

## Common Mistakes on H2

- lookat point vs. gaze vector (eye - lookat)
- remember that NDC coordinate range is 2 (from -1 to 1), not 1
- remember homogenise and/or normalize points as needed
- on derivations, need more than just restating definition
- don't forget to flip y axis when converting to display coords


## Midterm

- picture IDs out and face up, please
- sit where there is a test paper
- don't open paper until you get the word


## News

- extension for P4 proposals
- now due Thu 6pm, not Wed 4pm
- rearranging lecture schedule slightly
- picking, collision today
- textures Thursday (no change)
- hidden surfaces next week
- reminder
- final Thu 6/16, P4 due Sat 6/18


Correction/Review: Premultiplying Colors

- specify opacity with alpha channel: (r,g,b, $\alpha$ )
- $\alpha=1$ : opaque, $\alpha=.5$ : translucent, $\alpha=0$ : transparent
- A over $\mathbf{B}$
- $\mathbf{C}=\alpha \mathbf{A}+(1-\alpha) \mathbf{B}$
- but what if $\mathbf{B}$ is also partially transparent?
- $\mathbf{C}=\alpha \mathbf{A}+(1-\alpha) \beta \mathbf{B}=\beta \mathbf{B}+\alpha \mathbf{A}+\rho \mathbf{p}-\alpha \beta \mathbf{B}$
- $\gamma=\beta+(1-\beta) \alpha=\beta+\alpha-\alpha \beta$
- 3 multiplies, different equations for alpha vs. RGB
- premultiplying by alpha
- $\mathbf{C}^{\prime}=\gamma \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{B}^{\prime}=\beta \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{A}^{\prime}=\alpha \mathbf{A}$
- $\mathbf{C}^{\prime}=\mathbf{B}^{\prime}+\mathbf{A}^{\prime}-\alpha \mathbf{B}^{\prime}$
- $\gamma=\beta+\alpha-\alpha \beta$
. 1 multiply to find $C$, same equations for alpha and RGB


## Review: Clipping

- analytically calculating the portions of primitives within the viewport



## Review: Clipping Lines To Viewport

- combining trivial accepts/rejects
- trivially accept lines with both endpoints inside all edges of the viewport
- trivially reject lines with both endpoints outside the same edge of the viewport
- otherwise, reduce to trivial cases by splitting into two segments



## Review: Polygon Clipping

- not just clipping all boundary lines
- may have to introduce new line segments



## Review: Sutherland-Hodgeman Clipping

- for each viewport edge
- clip the polygon against the edge equation
- after doing all edges, the polygon is fully clipped

- for each polygon vertex
- decide what to do based on 4 possibilities
- is vertex inside or outside?
- is previous vertex inside or outside?


## Review: Sutherland-Hodgeman Clipping

- edge from $p[i-1]$ to $p[i]$ has four cases - decide what to add to output vertex list



## Clarification: Degenerate Edges

- Q from last time: how does S-H know that there are two disconnected polygons if all it has is a vertex list?
- A: end up with one connected polygon that has degenerate edges



## Clarification: Degenerate Edges
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Clarification: Degenerate Edges


## Review: Splines

- spline is parametric curve defined by control points
- knots: control points that lie on curve
- engineering drawing: spline was flexible wood, control points were physical weights


A Duck (weight)


## Review: Hermite Spline

- user provides
- endpoints
- derivatives at endpoints


$$
x=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
x_{1} & x_{0} & x_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array} x_{0}^{\prime}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-2 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & -3 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -2 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
t^{3} \\
t^{2} \\
t \\
1
\end{array}\right]\right.
$$

## Review: Bézier Curves

- four control points, two of which are knots - more intuitive definition than derivatives
- curve will always remain within convex hull (bounding region) defined by control points


Hermite Specification

## Review: Basis Functions

- point on curve obtained by multiplying each control point by some basis function and summing




## Review: Sub-Dividing Bézier Curves

- find the midpoint of the line joining $M_{012}, M_{123}$. call it $M_{0123}$
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## Review: de Casteljau's Algorithm

- can find the point on Bézier curve for any parameter value $t$ with similar algorithm
- for $t=0.25$, instead of taking midpoints take points 0.25 of the way



## Review: Continuity

- piecewise Bézier: no continuity guarantees
- continuity definitions
- $C^{0}$ : share join point
- $C^{1}$ : share continuous derivatives
- $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ : share continuous second derivatives



## Review: B-Spline

- $\mathrm{C}_{0}, \mathrm{C}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ continuous
- piecewise: locality of control point influence




## Reading

- Red Book
- Selection and Feedback Chapter . all
- Now That You Know Chapter
- only Object Selection Using the Back Buffer


## Interactive Object Selection

- move cursor over object, click
- how to decide what is below?
- ambiguity
- many 3D world objects map to same 2D point
- four common approaches
- manual ray intersection
- bounding extents
- backbuffer color coding
- selection region with hit list


## Manual Ray Intersection

- do all computation at application level
- map selection point to a ray
- intersect ray with all objects in scene.
- advantages
- no library dependence
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## Bounding Extents

- keep track of axis-aligned bounding rectangles
- map selection point to a ray
- intersect ray with all objects in scene.
- advantages
- no library dependence
- disadvantages
- difficult to program
- slow: work to do depends on total number and complexity of objects in scene


## Bounding Extents

- disadvantages
- low precision
- must keep track of object-rectangle relationship
- extensions
- do more sophisticated bound bookkeeping
- first level: box check. second level: object check



## Backbuffer Color Coding

- use backbuffer for picking
- create image as computational entity
- never displayed to user
- redraw all objects in backbuffer
- turn off shading calculations
- set unique color for each pickable object - store in table
- read back pixel at cursor location
- check against table


## Backbuffer Color Coding

- advantages
- conceptually simple
- variable precision
- disadvantages

- introduce $2 x$ redraw delay
- backbuffer readback very slow


## Backbuffer Example

## glColor3f(1.0f, 1.0f, 1.0f);

for(int $\mathrm{i}=0 ; \mathrm{i}<2 ; \mathrm{i}++$ )
for(int $j=0 ; j<2 ; j++$ ) \{
glPushMatrix();
glTranslatef( $\mathrm{i}^{*} 3.0,0,-\mathrm{j}$ * 3.0 );
glColor3f(1.0f, 1.0f, 1.0f);
glCallList(snowman_display_list) glPopMatrix();
\}
for(int $\mathrm{i}=0 ; \mathrm{i}<2$; $\mathrm{i}++$ )
for(int $j=0 ; j<2 ; j++)\{$
glPushMatrix();
gIPushMatrix $)$;
switch $\left(i^{*} 2+j\right) ~\{$
switch (i*2+j)
case 0: glColor3ub(255,0,0);break; case 0: glColor3ub(255,0,0);break;
case 1: glColor3ub(0,255,0);break; case 1: glColor3ub( $0,255,0$ );break;
case 2: glColor3ub( $0,0,255$ );break; case 2: glColor3ub(0,0,255);break;
case 3: glColor3ub(250,0,250);break; $\}^{\text {c }}$
glTranslatef(i*3.0,0,-j * 3.0)
glCallList(snowman_display_list); glPopMatrix();
\}


## Select/Hit

- use small region around cursor for viewport
- assign per-object integer keys (names)
- redraw in special mode
- store hit list of objects in region
- examine hit list
- OpenGL support


## Viewport

- small rectangle around cursor
- change coord sys so fills viewport

- why rectangle instead of point?
- people aren't great at positioning mouse
- Fitts's Law: time to acquire a target is function of the distance to and size of the target
- allow several pixels of slop


## Viewport

- tricky to compute
- invert viewport matrix, set up new orthogonal projection
- simple utility command
- gluPickMatrix( $x, y, w, h$, viewport)
- $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ : cursor point
- w,h: sensitivity/slop (in pixels)

- push old setup first, so can pop it later


## Render Modes

- gIRenderMode(mode)
- GL_RENDER: normal color buffer - default
- GL_SELECT: selection mode for picking
- (GL_FEEDBACK: report objects drawn)


## Name Stack

- "names" are just integers
gllnitNames()

```
for(int i=0; i< 2; i++) {
    glPushName(i);
    for(int j=0; j < 2; j++) {
        glPushMatrix();
        glPushName(j)
        glTranslatef(i*10.0,0,j * 10.0)
        glPushName(HEAD)
        glCallList(snowManHeadDL);
        glLoadName(BODY);
        gICallList(snowManBodyDL);
        glPopName()
        glPopName();
        gIPopMatrix();
    }
    glPopName();
}
for(int \(i=0 ; i<2 ; i++)\{\)
glPushName(i);
glPushName(i);
for(int \(j=0, j<2 ; j++\) )
gIPushName( \(j\) ):
gITranslatef( \({ }^{*}\) * \(10.0,0, \mathrm{j}\) * 10.0)
glPushName(HEAD)
gICallList(snowManHeadDL); glLoadName(BODY);
glCallList(snowManBodyDL);
glPopName();
\}
\}
http://www.lighthouse3d.com/opengl/picking/
```


## Hierarchical Names Example

## Hit List

- gISelectBuffer(buffersize, *buffer)
- where to store hit list data
- on hit, copy entire contents of name stack to output buffer.
- hit record
- number of names on stack
- minimum and minimum depth of object vertices
- depth lies in the $z$-buffer range [ 0,1 ]
- multiplied by $2^{\wedge} 32-1$ then rounded to nearest int


## Integrated vs. Separate Pick Function

- integrate: use same function to draw and pick
- simpler to code
- name stack commands ignored in render mode
- separate: customize functions for each
- potentially more efficient
- can avoid drawing unpickable objects


## Select/Hit

- advantages
- faster
- OpenGL support means hardware accel
- only do clipping work, no shading or rasterization
- flexible precision
- size of region controllable
- flexible architecture
- custom code possible, e.g. guaranteed frame rate
- disadvantages
- more complex


## Hybrid Picking

- select/hit approach: fast, coarse
- object-level granularity
- manual ray intersection: slow, precise
- exact intersection point
- hybrid: both speed and precision
- use select/hit to find object
- then intersect ray with that object


## OpenGL Picking Hints

- gluUnproject
- transform window coordinates to object coordinates given current projection and modelview matrices
- use to create ray into scene from cursor location
- call gluUnProject twice with same ( $x, y$ ) mouse location
- $\mathrm{z}=$ near: $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, 0)$
- $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{far}:(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, 1)$
- subtract near result from far result to get direction vector for ray
- use this ray for line/polygon intersection


## Picking and P4

- you must implement true 3D picking!
- you will not get credit if you just use 2D information



## Collision Detection

- do objects collide/intersect?
- static, dynamic
- simple case: picking as collision detection
- check if ray cast from cursor position collides with any object in scene
- simple shooting
- projectile arrives instantly, zero travel time
- better: projectile and target move over time
- see if collides with object during trajectory


## Collision Detection Applications

- determining if player hit wall/floor/obstacle
- terrain following (floor), maze games (walls)
- stop them walking through it
- determining if projectile has hit target
- determining if player has hit target
- punch/kick (desired), car crash (not desired)
- detecting points at which behavior should change
- car in the air returning to the ground
- cleaning up animation
- making sure a motion-captured character's feet do not pass through the floor
- simulating motion
- physics, or cloth, or something else


## Naive General Collision Detection

- for each object $\boldsymbol{i}$ containing polygons $\boldsymbol{p}$
- test for intersection with object $j$ containing polygons $q$
- for polyhedral objects, test if object $\boldsymbol{i}$ penetrates surface of $\boldsymbol{j}$
- test if vertices of $\boldsymbol{i}$ straddle polygon $\boldsymbol{q}$ of $\boldsymbol{j}$
- if straddle, then test intersection of polygon $\boldsymbol{q}$ with polygon $\boldsymbol{p}$ of object $\boldsymbol{i}$
- very expensive! $O\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$


## From Simple to Complex

- boundary check
- perimeter of world vs. viewpoint or objects
- 2D/3D absolute coordinates for bounds
- simple point in space for viewpoint/objects
- set of fixed barriers
- walls in maze game
- 2D/3D absolute coordinate system
- set of moveable objects
- one object against set of items
- missile vs. several tanks
- multiple objects against each other
- punching game: arms and legs of players
- room of bouncing balls


## Choosing an Algorithm

- primary factor: geometry of colliding objects
- "object" could be a point, or line segment
- object could be specific shape: sphere, triangle, cube
objects can be concave/convex, solid/hollow, deformable/rigid, manifold/non-manifold
- secondary factor: way in which objects move
- different algorithms for fast or slow moving objects
- different algorithms depending on how frequently the object must be updated
- other factors: speed, simplicity, robustness


## Robustness

- for our purposes, collision detection code is robust if - doesn't crash or infinite loop on any case that might occur
- better if it doesn't fail on any case at all, even if the case is supposed to be "impossible"
- always gives some answer that is meaningful, or explicitly reports that it cannot give an answer
- can handle many forms of geometry
- can detect problems with the input geometry, particularly if that geometry is supposed to meet some conditions (such as convexity)
- robustness is remarkably hard to obtain

Types of Geometry

- points
- lines, rays and line segments
- spheres, cylinders and cones
- cubes, rectilinear boxes
- AABB: axis aligned bounding box
- OBB: oriented bounding box, arbitrary alignment
- k-dops - shapes bounded by planes at fixed orientations
- convex meshes - any mesh can be triangulated
- concave meshes can be broken into convex chunks, by hand
- triangle soup
- more general curved surfaces, but often not used in games


## Fundamental Design Principles

- several principles to consider when designing collision detection strategy
- if more than one test available, with different costs: how do you combine them?
- how do you avoid unnecessary tests?
- how do you make tests cheaper?


## Fundamental Design Principles

- fast simple tests first, eliminate many potential collisions
- test bounding volumes before testing individual triangles
- exploit locality, eliminate many potential collisions
- use cell structures to avoid considering distant objects
- use as much information as possible about geometry
- spheres have special properties that speed collision testing
- exploit coherence between successive tests
- things don't typically change much between two frames


## Player-Wall Collisions

- first person games must prevent the player from walking through walls and other obstacles
- most general case: player and walls are polygonal meshes
- each frame, player moves along path not known in advance
- assume piecewise linear: straight steps on each frame
- assume player's motion could be fast


## Ways to Improve

- even seemingly simple problem of determining if the player hit the wall reveals a wealth of techniques
- collision proxies
- spatial data structures to localize
- finding precise collision times
- responding to collisions


## Stupid Algorithm

- on each step, do a general mesh-to-mesh intersection test to find out if the player intersects the wall
- if they do, refuse to allow the player to move
- problems with this approach? how can we improve:
in speed?
in accuracy?
in response?


## Why Proxies Work

- proxies exploit facts about human perception
- we are extraordinarily bad at determining correctness of collision between two complex objects
- the more stuff is happening, and the faster it happens, the more problems we have detecting errors
- players frequently cannot see themselves
- we are bad at predicting what should happen in response to a collision



## Pair Reduction

- want proxy for any moving object requiring collision detection
- before pair of objects tested in any detail, quickly test if proxies intersect
- when lots of moving objects, even this quick bounding sphere test can take too long: $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ times if there are N objects
- reducing this $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ problem is called pair reduction
- pair testing isn't a big issue until $\mathrm{N}>50$ or so...


## Spatial Data Structures

- can only hit something that is close
- spatial data structures tell you what is close to object
- uniform grid, octrees, kd-trees, BSP trees, OBB trees, $k$-dop trees
- for player-wall problem, typically use same spatial data structure as for rendering
- BSP trees most common
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## Optimization Structures

- all of these optimization structures can be used in either 2D or 3D
- packing in memory may affect caching and performance


## Cell-Portal Collisions

- keep track which cell/s player is currently intersecting
- can have more than one if the player straddles a cell boundary
- always use a proxy (bounding volume) for tracking cells
- also keep track of which portals the player is straddling
- player can only enter new cell through portal
- on each frame
- intersect the player with the current cell walls and contents (because they're solid)
- intersect the player with the portals
- if the player intersects a portal, check that they are considered "in" the neighbor cell
- if the player no longer straddles a portal, they have just left a cell


## Exploiting Coherence

- player normally doesn't move far between frames
- cells they intersected the last time are - probably the same cells they intersect now
- or at least they are close
- aim is to track which cells the player is in without doing a full search each time
- easiest to exploit with a cell portal structure


## Interval Halving

- search through time for the point at which the objects collide
- know when objects were not penetrating (last frame)
- know when they are penetrating (this frame)
- thus have upper and lower bound on collision time
- later than last frame, earlier than this frame
- do a series of tests to bring bounds closer together
- each test checks for collision at midpoint of current time interval
- if collision, midpoint becomes new upper bound
- If not, midpoint becomes new lower bound
- keep going until the bounds are the same (or as accurate as desired)


## Interval Halving Discussion

- advantages
- finds accurate collisions in time and space, which may be essential
- not too expensive
- disadvantages
- takes longer than hack (but note that time is bounded, and you get to control it)
- may not work for fast moving objects and thin obstacles
- method of choice for many applications


## Managing Fast Moving Objects

- movement line
- test line segment representing motion of object center
- pros: works for large obstacles, cheap
- cons: may still miss collisions. how?
- conservative prediction
- only move objects as far as you can be sure to catch collision
- largest conservative step is smallest distance divided by the highest speed - clearly could be very small
- assume maximum velocity, smallest feature size
- increase temporal and spatial sampling rate
- pros: will find all collisions
cons: may be expensive, how to pick step size
- simple alternative: just miss the hard cases
- player may not notice!



## Temporal Sampling

- subtle point: collision detection is about the algorithms for finding collisions in time as much as space
- temporal sampling
- aliasing: can miss collision completely!


| Related Reading |
| :---: |
| - Real-Time Rendering |
| - Tomas Moller and Eric Haines |
| - on reserve in CICSR reading room |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
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