Let's Make Block Coordinate Descent Converge Faster: Faster Greedy Rules, Message-Passing, Active-Set Complexity, and Superlinear Convergence

Julie NutiniJULIE.NUTINI@GMAIL.COMUniversity of British ColumbiaISSAMOU@CS.UBC.CAUniversity of British Columbia, ServiceNow ResearchISSAMOU@CS.UBC.CAMark SchmidtSCHMIDTM@CS.UBC.CAUniversity of British Columbia, Canada CIFAR AI Chair (Amii)SCHMIDTM@CS.UBC.CA





Block Coordinate Descent (BCD)

- Block coordinate descent (BCD) is a popular optimization algorithm in ML:
 - Each iterations selects and updates a block of variables to decrease objective.



- Can make similar progress to updating all variables.
 - But for some problems, updating block is much faster than updating all variables.
- There are many choices related to choosing the block and update.
- This work: ways to make BCD converge faster.
 - Gives faster algorithm when these not significantly increase iteration cost.

Fixed Blocks vs. Variable Blocks

- Fixed blocks:
 - Choose among a fixed partition.



- Variable blocks:
 - Choose any subset of fixed size.



Fixed Blocks vs. Variable Blocks

• With random selection, fixed blocks seem to converge faster.



Random Rules vs. Greedy Gauss-Southwell Rule

- Random rule:
 - Sample among all possible blocks, each with equal probability.
- Greedy Gauss-Southwell (GS) rule:
 - Choose the block that has the largest gradient norm.



Random Rules vs. Greedy Gauss-Southwell Rule

• Greedy GS rule converges faster than random rule.



Random Rules vs. Greedy Gauss-Southwell Rule

• With greedy rule, variable blocks converge faster.



Direction of Update and Step Size.

- Gradient update:
 - Multiply gradient by a step size of 1/L (Lipshitz smoothness of block).

$$d^k = -\frac{1}{L_{b_k}} \nabla_{b_k} f(x^k)$$

- Matrix update:
 - Multiply gradient by upper bound on Hessian block with step size of 1.

$$d^{k} = -(H_{b_{k}})^{-1} \nabla_{b_{k}} f(x^{k})$$

• Newton update:

- Multiply gradient by Hessian and a step size set by backtracking.

$$d^{k} = -\alpha_{k} \left(\nabla_{b_{k}b_{k}}^{2} f(x^{k}) \right)^{-1} \nabla_{b_{k}} f(x^{k})$$

Direction of Update and Step Size

• Matrix update outperforms gradient update.



Direction of Update and Step Size

- Matrix update outperforms gradient update.
- But Newton converges faster than both.



Greedy Rules Incorporating Lipschitz Constants

- Gauss-Southwell Lipschitz (GSL) rule:
 - Augment single-coordinate greedy with Lipschitz-continuity information.



- We give several generalizations to block setting.

Greedy Rules Incorporating Lipschitz Constants

• All generalizations of GSL improved performance.



Block Size

• Should we use small blocks or big blocks?



Block Size

• Converges faster with bigger blocks.



Linear-Time Newton with Tree-Structured Blocks

- Cost of Newton is cubic in block size.
 - But certain dependency structures allow linear-time updates.

Colouring Partition



(block size of n/2 above)

Fixed Tree Partition



(block size of n/2 above)

Variable Tree Partition



(block size of $\approx 2n/3$ above)

Linear-Time Newton with Tree-Structured Blocks

- Colouring can be faster than using small blocks.
 - But trees converge faster than colouring.



Bound Constraints and Non-Smooth Regularizers

- We often use BCD with bound constraints or L1-regularizers.
 - Gradient updates can be replaced with projected-gradient (cheap).
 - Newton updates can be replaced with projected-Newton (expensive).
 - Two-metric projection allows Newton-like updates without extra cost.



- BCD identifies active variables (\bigstar) after a finite number of iterations.

• Superlinear rate with greedy rules, large-enough variable blocks, PN/TMP updates.

Let's Make Block Coordinate Descent Converge Faster: Faster Greedy Rules, Message-Passing, Active-Set Complexity, and Superlinear Convergence

Come see us on Tuesday at Poster #109.



