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Marking: Course overall

• 50% Project
  – 2% Pitches
  – 10% Proposal
  – 6% Status Updates
  – 14% Final Presentation
  – 10% Final Report
  – 10% Commitment

– 20% Presentations
  – 15% Commitment; Summary 30%; Analysis 25%; Critique 25%
  – 25% Delivery Presentation Style 30%; Slide Quality 30%
  – 20% Participation

• 40% In-Class Discussion/Exercises

547 submit final

http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/courses/547-15

http://junctionpublishing.org/vgtc/Tasks/camera_tvcg.html

Course Logistics

• Subject: 547 submit final
• Due Fri Dec 18 5pm
– Required: Report, Code
– Encouraged: Live Demo URL, Video

Final Presentations: Tue Dec 15 2-5:30 DMP 101

• Length
– 10 min for solo, 12 min for 2-person projects, 14 min for 3-person projects
– Includes questions, timer for 2-min warning

• structure
  – Slides required
  – Demos encouraged
  – Screenshots and/or video for backup strongly encouraged
  – But do practice demos at set up time!
  – Should be standalone
  – Don’t assume audience has read proposal or updates (or remembers your pitch)

• Logistics
  – Send me your slides by noon if you’re using my laptop, by 4pm if using yours
  – Subject: 547 submit final presentation

Sample Outlines: Design Study

• Case study II
  – Data and task abstractions
  – Overview of related work
  – Your design choices with respect to alternatives
  – Implementation
  – Conclusions

• Case study III
  – Results
  – Discussion and future work
  – Conclusion

• Case study IV
  – Bibliography
  – See page for other four project types

Evaluations

• https://eval.ctl.ubc.ca/science
  – Falls suggests 10-15 min class time set aside for filling out online forms
  – Teacher review form
  – I don’t see results until after marks are in
  – I’ll leave the room, come get me when most/all are done
  – I’ll send also out my own survey after marks are in, stay tuned

Final Reports

• PDF, use InfoVis templates

• In-class participation

– Great: 100%
– Good: 89%
– Ok: 78%
– Poor: 67%
– Zero: 0%

Schedule

• Last two presentations
course evaluations
– Final presentation and report expectations

Sample Outlines: Design Study

• abstract
  – Concise summary of your project
  – Do not include citations
  – Introduction
  – Big picture, establish scope, some background material might be appropriate

• Related work
  – Include both work aimed at similar problems and similar solutions
  – No requirement for research novelty, but still frame how your work relates to it
  – Cover both academic and relevant non-academic work

• Conclusions

– You might reorder to have this section later

Question Handling:

– I’ll send out my own survey after marks are in, stay tuned
– Make sure to use real references for work that’s been published academically
– You may include more material, you may choose alternate orderings
– You may include citations
– Do not include final updates

Marking: Course overall

• 50% Project
  – 2% Pitches
  – 10% Proposal
  – 6% Status Updates
  – 14% Final Presentation
  – 10% Final Report
  – 10% Commitment

– 20% Presentations
  – 15% Commitment; Summary 30%; Analysis 25%; Critique 25%
  – 25% Delivery Presentation Style 30%; Slide Quality 30%
  – 20% Participation

• 40% In-Class Discussion/Exercises

Report marking

• Required: At least material I’ve listed
  – You may include more material, you may choose alternate orderings

• Possible marking scheme (may change!)

– 10% for each of
  – Intro/Abstracts, Solution, Implementation, Results, Discussion, Style
  – 2% for remainder of related work

– Most of that mark from written portion

• Reminder: Project content is 50% of entire project mark

• In-class participation

– Great: 100%
– Good: 89%
– Ok: 78%
– Poor: 67%
– Zero: 0%

Code / Video

• Required submit your code
  – So I can see what you’ve done
  – Include README file at root with brief roadmap/overview of organization
  – Which parts are your code vs libraries
  – How to compile and run
  – I do not necessarily expect your code complies on my machine

• Encouraged but not required
  – Submit live demo URL
  – Open-source your code
  – Submit supporting video
  – With or without voiceover
  – Very nice to have later, software bitrot makes demos not last forever!
  – Can be same or different from what you show in final presentation

Final Presentations Marking

• Last year’s template
  – Introduction:
  – Plan:
  – Limitations/Critique/Lessons:
  – Slides:
  – Style:
  – Demo/Video:
  – Timing:
  – Question Handling:

Logistics

• Subject: 547 submit final
• Due Fri Dec 18 18:30
  – Required: Report, Code
  – Encouraged: Live Demo URL, Video

Sample Outlines: Design Study

• Data and task abstractions
  – Analyze your domain problem according to book framework (why/why)
  – Cover both academic and non-academic related work
  – You might reorder to have this section later

• Solution
  – Describe your solution idiom (visual encoding and interaction)
  – Analyze its according to book framework (how)
  – Justify your design choices with respect to alternatives

• Implementation
  – Pseudocode and implementation description
  – Specifiy what tools you used

Sample Outlines: Design Study

• Abstract
  – Make sure to use real references for work that’s been published academically
  – More than just URL
  – Be consistent!

• Discussion and future work
  – Reflect on your approach strengths, weaknesses, limitations
  – Lessons learned
  – What do you know now that you didn’t when you started?

• Limitations:

– What would you do if you had more time?
  – Future work

– Conclusions

– Summarize what you’ve done

– Different than abstract since reader has seen all the details

http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~tmm/writing.html