

# How to Read a Research Paper

(Original Text by Bill Griswold, revised by Gail Murphy)  
(Modified by C. Conati, Dec 29, 2022)

Reading research papers effectively is challenging.

- These papers are often written in a condensed style because of page limitations and the assumption that the intended audience already know the area well.
- The reasons for writing the paper may be different than the reasons the paper has been assigned, meaning you have to work harder to find the content that you are interested in.
- Finally, your time is very limited, so you may not have time to read every word of the paper or read it several times to extract all the nuances.

For all these reasons, reading a research paper can require a special approach.

The first thing to understand is that the research papers you will read have been published in different kinds of places. Some papers have been published in the *proceedings of a conference*. These papers have been peer-reviewed but are typically strictly limited in length to about 10-12 pages. Other papers have been published in archival journals. These papers have also been peer-reviewed but there are typically not any length restrictions, so they generally include more detail in every dimension. Some papers are technical reports. These are not peer-reviewed. In areas related to Computer Science, you may find that there is first a technical report version which is then later published in a conference or a journal. If a paper appears both in conference and journal form, the journal version is typically a later, expanded and revised version of the work.

To develop an effective reading style for research papers, it can help to know what you should get out of the paper, and where that information is located in the paper.

- Typically, the introduction will state not only the motivations behind the work, but also outline the solution. Often this may be all the expert requires from the paper.
- The body of the paper states the authors' solution to the problem in detail, and should also describe a detailed evaluation of the solution in terms of arguments or an empirical evaluation (case study, experiment, etc.).
- Finally, the paper will conclude with a recap, including a discussion of the primary contributions and of future work
- A paper will also discuss related work to some degree.

Papers are often repetitive because they present information at different levels of detail and from different perspectives. As a result, it may be desirable, to read the paper "out of order" or to skip certain sections. More on this below.

The questions you want to have answered by reading a paper are the following:

**What are motivations for this work?** For a research paper, there is an expectation that a solution to a problem has been found that no one else has published in the literature. The paper should

describe *why the problem is important, why it does not have a trivial solution* and, if other solutions have been proposed in the literature, *why they are inadequate*. Essentially, the paper should justify why a new solution is needed. Occasionally an author will fail to clearly provide this justification, making your job much more difficult.

**What is the proposed solution?** This is may also be called the hypothesis or idea. There should be an argument about why the solution solves the problem better than previous solutions. There should also be a discussion about how the solution is achieved (designed and implemented) or is at least achievable.

**What is the evaluation of the proposed solution?** An idea alone is usually not adequate for publication of a research paper. What argument and/or experiment is made to make a case for the value of the ideas? What benefits or problems are identified? Are they convincing?

**What are the contributions?** The contributions in a paper may be many and varied. Ideas, new findings, new algorithms, experimental techniques, and area survey are a few key possibilities. Usually papers summarize their intended contributions in the introduction, and re-iterate them in the conclusions. One fundamental aspect of reading a research paper is verifying that the authors' *stated contributions, are actually supported by the arguments and results in the paper.*

**What are future directions for this research?** Future directions might include addressing limitations of the work discussed in the paper. Note that limitations might not be a problem if they are clearly acknowledged and discussed. They became problematic when the authors try to “swipe them under the carpet”. Other future directions might include extending specific aspects of the work, or trying alternative solutions. For this question, try to go beyond the future directions that the authors identify, and come up with your own ideas. This is how new research develops.

As you read or skim a paper, you should actively attempt to answer the above questions. Presumably, the introduction should provide motivation. The introduction and conclusion may discuss the solutions and evaluation at a high level, and summarize the contributions. The details of the solution and the evaluation should be in the body of the paper, and should clearly support the stated contributions. Future work is likely in the concluding part of the paper. You may find it productive to try to answer each question in turn, writing your answer down. In practice, you are not done reading a paper until you can answer all the questions.

---

Last updated: December 28, 2022