

Learning Objectives

At the end of the class you should be able to:

- show an example of decision-tree learning
- explain how to avoid overfitting in decision-tree learning
- derive the update for gradient descent for linear classification
-

Basic Models for Supervised Learning

Many learning algorithms can be seen as deriving from:

- decision trees
- linear (and non-linear) classifiers
- Bayesian classifiers



Learning Decision Trees

- Representation is a decision tree.
- Bias is towards simple decision trees.
- Search through the space of decision trees, from simple decision trees to more complex ones.

A (binary) **decision tree** (for a particular output feature) is a tree where:

- Each nonleaf node is labeled with a test (function of input features).
- The arcs out of a node labeled with values for the test.
- The leaves of the tree are labeled with point prediction of the output feature.

Example Decision Trees



Equivalent Logic Program

$skips \leftarrow long.$

$reads \leftarrow short \wedge new.$

$reads \leftarrow short \wedge follow_up \wedge known.$

$skips \leftarrow short \wedge follow_up \wedge unknown.$

or with negation as failure:

$reads \leftarrow short \wedge new.$

$reads \leftarrow short \wedge \sim new \wedge known.$

Issues in decision-tree learning

- Given some training examples, which decision tree should be generated?
- A decision tree can represent any discrete function of the input features.
- You need a **bias**. Example, prefer the smallest tree. Least depth? Fewest nodes? Which trees are the best predictors of unseen data?
- How should you go about building a decision tree? The space of decision trees is too big for systematic search for the smallest decision tree.

Searching for a Good Decision Tree

- The input is a set of input features, a target feature and, a set of training examples.
- Either:
 - ▶ Stop and return the a value for the target feature or a distribution over target feature values
 - ▶ Choose a test (e.g. an input feature) to split on. For each value of the test, build a subtree for those examples with this value for the test.

Choices in implementing the algorithm

- When to stop:


Choices in implementing the algorithm

- When to stop:
 - ▶ no more input features
 - ▶ all examples are classified the same
 - ▶ too few examples to make an informative split

Choices in implementing the algorithm

- When to stop:
 - ▶ no more input features
 - ▶ all examples are classified the same
 - ▶ too few examples to make an informative split
- Which test to split on isn't defined. Often we use **myopic** split: which single split gives smallest error.
- With multi-valued features, the text can be can to split on all values or split values into half. More complex tests are possible.

Example: possible splits



Handling Overfitting

- This algorithm can overfit the data.
This occurs when noise and correlations in the training set that are not reflected in the data as a whole.
- To handle overfitting:
 - ▶ restrict the splitting, and split only when the split is useful.
 - ▶ allow unrestricted splitting and prune the resulting tree where it makes unwarranted distinctions.
 - ▶ learn multiple trees and average them.

Linear Function

A **linear function** of features X_1, \dots, X_n is a function of the form:

$$f^{\bar{w}}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = w_0 + w_1 X_1 + \dots + w_n X_n$$

We invent a new feature X_0 which has value 1, to make it not a special case.

Linear Regression

Linear regression is where the predicted output for feature Y is a linear function of the input features.

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{Y}^w(e) &= w_0 + w_1X_1(e) + \cdots + w_nX_n(e) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n w_iX_i(e) ,\end{aligned}$$

Linear Regression

Linear regression is where the predicted output for feature Y is a linear function of the input features.

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{Y}^{\bar{w}}(e) &= w_0 + w_1 X_1(e) + \dots + w_n X_n(e) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n w_i X_i(e),\end{aligned}$$

The sum of squares error on examples E for output Y is:

$$\begin{aligned}Error_E(\bar{w}) &= \sum_{e \in E} (Y(e) - \widehat{Y}^{\bar{w}}(e))^2 \\ &= \sum_{e \in E} \left(Y(e) - \sum_{i=0}^n w_i X_i(e) \right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Goal: find weights that minimize $Error_E(\bar{w})$.

Finding weights that minimize $Error_E(\bar{w})$

- Find the minimum analytically.
Effective when it can be done (e.g., for linear regression).

Finding weights that minimize $Error_E(\bar{w})$

- Find the minimum analytically.
Effective when it can be done (e.g., for linear regression).
- Find the minimum iteratively.
Works for larger classes of problems.
Gradient descent:

$$w_i \leftarrow w_i - \eta \frac{\partial Error_E(\bar{w})}{\partial w_i}$$

η is the gradient descent step size, the **learning rate**.

Gradient Descent for Linear Regression

```
1: procedure LinearLearner( $X, Y, E, \eta$ )
2:     Inputs
3:          $X$ : set of input features,  $X = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ 
4:          $Y$ : output feature
5:          $E$ : set of examples from which to learn
6:          $\eta$ : learning rate
7:     initialize  $w_0, \dots, w_n$  randomly
8:     repeat
9:         for each example  $e$  in  $E$  do
10:             $\delta \leftarrow Y(e) - \hat{Y}^w(e)$ 
11:            for each  $i \in [0, n]$  do
12:                 $w_i \leftarrow w_i + \eta \delta X_i(e)$ 
13:     until some stopping criterion is true
14:     return  $w_0, \dots, w_n$ 
```

Linear Classifier

- Assume we are doing binary classification, with classes $\{0, 1\}$ (e.g., using indicator functions).
- There is no point in making a prediction of less than 0 or greater than 1.
- A **squashed linear function** is of the form:

$$f^{\bar{w}}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = f(w_0 + w_1 X_1 + \dots + w_n X_n)$$

where f is an **activation function**.

Linear Classifier

- Assume we are doing binary classification, with classes $\{0, 1\}$ (e.g., using indicator functions).
- There is no point in making a prediction of less than 0 or greater than 1.
- A **squashed linear function** is of the form:

$$f^{\bar{w}}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = f(w_0 + w_1 X_1 + \dots + w_n X_n)$$

where f is an **activation function**.

- A simple activation function is the step function:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

Gradient Descent for Linear Classifiers

If the activation is differentiable, we can use gradient descent to update the weights. The sum of squares error is:

$$Error_E(\bar{w}) = \sum_{e \in E} \left(Y(e) - f\left(\sum_i w_i X_i(e)\right) \right)^2.$$

Gradient Descent for Linear Classifiers

If the activation is differentiable, we can use gradient descent to update the weights. The sum of squares error is:

$$Error_E(\bar{w}) = \sum_{e \in E} \left(Y(e) - f\left(\sum_i w_i X_i(e)\right) \right)^2.$$

The partial derivative with respect to weight w_i for example e is:


$$\frac{\partial Error_E(\bar{w})}{\partial w_i} = -2\delta f'\left(\sum_i w_i X_i(e)\right) X_i(e).$$

where $\delta = Y(e) - \hat{Y}^{\bar{w}}(e)$

Thus, each example e updates each weight w_i by


$$w_i \leftarrow w_i + \eta \delta f'\left(\sum_i w_i X_i(e)\right) X_i(e).$$

The sigmoid or logistic activation function



$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$$

The sigmoid or logistic activation function




$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$$

$$f'(x) = f(x)(1 - f(x))$$

Gradient Descent for Logistic Regression


```
1: procedure LinearLearner( $X, Y, E, \eta$ )
2:     Inputs
3:          $X$ : set of input features,  $X = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ 
4:          $Y$ : output feature
5:          $E$ : set of examples from which to learn
6:          $\eta$ : learning rate
7:     initialize  $w_0, \dots, w_n$  randomly
8:     repeat
9:         for each example  $e$  in  $E$  do
10:             $p \leftarrow f(\sum_i w_i X_i(e))$ 
11:             $\delta \leftarrow Y(e) - p$ 
12:            for each  $i \in [0, n]$  do
13:                 $w_i \leftarrow w_i + \eta \delta p(1 - p) X_i(e)$ 
14:        until some stopping criterion is true
15:    return  $w_0, \dots, w_n$ 
```

Simple Example



Ex	new	short	home	reads		δ	error
				Predicted	Obs		
e1	0	0	0	$f(0.4) = 0.6$	0		
e2	1	1	0	$f(-1.2) = 0.23$	0		
e3	1	0	1	$f(0.9) = 0.71$	1		


Simple Example



Ex	new	short	home	reads		δ	error
				Predicted	Obs		
e1	0	0	0	$f(0.4) = 0.6$	0	-0.6	0.36
e2	1	1	0	$f(-1.2) = 0.23$	0	-0.23	0.053
e3	1	0	1	$f(0.9) = 0.71$	1	0.29	0.084

Linearly Separable

- A classification is **linearly separable** if there is a hyperplane where the classification is true on one side of the hyperplane and false on the other side.
- For the sigmoid function, the hyperplane is when:
 $w_0 + w_1X_1(e) + \dots + w_nX_n(e) = 0$.
- If the data are linearly separable, the error can be made arbitrarily small.



Bayesian classifiers


- Idea: if you knew the classification you could predict the values of features.

$$P(\text{Class}|X_1 \dots X_n) \propto P(X_1, \dots, X_n|\text{Class})P(\text{Class})$$

- Naive Bayesian classifier:** X_i are independent of each other given the class.


Requires: $P(\text{Class})$ and $P(X_i|\text{Class})$ for each X_i .

$$P(\text{Class}|X_1 \dots X_n) \propto \prod_i P(X_i|\text{Class})P(\text{Class})$$




Learning Probabilities

X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	C	<i>Count</i>
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
t	f	t	t	1	40
t	f	t	t	2	10
t	f	t	t	3	50
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots



Learning Probabilities


X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	C	<i>Count</i>
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
t	f	t	t	1	40
t	f	t	t	2	10
t	f	t	t	3	50
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots



$$P(C=v_i) = \frac{\sum_{t \models C=v_i} \text{Count}(t)}{\sum_t \text{Count}(t)}$$

$$P(X_k = v_j | C=v_i) = \frac{\sum_{t \models C=v_i \wedge X_k=v_j} \text{Count}(t)}{\sum_{t \models C=v_i} \text{Count}(t)}$$

...perhaps including pseudo-counts



- The domain of H is the set of all help pages. The observations are the words in the query.
- What probabilities are needed?
What pseudo-counts and counts are used?
What data can be used to learn from?