CPSC 340 Assignment 5 Tutorial

Questions 1 to 2.2

- *example_faces.m* shows an example of using PCA on images
- generates 5 plots:

Figure 1 - Original Images



- *example_faces.m* shows an example of using PCA on images
- generates 5 plots:



example_faces.m - Figure 2: mu = mean(X);



• example_faces.m - Figure 3: $SVD(X) = U, \Sigma, V^T$

$$W = V[1:, 1:k]$$
$$\frac{1}{2}||X - ZW||_{2}^{2}$$



Eigenvectors (Eigenfaces)

• example_faces.m - Figure 3: $SVD(X) = U, \Sigma, V^T$



Eigenvectors (Eigenfaces)



Each eigenvector is 32x32

• example_faces.m - Figure 3: $SVD(X) = U, \Sigma, V^T$

Change the value of "k" to get more eigenvectors



Eigenvectors (Eigenfaces)



Each eigenvector is 32x32



• example_faces.m - Figure 5: $\frac{1}{2}||X - ZW||_2^2$







Reconstructed X

If you re-run the script, you may get different principal components, even though all that changes between runs is the order of the training examples. What is the specific difference between the principal components that are obtained between different runs of the algorithm?



Question 1.2 - Non-Negative Matrix Factorization

non-negative constraints on W. Using $dimRedPCA_alternate$ as a template, write a function dimRedNMF that implements the non-negative matrix factorization (NMF) model. Hand in your code and hand in a plot of the latent factors (Figure 3) obtained when k = 100.

NMF is for optimizing ZW in $\frac{1}{2}||X - ZW||_2^2$ such that Z and W have non-zero terms

<pre>function [model] = dimRedPCA_alternate(X,k)</pre>
[n,d] = size(X);
% Subtract mean mu = mean(X); X = X - repmat(mu,[n 1]);
% Initialize W and Z W = randn(k,d); Z = randn(n,k);
<pre>f = (1/2)*sum(sum((X-Z*W).^2)); for iter = 1:50 fold = f;</pre>
% Update Z Z(:) = findMin(@funObjZ,Z(:),10,0,X,W);
% Update W W(:) = findMin(@funObjW,W(:),10,0,X,Z);
<pre>f = (1/2)*sum(sum((X-Z*W).^2)); fprintf('Iteration %d, loss = %.5e\n',iter,f);</pre>
if fOld - f < 1 break; end
end
<pre>model.mu = mu; model.W = W;</pre>
<pre>model.compress = @compress; model expand = @expand;</pre>
end

Question 1.2 - use NMF

a. Optimization stage

Initialize without negative values

 $-\frac{1}{2}||X-ZW||_{2}^{2}$



uses gradient descent (no constraints)

<pre>function [model] = dimRedPCA_alternate(X,k)</pre>
[n,d] = size(X);
% Subtract mean mu = mean(X); X = X - repmat(mu,[n 1]);
% Initialize W and Z W = randn(k,d);
<pre>f = (1/2)*sum(sum((X-Z*W).^2)); for iter = 1:50 fold = f;</pre>
% Update Z Z(:) = findMin(@funObjZ,Z(:),10,0,X,W);
% Update W W(:) = findMin(@funObjW,W(:),10,0,X,Z);
<pre>f = (1/2)*sum(sum((X-Z*W).^2)); fprintf('Iteration %d, loss = %.5e\n',iter,f);</pre>
if fOld - f < 1 break; end
end
model.mu = mu; model.W = W;
model.compress = @compress; model.expand = @expand:
end

Question 1.2 - use NMF

Optimization stage a.

Initialize without negative values

 $\frac{1}{2}||X-ZW||_{2}^{2}$

findMin uses gradient descent (no constraints)

Use gradient descent that enforces nonnegative parameters (findMinNN) instead!

```
function [Z] = compress(model,X)
[t,d] = size(X);
mu = model.mu;
W = model.W;
X = X - repmat(mu,[t 1]);
% We didn't enforce that W was ort
```

Z = X*W'*inv(W*W'); ←

end

Question 1.2 - use NMF

b. Compress function Computes *Z* with *W* fixed.

Uses least squares - we don't want that!

Use gradient descent that enforces nonnegative parameters (**findMinNN**) instead!

- NMF results in sparse matrices for *Z* and *W* since negative values become zero
- However, the compression ratio is poor the non-negative constraint strongly limits the model power

<pre>function [model] = dimRedPCA_alternate(X,k)</pre>
[n,d] = size(X);
% Subtract mean mu = mean(X); X = X - repmat(mu,[n 1]);
<pre>% Initialize W and Z W = randn(k,d); Z = randn(n,k);</pre>
<pre>f = (1/2)*sum(sum((X-Z*W).^2)); for iter = 1:50 fold = f;</pre>
%
% Update W W(:) = findMin(@funObjW,W(:),10,0,X,Z);
<pre>f = (1/2)*sum(sum((X-Z*W).^2)); fprintf('Iteration %d, loss = %.5e\n',iter,f);</pre>
<pre>if f0ld - f < 1 break; end end</pre>
<pre>model.mu = mu; model.W = W; model.compress = @compress; model.expand = @expand; end</pre>

Question 1.3 -

Use L1 regularization

a. Optimization stage

We can have negative values!

 $\frac{1}{2}||X-ZW||_{2}^{2}$

findMin uses gradient descent (no constraints)

Use L1-regularized gradient descent (findMinL1) instead!

function [Z] = compress(model,X)
[t,d] = size(X);
mu = model.mu;
W = model.W;

X = X - repmat(mu,[t 1]); % We didn't enforce that W was ort Z = X*W'*inv(W*W'); end

Question 1.3 - use L1

Regularization

b. Compress function Computes *Z* with *W* fixed.

Uses least squares - no constraints!

Use L1-regularized gradient descent (findMinL1) instead!

- L1 Regularization results in sparse matrices for *Z* and *W*
- The compression ratio is better for using L1 than for using NMF

Question 2 - Recommender Systems

If you run the function $example_movies$, it will load a dataset consisting of movie ratings for different users. The vector y contains the ratings, the first column of X contains the user numbers, and the second column of X contains the movie numbers. The script runs several simple baseline methods, and reports their performance on the validation set.



- No features being represented
- But we can extract latent features that represent the relationships between users, movies, and ratings

We have no features for the user/movies, we must predict the labels based on other labels (collaborative filtering). One way to improve on these methods is with a latent-factor model. Consider a model of the form

 $y_{um} = b_u + b_m + w_m^T z_u,$



 $n \times 1$

We have no features for the user/movies, we must predict the labels based on other labels (collaborative filtering). One way to improve on these methods is with a latent-factor model. Consider a model of the form



We have no features for the user/movies, we must predict the labels based on other labels (collaborative filtering). One way to improve on these methods is with a latent-factor model. Consider a model of the form



Consider training this based on the squared loss function, which means that our error for a particular user u and movie m is given by

$$f(b_u, b_m, w_m, z_u) = \frac{1}{2}(y_{um} - (b_u + b_m + w_m^T z_u))^2.$$

Minimize this objective function

• To optimize this function we can use gradient descent, which involves computing the partial derivatives w.r.t to the **unknown variables**.

$$f(b_u, b_m, w_m, z_u) = \frac{1}{2}(y_{um} - (b_u + b_m + w_m^T z_u))^2.$$

Using the notation $r_{um} = (y_{um} - (b_u + b_m + w_m^T z_u))$, derive the partial derivative of this expression with respect to (i) b_u , (ii) b_m , (iii) $(w_m)_i$ for a particular element *i* of w_m , and (iv) $(z_u)_i$ for a particular element *i* of z_u .

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial b_u} = ?$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial b_m} = ?$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial (w_m)_i} = ?$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial (z_u)_i} = ?$$

Question 2.1 - Optimization problem example



Question 2.1 - Optimization problem example



$$P(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x_1) - g(x_2))^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x_1 - x_2)^2$$

Question 2.1 - Optimization problem example



$$P(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x_1) - g(x_2))^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x_1 - x_2)^2$$
$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_1} = x_1 - x_2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_1}}(-\frac{\sqrt{x_1}}{2} + \frac{x_2^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2})$$
$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_2} = -x_1 + 2x_2(x_2^2 - \sqrt{x_1} + 1) + x_2$$

Algorithm

- 1. Initialize random values for x_1, x_2
- 2. Update x_1 , x_2 as follows,

$$x_1 := x_1 - \alpha \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_1}$$

 $\alpha > 0$

$$x_2 := x_2 - \alpha \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_2}$$

learning rate

```
% Optimization
maxIter = 10;
alpha = 1e-4;
for iter = 1:maxIter
```

```
% Compute gradient
gu = zeros(n,1);
gm = zeros(d,1);
gN = zeros(k,d);
gZ = zeros(n,k);
for i = 1:nRatings
```

```
% Make prediction for this rating based on current mode
u = X(i,1);
m = X(i,2);
yhat = bu(u) + bm(m) + W(:,m)'*Z(u,:)';
```

```
% Add gradient of this prediction to overall gradien
% (follows from chain rule)
r = y(i)-yhat;
gu(u) = gu(u) - r;
gm(m) = gm(m) - r;
```

```
gW(:,m) = gW(:,m) - r^*Z(u,:)';

gZ(u,:) = gZ(u,:) - r^*W(:,m)';
```

```
end
```

```
% Take a small step in the negative gradient directions
bu = bu - alpha*gu;
bm = bm - alpha*gm;
W = W - alpha*gW;
Z = Z - alpha*gZ;
% Compute and output function value
f = 0;
for i = 1:nRatings
    u = X(i,1);
    m = X(i,2);
    yhat = bu(u) + bm(m) + W(:,m)'*Z(u,:)';
    f = f + (1/2)*(y(i) - yhat)^2;
end
fprintf('Iter = %d, f = %e\n',iter,f);
```

Question 2.2 - Gradient descent

- Gradient Descent
 - Uses the complete dataset per iteration
 - Very costly for datasets with over million samples

maxIter = 10: alpha = 1e-4;for iter = 1:maxIter gu = zeros(n,1); gm = zeros(d,1); gW = zeros(k,d); gZ = zeros(n,k); for i = 1:nRatings u = X(i,1);m = X(i,2);yhat = $bu(u) + bm(m) + W(:,m)'^{*}Z(u,:)';$ r = y(i)-yhat; gu(u) = gu(u) - r;gm(m) = gm(m) - r; $gW(:,m) = gW(:,m) - r^{*}Z(u,:)';$ $gZ(u,:) = gZ(u,:) - r^*W(:,m)';$ end bu = bu - alpha*gu; bm = bm - alpha*gm; W = W - alpha*gW; Z = Z - alpha*gZ; f = 0: for i = 1:nRatings u = X(i,1);m = X(i,2);yhat = bu(u) + bm(m) + W(:,m)'*Z(u,:)'; $f = f + (1/2)^*(y(i) - yhat)^2;$ end fprintf('Iter = %d, f = %e\n',iter,f);

end

Question 2.2 - Gradient descent

- Gradient Descent
 - Uses the complete dataset per iteration
 - Very costly for datasets with over million samples

% Optimization maxIter = 10; alpha = 1e-4; for iter = 1:maxIter

```
gu = zeros(n,1);
gm = zeros(d,1);
gW = zeros(k,d);
gZ = zeros(n,k);
for i = 1:nRatings 🚽
    u = X(i,1);
    m = X(i,2);
    yhat = bu(u) + bm(m) + W(:,m)'^{*}Z(u,:)';
    r = y(i) - yhat;
    gu(u) = gu(u) - r;
    gm(m) = gm(m) - r;
    gW(:,m) = gW(:,m) - r^{*}Z(u,:)';
    gZ(u,:) = gZ(u,:) - r^*W(:,m)';
end
bu = bu - alpha*gu;
bm = bm - alpha*gm;
W = W - alpha*gW;
Z = Z - alpha*gZ;
f = 0:
for i = 1:nRatings
    u = X(i,1);
    m = X(i,2);
    yhat = bu(u) + bm(m) + W(:,m)'*Z(u,:)';
    f = f + (1/2)^*(y(i) - yhat)^2;
end
```

fprintf('Iter = %d, f = %e\n',iter,f);

end

Question 2.2 - Gradient descent

- Gradient Descent
 - Uses the complete dataset per iteration
 - ----- Very costly for datasets with over million samples

maxIter = 10: alpha = 1e-4;for iter = 1:maxIter gu = zeros(n,1); gm = zeros(d,1); gW = zeros(k,d); gZ = zeros(n,k); for i = 1:nRatings u = X(i,1);m = X(i,2);yhat = $bu(u) + bm(m) + W(:,m)'^{*}Z(u,:)';$ r = y(i) - yhat;gu(u) = gu(u) - r;gm(m) = gm(m) - r; $gW(:,m) = gW(:,m) - r^*Z(u,:)';$ $gZ(u,:) = gZ(u,:) - r^*W(:,m)';$ end bu = bu - alpha*gu; bm = bm - alpha*gm; W = W - alpha*gW; Z = Z - alpha*gZ; f = 0: for i = 1:nRatings u = X(i,1);m = X(i,2);yhat = bu(u) + bm(m) + W(:,m)'*Z(u,:)'; $f = f + (1/2)^*(y(i) - yhat)^2;$ end fprintf('Iter = %d, f = %e\n',iter,f);

end

Question 2.2 - Gradient descent

- Gradient Descent
 - Uses the complete dataset per iteration
 - Very costly for datasets with over million samples

Accumulates gradients for each sample



Question 2.2 - Gradient descent

- Gradient Descent
 - Uses the complete dataset per iteration
 - Very costly for datasets with over million samples

Accumulates gradients for each sample

Updates variables

maxIter = 10: alpha = 1e-4;for iter = 1:maxIter gu = zeros(n,1); gm = zeros(d,1); gW = zeros(k,d); gZ = zeros(n,k); for i = 1:nRatings Pick one sample randomly % Make prediction for this rating based on current model u = X(i,1);m = X(i,2);yhat = $bu(u) + bm(m) + W(:,m)'^{*}Z(u,:)';$ r = y(i)-yhat; gu(u) = gu(u) - r;gm(m) = gm(m) - r; $gW(:,m) = gW(:,m) - r^{*}Z(u,:)';$ $gZ(u,:) = gZ(u,:) - r^*W(:,m)';$ end bu = bu - alpha*gu; bm = bm - alpha*gm; W = W - alpha*gW; Z = Z - alpha*gZ; f = 0: for i = 1:nRatings u = X(i,1);m = X(i,2);yhat = bu(u) + bm(m) + W(:,m)'*Z(u,:)';

 $f = f + (1/2)^*(y(i) - yhat)^2;$

fprintf('Iter = %d, f = %e\n',iter,f);

Question 2.2 - Gradient descent

- Gradient Descent
 - Uses the complete dataset per iteration
 - Very costly for datasets with over million samples
- Use Stochastic Gradient Descent instead
 - Uses one random sample at a time to update the variables.

end

maxIter = 10; alpha = 1e-4;for iter = 1:maxIter gu = zeros(n,1); gm = zeros(d,1); gW = zeros(k,d); gZ = zeros(n,k); for i = 1:nRatings Pick one sample randomly % Make prediction for this rating based on current model u = X(i,1);m = X(i,2);yhat = $bu(u) + bm(m) + W(:,m)'^{*}Z(u,:)';$ r = y(i)-yhat; gu(u) = gu(u) - r;gm(m) = gm(m) - r; $gW(:,m) = gW(:,m) - r^*Z(u,:)';$ $gZ(u,:) = gZ(u,:) - r^*W(:,m)';$ end bu = bu - alpha*gu; bm = bm - alpha*gm; W = W - alpha*gW; Z = Z - alpha*gZ; f = 0: for i = 1:nRatings u = X(i,1);m = X(i,2);yhat = bu(u) + bm(m) + W(:,m)'*Z(u,:)'; $f = f + (1/2)^*(y(i) - yhat)^2;$ end fprintf('Iter = %d, f = %e\n',iter,f); end

Question 2.2 - Gradient descent

- Gradient Descent
 - Uses the complete dataset per iteration
 - Very costly for datasets with over million samples
- Use Stochastic Gradient Descent instead
 - Uses one random sample at a time to update the variables.

Accumulates gradients for each sample

maxIter = 10; alpha = 1e-4;for iter = 1:maxIter gu = zeros(n,1); gm = zeros(d,1); gW = zeros(k,d); gZ = zeros(n,k); for i = 1:nRatings Pick one sample randomly % Make prediction for this rating based on current model u = X(i,1);m = X(i,2);yhat = $bu(u) + bm(m) + W(:,m)'^{*}Z(u,:)';$ r = y(i) - yhat;gu(u) = gu(u) - r;gm(m) = gm(m) - r; $gW(:,m) = gW(:,m) - r^{*}Z(u,:)';$ $gZ(u,:) = gZ(u, x) - r^*W(:,m)';$ end bu = bu - alpha*gu; bm = bm - alpha*gm; W = W - alpha*gW; Z = Z - alpha*gZ; f = 0: for i = 1:nRatings u = X(i,1);m = X(i,2);yhat = bu(u) + bm(m) + W(:,m)'*Z(u,:)'; $f = f + (1/2)^*(y(i) - yhat)^2;$ end fprintf('Iter = %d, f = %e\n',iter,f); end

Question 2.2 - Gradient descent

- Gradient Descent
 - Uses the complete dataset per iteration
 - Very costly for datasets with over million samples
- Use Stochastic Gradient Descent instead
 - Uses one random sample at a time to update the variables.

Accumulates gradients for each sample

Use the gradient for the chosen sample only!

maxIter = 10; alpha = 1e-4; for iter = 1:maxIter gu = zeros(n,1); gm = zeros(d,1); gW = zeros(k,d); gZ = zeros(n,k); for i = 1:nRatings Pick one sample randomly % Make prediction for this rating based on current model u = X(i,1);m = X(i,2);yhat = $bu(u) + bm(m) + W(:,m)'^{*}Z(u,:)';$ r = y(i)-yhat; gu(u) = gu(u) - r;gm(m) = gm(m) - r; $gW(:,m) = gW(:,m) - r^{*}Z(u,:)';$ $gZ(u,:) = gZ(u, x) - r^*W(:,m)';$ bu = bu - alpha*gu; bm = bm - alpha*gm; W = W - alpha*gW; Z = Z - alpha*gZ; f = 0: for i = 1:nRatings u = X(i,1);m = X(i,2);yhat = bu(u) + bm(m) + W(:,m)'*Z(u,:)'; $f = f + (1/2)^*(y(i) - yhat)^2;$ end fprintf('Iter = %d, f = %e\n',iter,f); end

Question 2.2 - Gradient descent

- Gradient Descent
 - Uses the complete dataset per iteration
 - Very costly for datasets with over million samples
- Use Stochastic Gradient Descent instead
 - Uses one random sample at a time to update the variables.

Accumulates gradients for each sample

Use the gradient for the chosen sample only!

Insert the update rules inside the loop We update every time we choose a random sample