CSPs: Arc Consistency

CPSC 322 Lecture 11

January 31, 2007 Textbook §3.5, 3.8

Recap

CSPs: Arc Consistency

∢ ≣ ≯ CPSC 322 Lecture 11, Slide 1

⊡ ▶ < ≣ ▶

Lecture Overview





→ 御 → → 注 → → 注 → CPSC 322 Lecture 11, Slide 2

æ

CSPs: Arc Consistency

CSPs as Search Problems

We map CSPs into search problems:

- nodes: assignments of values to a subset of the variables
- neighbours of a node: nodes in which values are assigned to one additional variable
- start node: the empty assignment (no variables assigned values)
- leaf node: a node which assigns a value to each variable
- goal node: leaf node which satisfies all of the constraints

Note: the path to a goal node is not important

Example

An example of solving a CSP using depth-first search, with pruning whenever a partial assignment violates a constraint



Constraint Networks

• A constraint network:

- Two kinds of nodes in the graph
 - one node for every variable
 - one node for every constraint
- Edges run between variable nodes and constraint nodes: they indicate that a given variable is involved in a given constraint

Lecture Overview





CSPs: Arc Consistency

CPSC 322 Lecture 11, Slide 6

æ

- 4 回 2 - 4 □ 2 - 4 □

Arc Consistency

Recap

Definition

An arc $\langle X, r(X, \bar{Y}) \rangle$ is arc consistent if for each value of X in \mathbf{D}_X there is some value \bar{Y} in $\mathbf{D}_{\bar{Y}}$ such that $r(X, \bar{Y})$ is satisfied.

- In symbols, $\forall X \in \mathbf{D}_X, \ \exists \bar{Y} \in \mathbf{D}_{\bar{Y}} \text{ such that } r(X, \bar{Y}) \text{ is satisfied.}$
- A network is arc consistent if all its arcs are arc consistent.
- If an arc $\langle X, \bar{Y} \rangle$ is *not* arc consistent, all values of X in \mathbf{D}_X for which there is no corresponding value in $\mathbf{D}_{\bar{Y}}$ may be deleted from \mathbf{D}_X to make the arc $\langle X, \bar{Y} \rangle$ consistent.
 - This removal can never rule out any models (do you see why?)

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

Recap

- Consider the arcs in turn making each arc consistent.
 - An arc $\left\langle X,r(X,\bar{Y})\right\rangle$ needs to be revisited if the domain of Y is reduced.
- Regardless of the order in which arcs are considered, we will terminate with the same result: an arc consistent network.
- Worst-case complexity of this procedure:
 - ${\ensuremath{\, \rm o}}$ let the max size of a variable domain be d
 - ${\ensuremath{\, \bullet }}$ let the number of constraints be e
 - complexity is $O(ed^3)$
- Some special cases are faster
 - $\bullet\,$ e.g., if the constraint graph is a tree, arc consistency is O(ed)

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Arc Consistency Algorithm

```
procedure AC(V, dom, R)
        Inputs
                V: a set of variables
                dom: a function such that dom(X) is the domain of variable X
               R: set of relations to be satisfied
       Output
                arc consistent domains for each variable
        Local
                D_X is a set of values for each variable X
        for each variable X do
                D_{\mathbf{Y}} \leftarrow dom(X)
        end for each
        TDA \leftarrow \{\langle X, r \rangle | r \in R \text{ is a constraint that involves } X\}
        while TDA \neq \{\} do
                select \langle X, r \rangle \in TDA;
                TDA \leftarrow TDA - \{\langle X, r \rangle\};
                ND_X \leftarrow \{x | x \in D_X \text{ and there is } \overline{y} \in D_{\overline{y}} \text{ such that } r(x, \overline{y})\};
                if ND_X \neq D_X then
                        TDA \leftarrow TDA \cup \{ \langle Z, r' \rangle | r' \neq r \text{ and } r' \text{ involves } X \text{ and } Z \neq X \};
                        D_{\mathbf{Y}} \leftarrow ND_{\mathbf{Y}}:
                end if
        end while
        return {D_X : X is a variable}
end procedure
```

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三 の < ⊙

• When we change the domain of a variable X in the course of making an arc $\langle X, r \rangle$ arc consistent, we add every arc $\langle Z, r' \rangle$ where r' involves X and:

•
$$r \neq r'$$

• $Z \neq X$

- Thus we don't add back the same arc:
 - This makes sense—it's definitely arc consistent.

Adding edges back to TDA

- When we change the domain of a variable X in the course of making an arc $\langle X, r \rangle$ arc consistent, we add every arc $\langle Z, r' \rangle$ where r' involves X and:
 - $r \neq r'$ • $Z \neq X$
- We don't add back other arcs that involve the same variable ${\cal X}$
 - We've just *reduced* the domain of X
 - If an arc $\langle X,r\rangle$ was arc consistent before, it will still be arc consistent
 - in the "for all" we'll just check fewer values

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Adding edges back to TDA

- When we change the domain of a variable X in the course of making an arc $\langle X, r \rangle$ arc consistent, we add every arc $\langle Z, r' \rangle$ where r' involves X and:
 - $r \neq r'$ • $Z \neq X$
- We don't add back other arcs that involve the same constraint and a different variable:
 - Imagine that such an arc—involving variable Y—had been arc consistent before, but was no longer arc consistent after X's domain was reduced.
 - This means that some value in $Y{\rm 's}$ domain could satisfy r only when X took one of the dropped values
 - But we dropped these values precisely because there were no values of Y that allowed r to be satisfied when X takes these values—contradiction!

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Recap

•
$$dom(A) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}; dom(B) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}; dom(C) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

- Suppose you first select the arc ⟨A, A < B⟩.
 - Remove A = 4 from the domain of A.
 - Add nothing to TDA.
- Suppose that $\langle B, B < C \rangle$ is selected next.
 - Prune the value 4 from the domain of B.
 - Add (A, A < B) back into the TDA set (why?)
- Suppose that $\langle B, A < B \rangle$ is selected next.
 - Prune 1 from the domain of B.
 - Add no element to TDA (why?)
- Suppose the arc $\langle A, A < B \rangle$ is selected next
 - The value A = 3 can be pruned from the domain of A.
 - Add no element to TDA (why?)
- Select $\langle C, B < C \rangle$ next.
 - Remove 1 and 2 from the domain of C.
 - Add $\langle B, B < C \rangle$ back into the TDA set

The other two edges are arc consistent, so the algorithm terminates with $dom(A) = \{1, 2\}, dom(B) = \{2, 3\}, dom(C) = \{3, 4\}.$

Recap

- Three possible outcomes (when all arcs are arc consistent):
 - One domain is empty \Rightarrow no solution
 - Each domain has a single value \Rightarrow unique solution
 - $\bullet\,$ Some domains have more than one value $\Rightarrow\,$ may or may not be a solution
 - in this case, arc consistency isn't enough to solve the problem: we need to perform search

(3)