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1 I N T R O D U C T I O N

Our tool visualizes data collected by AutoTest\(^1\), an automatic grading service used to grade code submissions for students in CPSC310. The course is structured around a term-long coding project that is divided into 5 deliverables/sprints completed by teams consisting of 2-3 students. The first 3 of these deliverables are graded by a combination of AutoTest and TAs. Teams manage their shared code on GitHub\(^2\) using a basic git workflow: students pull the latest code changes from GitHub, commit their modified code locally and then push those commits to GitHub for other members to see. Every time a student pushes their changes, AutoTest is automatically invoked and runs a private suite of tests against the modified code. Results are stored in a NoSQL database with each record corresponding to a single submission (push event). The relevant attributes are briefly described in Table 1. We have collected data for over 24,000 submissions for the first two deliverables; complete data for the third deliverable will be available on March 13. There are 285 students in 139 teams.

After a submission deadline, TAs meet with their assigned teams to conduct a retrospective to discuss any challenges that arose during the sprint and to ensure that the work was equitably distributed among the team members. This typically consists of a TA asking some questions designed to gauge a student’s comprehension of the task and code. They may go so far as to explicitly and privately ask each student how evenly they felt the workload was split. Based on the retrospective, the TAs assign a scaling factor to the deliverable grade. For example, if the team got 90% on the deliverable but one member did most of the work, the final grades might be 90%\(\times 1.0 = 90\)% and 90%\(\times 0.6 = 54\)%. Unfortunately, it can be hard to determine how much work was done by each student from these conversations since the team member who contributed very little will attempt to spoof the TA while the hard-working one may not want to rat out their partner. One possible solution is to look at the commit history on GitHub to determine how many commits each student made. This can be a decent proxy but can be misleading since different people have different commit habits (some will commit every line, others only large changes) and they may not reflect the actual contribution to the grade (i.e. commits that don’t directly increase the grade).

2 P R O P O S E D S O L U T I O N

Our solution is to create a derived quantitative attribute \textit{commitContribution} that describes the impact of a submission on the overall grade. In particular, the attribute is the difference between the current submission and the previously graded one. We visualize this along with other code metrics (Fig. 1) to gain a more complete understanding of each student’s contribution: those who made more grade-improving submissions should receive a higher retrospective grade. Note that this visualization is designed to assist the TAs in making a judgment when assigning a grade and cannot replace them since students may have chosen a different way to divide the work among team members.

Here we use the what-why-how framework [2] to abstract our solution to the vis domain.

\(^{1}\)http://github.com/nickbradley/autotest
\(^{2}\)http://github.com
Within the view, you find that the team made a few early submissions. At the end of the retrospective, User1 said that each of them had done very close to the deadline. From the navigation pane, you notice that submissions made by each team member and do in fact notice that all of the submissions for the other member, User2, were made the night before the due date and that User1’s submissions were made earlier and more consistently.

To both User1 and User2 they are a bit surprised. You help them divide up the work for the next deliverable more equitably.

Later in the week, you decide to check how the team is proceeding. You immediately see that several submissions were made. Curious to see if your discussion helped, you expand the team-view and see that User2 has already made several submissions. You feel much more confident having scaled back User2’s grade by only 20% since he is now contributing more.

We have decided to implement Teamline as a web application. We decided on this for a variety of reasons: our familiarity with web technologies (especially JavaScript), platform independence, increased likelihood of adoption in CPSC310 (and other courses that will be using AutoTest), and integration with other services used in the course. Teamline is minimally dependent on existing AutoTest infrastructure, only requiring access to the database via a REST endpoint, and is completely novel to the existing system.

We decided on this project, in part, because we are both currently TAs for CPSC310 and have experienced the challenges of assigning a fair retrospective grade. In addition, we are both excited to make use of the otherwise largely unused data.

Furthermore, we wrote and are currently managing the AutoTest system including the database. As such, we have an understanding of the data: how it was created, its limitations, and some ways it can be meaningfully linked with other data sources like GitHub.

Finally, this project is mildly interesting from a research perspective since it is in our research area of software engineering. At a high level, it will be interesting to see how software engineering students use the dynamic. User2 denies this by claiming that he started days earlier but, after you show him Teamline, agrees that he should start earlier next time. While looking at Teamline, you also notice that User1 did quite a bit more work for the previous deliverable as well. You point this out to both User1 and User2 and they are a bit surprised. You help them divide up the work for the next deliverable more equitably.

Later in the week, you decide to check how the team is proceeding. You immediately see that several submissions were made. Curious to see if your discussion helped, you expand the team-view and see that User2 has already made several submissions. You feel much more confident having scaled back User2’s grade by only 20% since he is now contributing more.

We have decided to implement Teamline as a web application. We decided on this for a variety of reasons: our familiarity with web technologies (especially JavaScript), platform independence, increased likelihood of adoption in CPSC310 (and other courses that will be using AutoTest), and integration with other services used in the course. Teamline is minimally dependent on existing AutoTest infrastructure, only requiring access to the database via a REST endpoint, and is completely novel to the existing system.

We decided on this project, in part, because we are both currently TAs for CPSC310 and have experienced the challenges of assigning a fair retrospective grade. In addition, we are both excited to make use of the otherwise largely unused data.

Furthermore, we wrote and are currently managing the AutoTest system including the database. As such, we have an understanding of the data: how it was created, its limitations, and some ways it can be meaningfully linked with other data sources like GitHub.

Finally, this project is mildly interesting from a research perspective since it is in our research area of software engineering. At a high level, it will be interesting to see how software engineering students use the dynamic. User2 denies this by claiming that he started days earlier but, after you show him Teamline, agrees that he should start earlier next time. While looking at Teamline, you also notice that User1 did quite a bit more work for the previous deliverable as well. You point this out to both User1 and User2 and they are a bit surprised. You help them divide up the work for the next deliverable more equitably.

Later in the week, you decide to check how the team is proceeding. You immediately see that several submissions were made. Curious to see if your discussion helped, you expand the team-view and see that User2 has already made several submissions. You feel much more confident having scaled back User2’s grade by only 20% since he is now contributing more.

We have decided to implement Teamline as a web application. We decided on this for a variety of reasons: our familiarity with web technologies (especially JavaScript), platform independence, increased likelihood of adoption in CPSC310 (and other courses that will be using AutoTest), and integration with other services used in the course. Teamline is minimally dependent on existing AutoTest infrastructure, only requiring access to the database via a REST endpoint, and is completely novel to the existing system.

We decided on this project, in part, because we are both currently TAs for CPSC310 and have experienced the challenges of assigning a fair retrospective grade. In addition, we are both excited to make use of the otherwise largely unused data.

Furthermore, we wrote and are currently managing the AutoTest system including the database. As such, we have an understanding of the data: how it was created, its limitations, and some ways it can be meaningfully linked with other data sources like GitHub.

Finally, this project is mildly interesting from a research perspective since it is in our research area of software engineering. At a high level, it will be interesting to see how software engineering students use the
git workflow to manage their project and collaborate with their team members.

Table 2. Task Schedule.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Est Time</th>
<th>Deadline</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pitch (x2)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Feb. 16</td>
<td>Create slides, rehearse pitch.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Mar. 6</td>
<td>Discuss project ideas, create mockups, write proposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Review 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mar. 21</td>
<td>Prepare slides.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interim writeup</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Mar. 31</td>
<td>Summary of progress, completed previous work section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Review 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Apr. 2</td>
<td>Prepare slides, have some version of demo ready.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Apr. 7</td>
<td>Completed vis tool.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Create database view</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mar. 14</td>
<td>Create view(s) of computed/derived attributes in CouchDB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Create tabs/buttons</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mar. 21</td>
<td>Set up project frontend. Create navigation buttons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Main vis (Fig. 1)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Mar. 31</td>
<td>Implement team view including fetching data, display/layout, interaction, animation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Main vis (Fig. 2)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Apr. 7</td>
<td>Implement student view. Some of the team view implementation should be reusable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Apr. 25</td>
<td>Prepare slides, demo, video(?). Rehearse.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final paper</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Apr. 28</td>
<td>Finalize paper. Draft to be written Apr. 10-18.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6 MILESTONES AND SCHEDULE

We are prepared to spend about 116 hours together towards this project. Table 2 provides a breakdown of the project’s tasks.

7 PREVIOUS WORK

Our vis was inspired by ShiViz\(^3\) which shows messages being passed among a collection of processes to verify the happens-before relation is not violated, commit graphs like the one built into BitBucket\(^4\) which visualize commits in time, the map view on Craigslist\(^5\) which shows size-encoded point marks, and the magnifying effect for the OS X dock.

In addition, research around team contribution and collaboration (e.g. [1]) could help us further refine Teamline.
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