is referring to
- C0 is a list such that C4 is an ending of C0 and C0 C4 contains the constraints imposed by the noun phrase

```
noun_phrase(L0,L4,Entity,C0,C4) :-
    det(L0,L1,Entity,C0,C1),
    adjectives(L1,L2,Entity,C1,C2),
    noun(L2,L3,Entity,C2,C3),
    mp(L3,L4,Entity,C3,C4).
```

13/18

# Building a list of constraints on the entity (geography\_QA\_query.pl)

• Nouns and adjectives provide constraints:

adj([large | L],L,Entity, [large(Entity)|C],C). adj([Lang,speaking | L],L,Entity, [speaks(Entity,Lang)|C],C).

noun([country | L],L,Entity, [country(Entity)|C],C). noun([city | L],L,Entity, [city(Entity)|C],C).

- Verbs and propositions provide relations reln(T0, T1, Subject, Object, C0, C1)
  - T0 T1 is a verb or preposition that provides relations in
     C0 C1 that is true between individuals Subject and Object

reln([borders | L],L,01,02,[borders(01,02)|C],C).
reln([the,capital,of | L],L,01,02,

[capital(02,01)|C],C).

reln([next,to | L],L,01,02, [borders(01,02)|C],C).

returns with substitution R=["sat","on","the","hat"] What do we hope the value of CO and C1 is:

E we would hope this would fail

Which specifies that sat is a verb, than indicates the relation sat:  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{A}}$ 

reln([sat | L], L, Sub, Obj, [sat(Sub,Obj) | C], C).

B reln([sat | L], L, Sub, Obj, [sat | C], C).

- C reln([sat | L], L, Sub, Obj, sat(Sub,C), C).
- D reln([sat | L], L, Sub, Obj, sat(Sub,Obj), C).

E It can't be done

Two mechanisms can make the grammar more expressive: extra arguments to the non-terminal symbols arbitrary conditions on the rules. We have a Turing-complete programming language at our disposal!



### NLP requires Understanding (Winograd Schemas)

- The city councilmen refused the demonstrators a permit because they feared violence. Who feared violence?
- The city councilmen refused the demonstrators a permit because they advocated violence. Who advocated violence?
- Steve follows Fred's example in everything. He [admires/influences] him hugely. Who [admires/influences] whom?
- The table won't fit through the doorway because it is too [wide/narrow]. What is too [wide/narrow]?
- Grace was happy to trade me her sweater for my jacket. She thinks it looks [great/dowdy] on her. What looks [great/dowdy] on Grace?
- Bill thinks that calling attention to himself was rude [to/of] Bert. Who called attention to himself?

• In a recent competition, the best algorithm got 58% correct! https://cs.nyu.edu/faculty/davise/papers/WinogradSchemas/WS.html