1 Multi-Class Logistic

The function \texttt{example_multiClass} loads a multi-class classification dataset and fits a ‘one-vs-all’ classification model using least squares, then reports the validation error and shows a plot of the data/classifier. The performance on the validation set is ok, but could be much better. For example, this classifier never even predicts that examples will be in classes 1 or 5).

1.1 Linear Log-Odds Model

A very common model for binary classification, where \( y_i \in \{-1, 1\} \), is a \textit{log-linear} model. The loss function used in these models is based on the ‘odds ratio’,

\[
\frac{p(y_i = +1|w^T x_i)}{p(y_i = -1|w^T x_i)},
\]

the probability of the model predicting the correct class divided by the probability of the model predicting the incorrect class (a value greater than one indicates that it makes the right prediction). Consider a model where we assume that the logarithm of the odds ratio is a linear function,

\[
\log \left( \frac{p(y_i = +1|w^T x_i)}{p(y_i = -1|w^T x_i)} \right) = w^T x_i. \tag{1}
\]

As discussed in class, when we have a probability \( p \) for the correct label \( y_i \) given our prediction, we can construct a loss function out of it and fit the model by minimizing the negative logarithm of the probability summed across all examples,

\[
\argmin_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} -\log(p(y_i|w^T x_i)), \tag{2}
\]
where \( y_i \) is now the label given in the training set. Derive the form of \( p(y_i = +1 | w^T x_i) \) and \( p(y_i = -1 | w^T x_i) \) implied by the assumption (1), and use this to simplify the loss function (2) as much as possible.

Hint: First derive the form of \( p(y_i = +1 | w^T x_i) \) in (1) using that probabilities sum to one.

### 1.2 One-vs-all Logistic Regression

Using the squared error on this problem hurts performance because it has ‘bad errors’ (the model gets penalized if classifies examples ‘too correctly’). Write a new function, \( \logLinearClassifier \), that replaces the squared loss in the one-vs-all model with the logistic loss. Hand in the code and report the validation error.

### 1.3 Softmax Loss

Using a one-vs-all classifier hurts performance because the classifiers are fit independently, so there is no attempt to calibrate the columns of the matrix \( W \). An alternative to this independent model is to use the softmax probability,

\[
p(y_i|W, x_i) = \frac{\exp(w_{y_i}^T x_i)}{\sum_{c'=1}^k \exp(w_{c'}^T x_i)}.
\]

Here \( c' \) is a possible label and \( w_{c'} \) is column \( c' \) of \( W \). Similarly, \( y_i \) is the training label, \( w_{y_i} \) is column \( y_i \) of \( W \), and in this setting we are assuming a discrete label \( y_i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \). Derive the loss function that results from using this probability (i.e., the negative logarithm of the probability), as well as the derivative of the loss with respect to a particular element \( W_{cj} \). Try to simplify the derivative as much as possible.

Hint: for the gradient you can use \( (x_i)_j \) to refer to element \( j \) and you can use an ‘indicator’ function, \( I(y_i = c) \), which is 1 when \( y_i = c \) and is 0 otherwise. Note that you can use the definition of the softmax probability to simplify the derivative.

### 1.4 Softmax Classifier

Make a new function, \( \text{softmaxClassifier} \), which fits \( W \) using the softmax loss from the previous section instead of fitting \( k \) independent classifiers. Hand in the code and report the validation error.

Hint: you may want to use the \( \text{autoGrad} \) function from A3 to check that your gradient code is correct.

### 1.5 Cost of Multinomial Logistic Regression

Assuming that we have

1. \( n \) training examples.
2. \( d \) features.
3. \( k \) classes.
4. \( t \) testing examples.
5. \( T \) iterations of gradient descent for training.

In \( O() \) notation, what is the cost of training the softmax classifier? What is the cost of classifying the test examples?
2 Label Propagation with Random Walks

In class we discussed a graph-based semi-supervised learning method. While it's possible to fit this model using gradient descent, or by propagating probabilities through the graph, in this question we'll consider an interpretation of the model in terms of random walks on a graph.

The function `example_randomWalk` loads a dataset containing the adjacency matrix \( A \) for the following graph which was used as an example in class:

![Graph](image)

It also gives the `labelList`, a matrix where the first column contains node numbers and the second column contains class labels. In this case, we are told that node 2 has the label \(-1\) and node 18 has the label \(+1\). However, we do not have any features for any of these objects. Our job in this transductive learning setting is to label all the nodes in the graph. The labels are obtained by, starting from each node, running a random walk until we 'stop' at a label of \(+1\) or \(-1\) (the stopping criterion is described below).

By averaging over the result returned by multiple runs, we can get a probability that a random walk starting from the node will stop in \(+1\) or \(-1\). Subsequently, you can use the label with the highest probability to label the node (or perhaps leave them unlabeled if no label has a very high probability).

The random walk starts from the node we want to label, and calls this 'v'. Then, at each iteration we update the value 'v' to another node (or we decide to stop) as follows:

1. If \( v \) is not one of the labeled nodes, randomly set \( v \) to one of its neighbours in the graph (with each neighbour getting equal probability).

2. If \( v \) is one of the labeled nodes and has \( d_v \) neighbours, then return the label of the node with probability \( 1/(d_v + 1) \). Otherwise, randomly set \( v \) to one of its neighbours in the graph (with each neighbours again getting equal probability).

(The reason we don’t immediately stop when we reach a labeled node is to account for cases where we’ve labeled nodes with a ton of neighbours. These high-degree ‘hub’ nodes are likely reachable from a variety of other nodes, so their label doesn’t give strong evidence that its neighbours should receive a particular label.)

Write a function `runRandomWalk` that implements the above random walk, taking the adjacency matrix along with the `labelList` and a starting node as an input, and returning either \(+1\) or \(-1\) as described above. Hand in the function and report the probabilities of each class obtaining each label using this method.