CPSC 213

Introduction to Computer Systems

Unit 1c

Instance Variables and Dynamic Allocation

Static Memory Layout

0x1000: value of d0.e

0x1004: value of d0.f

Static Memory Layout

0x1000: value of d1

• the variable that stores the struct pointer may be static or dynamic

• the struct itself is allocated when the program calls malloc

Reading

- 2 4 4-2 4 6

Companion

- Textbook
- 2ed: 3.9.1, 9.9.1-9.9.2, 3.10
- 1ed: 3.9.1, 10.9.1-10.9.2, 3.11

struct D {

runtime allocation of dynamic struct

0x1000: 0x2000

0x2000: value of d1->e 0x2004: value of d1->f

d1 = (struct D*) malloc (sizeof(struct D));

assume that this code allocates the struct at address 0x2000

0-

int e; int f;

• then variable name chooses a variable in that object (struct)

Java vs C

Instance Variables

Class X

int i:

static int i:

created dynamically

struct D { int e; int f;

Struct Access

Static and dynamic differ by an extra memory access

dynamic structs have dynamic address that must be read from memory

• in both cases the offset to variable from base of struct is static



load d1

 $m[r[1]] \leftarrow r[2]$

The revised load/store base plus offset instructions

dynamic base address in a register plus a static offset (displacement)

The Revised Load-Store ISA

Static structs are allocated by the compiler

Dynamic structs are allocated at runtime

Machine format for base + offset

Let's extend the example to see

• what might happen in bar()

struct MBuf * receive () {

struct MRuf* mb = receive ():

return mBuf;

void foo () {

free (mb);

void MBuf* aMB

aMB = mb:

void bat () {

aMB->x=0;

void bar (MBuf* mb)

Struct Allocation

struct D {

struct D d0;

struct D* d1;

int e; int f;

- note that the offset will in our case always be a multiple of 4
- also note that we only have a single hex digit in instruction to store it
- and so, we will store offset / 4 in the instruction
- The Revised ISA

Name	Semantics	Assembly	Machine
load immediate	r[d] ← v	ld \$v, rd	0d vvvvvvvv
load base+offset	$r[d] \leftarrow m[r[s]+(o=p*4)]$	ld o(rs), rd	1psd
load indexed	$r[d] \leftarrow m[r[s]+4*r[i]]$	ld (rs,ri,4), rd	2sid
store base+offset	$m[r[d]+(o=p*4)] \leftarrow r[s]$	st rs, o(rd)	3spd
store indexed	$m[r[d]+4*r[i]] \leftarrow r[s]$	st rs, (rd,ri,4)	4sdi

and why a subsequent call to bat() would expose a serious bug

This statement writes to

unallocated (or re-allocated) memory

struct MBuf* mBuf = (struct MBuf*) malloc (sizeof (struct MBuf));

Dynamic Allocation

Dynamic Allocation in C and Java

- Programs can allocate memory dynamically
- allocation reserves a range of memory for a purpose
- in Java, instances of classes are allocated by the new statement
- in C, byte ranges are allocated by call to malloc function
- Wise management of memory requires deallocation
- memory is a scare resource

 $m[r[0]] \leftarrow r[1]$

- deallocation frees previously allocated memory for later re-use
- Java and C take different approaches to deallocation
- How is memory deallocated in Java?
- Deallocation in C
- programs must explicitly deallocate memory by calling the free function
- free frees the memory immediately, with no check to see if its still in use

Avoiding Dangling Pointers in C

- Understand the problem
- when allocation and free appear in different places in your code
- for example, when a procedure returns a pointer to something it allocates
- Avoid the problem cases, if possible
- restrict dynamic allocation/free to single procedure, if possible
- don't write procedures that return pointers, if possible
- use local variables instead, where possible
- we'll see later that local variables are automatically allocated on call and freed on return

Engineer for memory management, if necessary

- define rules for which procedure is responsible for deallocation, if possible
- implement explicit reference counting if multiple potential deallocators
- · define rules for which pointers can be stored in data structures
- use coding conventions and documentation to ensure rules are followed

Considering Explicit Delete

Let's look at this example

Structs in C (S4-instance-var)

struct D d0:

d0.e = d0.f

dynamic struct D* d1;

• dynamic | d1->e = d1->f;

struct D {

d0.e = d0.f;

r[0] ← 0x1000

 $m[r[0]] \leftarrow r[1]$

 $r[1] \leftarrow m[r[0]+4]$

ld \$0×1000, r0 # r0 = address of d0 ld 4(r0), r1 # r0 = d0.f st r1, (r0) # d0.e = d0.f

int e; int f;

int e; int f;

A struct is a

Declaration

static

Access

static

public int e

public int f;

· collection of variables of arbitrary type, allocated and accessed together

d1->e = d1->f;

 $m[r[1]] \leftarrow r[2]$

← m[r[0]] ← m[r[1]+4]

load d1

similar to declaring a Java class without methods

name is "struct" plus name provided by programer

X anX

Object instance of X

int j;

structs are named variable groups, instance is also called a struct

Variables that are an instance of a class or struct

Java: objects are instances of non-static variables of a class

• requires a reference to a particular object (pointer to a struct)

• many instances of the same variable can co-exist

Accessing an instance variable

```
struct MBuf * receive () {
    struct MBuf* mBuf = (struct MBuf*) malloc (sizeof (struct MBuf)):
    return mBuf
    struct MBuf* mb = receive ():
    free (mb);
• is it safe to free mb where it is freed?
```

- what bad thing can happen?

Avoiding dynamic allocation

If procedure returns value of dynamically allocated object

- allocate that object in caller and pass pointer to it to callee
- good if caller can allocate on stack or can do both malloc / free itself

```
struct MBuf * receive () {
 struct MBuf* mBuf = (struct MBuf*) malloc (sizeof (struct MBuf))
void foo () {
 struct MRuf* mb = receive ():
 bar (mb);
free (mb);
                                         void receive (struct MBuf* mBuf) {
                                          void foo () {
                                           receive (&mh)
```

Dangling Pointers

A dangling pointer is

- a pointer to an object that has been freed
- · could point to unallocated memory or to another object
- Why they are a problem • program thinks its writing to object of type X, but isn't
- it may be writing to an object of type Y, consider this sequence of events

(1) Before free: (2) After free: aMB: 0x2000 0 aMB: 0x2000 0x2000: free memory

0x2000: a struct mbuf (3) After another malloc ngling pointer that is 0x2000: another thing

Reference Counting

- Use reference counting to track object use
- any procedure that stores a reference increments the count
- any procedure that discards a reference decrements the count
- the object is freed when count goes to zero

```
struct MBuf* malloc_Mbuf () {
    struct MBuf* mb = (struct MBuf* mb) malloc (sizeof (struct MBuf));
    mb->ref_count = 1;
    return mb;
}

void keep_reference (struct MBuf* mb) {
    mb->ref_count ++;
}

void free_reference (struct MBuf* mb) {
    mb->ref_count --;
    if (mb->ref_count ==0)
    free (mb);
}
```

The example code then uses reference counting like this

```
struct MBuf * receive () {
    struct MBuf* mBuf = malloc_Mbuf ();
    ...
    return mBuf;
}

void foo () {
    struct MBuf* mb = receive ();
    bar (mb);
    free_reference (mb);
}

void MBuf* aMB = 0;

void bar (MBuf* mb) {
    if (aMB!= 0)
        free_reference (aMB);
    aMB = mb;
    keep_reference (aMB);
}
```

Garbage Collection

- In Java objects are deallocated implicitly
- the program never says free
- the runtime system tracks every object reference
- when an object is unreachable then it can be deallocated
- a garbage collector runs periodically to deallocate unreachable objects
- Advantage compared to explicit delete

no dangling pointers

```
MBuf receive () {
   MBuf mBuf = new MBuf ();
   ...
   return mBuf;
}

void foo () {
   MBuf mb = receive ();
   bar (mb);
}
```

Discussion

▶ What are the advantages of C's explicit delete

What are the advantages of Java's garbage collection

Is it okay to ignore deallocation in Java programs?

Memory Management in Java

Memory leak

- occurs when the garbage collector fails to reclaim unneeded objects
- memory is a scarce resource and wasting it can be a serous bug
- its huge problem for long-running programs where the garbage accumulates

How is it possible to create a memory leak in Java?

- Java can only reclaim an object if it is unreachable
- but, unreachability is only an approximation of whether an object is needed
- an unneeded object in a hash table, for example, is never reclaimed

The solution requires engineering

- just as in C, you must plan for memory deallocation explicitly
- unlike C, however, if you make a mistake, you can not create a dangling pointer
- in Java you remove the references, Java reclaims the objects

Further reading

• http://java.sun.com/docs/books/performance/1st_edition/html/JPAppGC.fm.html

Ways to Avoid Unintended Retention

ENRICHMENT: You are not required to know this

- imperative approach with explicit reference annulling
- explicitly set references to NULL when referent is longer needed
- add close() or free() methods to classes you create and call them explicitly
- use try-finally block to ensure that these *clean-up* steps are always taken
- these are imperative approaches; drawbacks?
- declarative approach with reference objects
- refer to objects without requiring their retention
- store object references that the garbage collector can reclaim

```
WeakReference<Widget> weakRef = new WeakReference<Widget>(widget);
Widget widget = weakRef.get() // may return NULL
```

- different levels of reference stickiness
 - discarded only when new allocations put pressure on available memory
- weak discarded on next GC cycle when no stronger reference exists
- phantom unretrievable (get always returns NULL), used to register with GC reference queue

Using Reference Objects

ENRICHMENT: You are not required to know this

- Creating a reclaimable reference
- the Reference class is a template that be instantiated for any reference
- store instances of this class instead of the original reference

```
void bar (MBuf mb) {
   aMB = new WeakReference<Mbuf>(mb);
}
```

- allows the garbage collector to collect the MBuf even if aMB points to it
- This does not reclaim the weak reference itself
- while the GC will reclaim the MBuf, it can't reclaim the WeakReference
- the problem is that aMB stores a reference to WeakReference
- not a big issue here, there is only one
- but, what if we store a large collection of weak references?

Using Reference Queues

ENRICHMENT: You are not required to know this

- The problem
- reference objects will be stored in data structures
- reclaiming them requires first removing them from these data structures
- The reference queue approach
- a reference object can have an associated reference queue
- the GC adds reference objects to the queue when it collects their referent
- your code scans the queue periodically to update referring data structures

```
ReferenceQueue<MBuf> refQ = new ReferenceQueue<MBuf> ();

void bar (MBuf mb) {
   aMB = new WeakReference<Mbuf> (mb,refQ);
   }

void removeGarbage () {
   while ((WeakReference<Mbuf> ref = refQ.poll()) != null)
   // remove ref from data structure where it is stored
   if (aMB==ref)
   aMB = null;
  }
```