# Graphical Models 

Relationship between the directed \& undirected models

## Two directions

Markov network $\Rightarrow$ Bayes-net<br>Markov network $\Leftarrow$ Bayes-net

## From Bayesian to Markov networks

build an I-map for the following
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## From Bayesian to Markov networks

moralize \& keep the skeleton

for moral $\mathcal{G}$, we get a perfect map $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}])=\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G})$

- directed and undirected CI tests are equivalent
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- in both directed and undirected models $X_{i} \perp$ every other var. $\mid M B\left(X_{i}\right)$
- connect each node to its Markov blanket



## From Bayesian to Markov networks

- in both directed and undirected models $X_{i} \perp$ every other var. $\mid M B\left(X_{i}\right)$
- connect each node to its Markov blanket

- gives the same moralized graph
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minimal examples 1.
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## From Markov to Bayesian networks

minimal examples 3.


§ $B \perp C \mid A$


$$
\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G}) \subset \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H})
$$
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minimal examples 3.
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## From Markov to Bayesian networks

examples 4.


```
build a minimal I-map from CIs in \mathcal{H:}
```

- pick an ordering - e.g., A,B,C,...,F
- select a minimal parent set
- have to triangulate the loops
- therefore, $\mathcal{G}$ is chordal
loops of size >3 have chords


## From Markov to Bayesian networks

## alternatively

$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ cannot have any immoralities
any non-triangulated loop of size 4 (or more) will have immoralities
therefore, $\mathcal{G}$ is chordal


> loops of size >3 have chords

## Chordal = Markov $\cap$ Bayesian networks

$\mathcal{H}$ is not chordal, then $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G}) \neq \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H})$ for every $\mathcal{G}$

- no perfect MAP in the form of Bayes-net

$\mathcal{H}$ is chordal, then $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G})=\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H})$ for some $\mathcal{G}$
- has a Bayes-net perfect map



## directed <br> undirected

- parameter-estimation is easy
- can represent causal relations
- better for encoding expert
domain knowledge
- simpler Cl semantics
- less interpretable form for local factors
- less restrictive in structural form (loops)


## Summary

- Directed to undirected:
- moralize
- Undirected to directed:
- the result will be chordal
- Chordal graphs = Markov $\bigcap$ Bayesian networks
- P-maps in both directions

