CPSC 213

Introduction to Computer Systems

Unit 1e Procedures and the Stack

Reading

Companion

•2.8

Textbook

• Procedures, Out-of-Bounds Memory References and Buffer Overflows

• 3.7, 3.12

Local Variables of a Procedure

```
public class A {
    public static void b () {
        int l0 = 0;
        int l1 = 1;
    }
}
public class Foo {
    static void foo () {
        A.b ();
    }
} Java
```

Can I0 and I1 be allocated statically (i.e., by the compiler)?

- [A] Yes
- [B] Yes, but only by eliminating recursion
- [C] Yes, but more than just recursion must be eliminated
- [D] No, no change to the language can make this possible

Dynamic Allocation of Locals

void b () {
 int l0 = 0;
 int l1 = 1;
}
void foo () {
 b ();
}

Lifetime of a local

starts when procedure is called and ends when procedure returns


allocation and deallocation are implicitly part of procedure call


Should we allocate locals from the heap?

- the heap is where Java new and C malloc allocate dynamic storage
- could we use the heap for locals?
 - [A] Yes
 - [B] Yes, but it would be less efficient to do so
 - [C] No

Procedure Storage Needs

▶ frame		frame	
 local variables 	pointer	local 0	
 saved registers 		local 1	local variables
- return address		local 2	
 arguments 		ret addr	saved registers
access through offs	arg 0		
 just like structs with bas 	arg 1	arguments	
		arg 2	
simple example	0x1000 pointer		
	0x1000	local 0	local variables
• two local vars	0x1004	local 1	
 saved return address 	0x1008	ret addr	saved register





Runtime Stack and Activation Frames

Runtime Stack

- like the heap, but optimized for procedures
- one per thread
- grows "up" from higher addresses to lower ones

Activation Frame

- an "object" that stores variables in procedure's local scope
 - local variables and formal arguments of the procedure
 - temporary values such as saved registers (e.g., return address) and link to previous frame
- size and relative position of variables within frame is known statically

Stack pointer

- register reserved to point to activation frame of current procedure
- SM213 convention: r5
- accessing locals and args static offset from r5, the stack pointer (sp)
 - locals are accessed exactly like instance variables; r5 is pointer to containing "object"

Compiling a Procedure Call / Return

Procedure Prologue

- code generated by compiler to execute just before procedure starts
- allocates activation frame and changes stack pointer
 - subtract frame size from the stack pointer r5
- saves register values into frame as needed; save r6 always

Procedure Epilogue

- code generated by compiler to execute just before a procedure returns
- restores saved register values
- deallocates activation frame and restore stack pointer
 - add frame size to stack pointer r5

Snippet 8: Caller vs. Callee

foo: deca r5	1	allocate frame save r6
gpc \$6, r6	2	call b()
ld (r5), r6 # ra = *sp inca r5 # sp+=4 to discard ra j (r6) # return	6	restore r6 deallocate frame return
b: deca r5	3	save r6 and allocate frame
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	4	body
inca r5 inca r5 ld (r5), r6 j (r6) # sp += 4 to discard I0 # sp += 4 to discard I1 # ra = *sp # sp += 4 to discard ra # sp += 4 to discard ra	5	deallocate frame return

Optimized Procedure Call / Return

Eliminate Save/Restore r6 For Leaf Procedures

- only need to save/restore r6 if procedure calls another procedure
- otherwise r6 is untouched, no need to save to stack
- can determine statically


Procedure Prologue

- code generated by compiler to execute just before procedure starts
- allocates activation frame and changes stack pointer
 - subtract frame size from the stack pointer r5
- saves registers into frame as needed; saves r6 only if procedure is not a leaf

Procedure Epilogue

- code generated by compiler to execute just before a procedure returns
- restores any saved register values
- deallocates activation frame and restore stack pointer
 - add frame size to stack pointer r5

Snippet 8: Optimized Leaf Procedure



Arguments and Return Value

return value


• SM213 convention: in register r0

arguments

- in registers or on stack
- if on stack, must be passed in from caller

Procedure Storage Needs

- allocate/deallocate stack frame for callee is done by combination of caller and callee
 - caller: save/restore registers r0-r3
 - if need their current values after call
 - caller: arguments
 - if passed on stack
 - callee: locals
 - callee: save/restore registers r4-r7
 - if will change them during call
 - incl return address (if not leaf) r6
 - (r5 should only be used for stack)



Creating the stack

Every thread starts with a hidden procedure

• its name is start (or sometimes something like crt0)

The start procedure

- allocates memory for stack
- initializes the stack pointer
- calls main() (or whatever the thread's first procedure is)

For example in Snippet 8

- the "main" procedure is "foo"
- we'll statically allocate stack at addresses 0x1000-0x1024 to keep simulation simple

```
.pos 0x1000
stack: .long 0x00000000
.long 0x00000000
```

```
...
```

Snippet 9

```
public class A {
   static int add (int a, int b) {
    return a+b;
   }
}
public class foo {
   static int s;
   static void foo () {
      s = add (1,2);
   }
} Java
```

```
int add (int a, int b) {
    return a+b;
}
int s;
void foo () {
    s = add (1,2);
}    C
```

Formal arguments

- act as local variables for called procedure
- supplied values by caller

Actual arguments

- values supplied by caller
- bound to formal arguments for call


Arguments in Registers (S9-args-regs.s)

.pos 0x2	00	
foo:	deca r5	# sp-=4
	st r6, (r5)	# save r6 to stack
		# $\arg U(rU) = I$
	,	$# \arg 1 (r1) = 2$
	gpc \$6, r6	# r6 = pc
	5	# goto add ()
	ld \$s, r1	# r1 = address of s
	st r0, (r1)	# s = add (1,2)
	ld <mark>0x0</mark> (r5), r6	# restore r6 from stack
	inca r5	# sp+=4
	j <mark>0x0</mark> (r6)	# return
add:	add r1, r0	# return (r0) = a (r0) + b (r1)
	j <mark>0x0</mark> (r6)	# return

Arguments on Stack (S9-args-stack.s)

.pos 0x2	200	
foo:	deca r5	# sp-=4
	st r6,(r5)	
	. ,	# r0 = 2
	deca r5	# sp-=4
	st r0,(r5)	# save arg1 on stack
	ld \$ <mark>0x1, r</mark> 0	# r0 = 1
	deca r5	# sp-=4
	st r0, <mark>(r5)</mark>	# save arg0 on stack
	gpc \$6, r6	# r6 = pc
	i add	# goto add ()
	inca r5	# discard arg0 from stack
	inca r5	# discard arg1 from stack
	ld \$s, r1	# r1 = address of s
a.	st r0, (r1)	# s = add (1,2)
	ld (r5), r6	# restore r6 from stack
	inca r5	# sp+=4
	j (r6)	# return
.pos 0x3	3	
add:	ld <mark>0x0</mark> (r5), r	0 # r0 = arg0
	ld 0x4(r5), r1	# r1 = arg1
	j <mark>0x0</mark> (r6)	# return


Question



What is the value of r5 when executing in the procedure three() (in decimal)

- [A] 1964
- •[B] 2032
- [C] 1968
- [D] None of the above
- [E] I don't know

Diagram of Stack for this Example



Stack Summary

stack is managed by code that the compiler generates

- stack pointer (sp) is current top of stack (stored in r5)
 - grows from bottom up towards 0
 - push (allocate) by decreasing sp value, pop (deallocate) by increasing sp value

accessing information from stack

• callee accesses local variables, saved registers, arguments as static offsets from base of stack pointer (r5)

stack frame for procedure created by mix of caller and callee work

- caller setup
 - if arguments passed through stack: allocates room for them and save them to stack
 - sets up new value of r6 return address (to next instruction in this procedure, after the jump)
 - saves registers r0-r3 to stack if expect to use values after call
 - jumps to callee code
- callee setup (prologue)
 - unless leaf procedure, allocates room for old value of r6 and saves it to stack
 - save r4, r7 to stack if they will be overwritten
 - allocates space on stack for local variables
- callee teardown (epilogue)
 - ensure return value in r0
 - deallocates stack frame space for locals
 - unless leaf procedure, restores old r6 and deallocates that space on stack
 - if previously saved, restore old r4/r7 and deallocate that space on stack
 - jump back to return address (location stored in r6)
- caller teardown
 - deallocates stack frame space for arguments
 - restore r0-r3 if previously saved to stack, deallocate that space
 - use return value (if any) in r0

Variables: a Summary

global variables

address know statically

reference variables

variable stores address of value (usually allocated dynamically)

arrays

- elements, named by index (e.g. a[i])
- address of element is base + index * size of element
 - base and index can be static or dynamic; size of element is static

instance variables

- offset to variable from start of object/struct know statically
- address usually dynamic

Iocals and arguments

- offset to variable from start of activation frame know statically
- address of stack frame is dynamic

Buffer Overflows

Security Vulnerability in Buffer Overflow

Find the bug in this program

```
void printPrefix (char* str) {
 char buf[10];
 char *bp = buf;
 // copy str up to "." input buf
 while (*str!='.')
  (bp++) = (str++);
 *bp = 0;
// read string from standard input
void getInput (char* b) {
 char* bc = b;
 int n;
 while ((n=fread(bc,1,1000,stdin))>0)
  bc+=n;
int main (int arc, char** argv) {
 char input[1000];
 puts ("Starting.");
 getInput (input);
 printPrefix (input);
 puts ("Done.");
}
```

Possible array (buffer) overflow

How the Vulnerability is Created

The "buffer" overflow bug

• if the position of the first '.' in str is more than 10 bytes from the beginning of str, this loop will write portions of str into memory beyond the end of buf

```
void printPrefix (char* str) {
    char buf[10];
    // copy str up to "." input buf
    while (*str!='.')
    *(bp++) = *(str++);
    *bp = 0;
```

Giving an attacker control

• the size and value of str are inputs to this program

```
getInput (input);
printPrefix (input);
```

 if an attacker can provide the input, she can cause the bug to occur and can determine what values are written into memory beyond the end of buf

the ugly

- buf is located on the stack
- so the attacker now as the ability to write to portion of the stack below buf
- the return address is stored on the stack below buf


```
void printPrefix (char* str) {
    char buf[10];
    char *bp = buf;

    // copy str up to "." input buf
    while (*str!='.')
    *(bp++) = *(str++);
    *bp = 0;
}
```

why is this so ugly

- the attacker can change printPrefix's return address
- what power does this give the attacker?

The Stack when printPrefix is running



Mounting the Attack

Goal of the attack

- exploit input-based buffer overflow bug
- to inject code into program (the virus/worm) and cause this code to execute
- the worm then loads additional code onto compromised machine

The approach

- attack a standard program for which the attacker has the code
- scan the code looking for bugs that contain this vulnerability
- reverse-engineer the bug to determine what input triggers it
- create an attack and send it

The attack input string has three parts

- a portion that writes memory up to the return address
- a new value of the return address
- the worm code itself that is stored at this address