CPSC 340: Machine Learning and Data Mining

Convolutional Neural Networks Fall 2015

Admin

- Office hours tomorrow will be in ICICS 146.
- Assignment 5:
 - Q1-2 on Piazza Saturday.
 - Full assignment coming tonight.
 - 'Tutorial summary' coming soon.

Last Time: Deep Learning







"Derpres" neural network: $\dot{y}_i = w^{\mathsf{T}} h(W_{(1)} h(W_{(1)} x_i))$

Fitting Deep Neural Networks

• Deep neural network model:

$$\sum_{y_i}^{n} = \sqrt{h(W_{(3)} h(W_{(2)} h(W_{(1)} x_i))) }$$

- Highly non-convex in the parameter $W_{(1)}$, $W_{(2)}$, $W_{(3)}$, w.
- We discussed a few tricks for training deep neural networks:
 - Replacing sigmoids with alternatives like logistic loss.
 - Careful selection of stochastic gradient step size (manual or automatic).
 - Momentum.
- Today:
 - Parameter initialization.
 - What happened to the fundamental trade-off?

Parameter Initialization

- Parameter initialization is crucial:
 - Can't initialize weights in same layer to same value, or they will stay same.
 - Can't initialize weights too large, it will take too long to learn.
- Random initialization:
 - Set bias variables to 0.
 - Uniformly sample from standard normal, divided by 10,000 (0.00001*randn).
 - Performing multiple initializations does not seem to be important.
- More recent:
 - Use different initialization in each layer.
 - Try to make variance the same across layers.
 - Use sample from standard normal, divide by sqrt(2*nInputs).
- Another strategy is to use a deep unsupervised model to initialize.

Autoencoders

- Autoencoders are an unsupervised deep learning model:
 - Use the inputs as the output of the neural network.



- If middle layer has only 2 units, can use this for visualization.

- Common to add noise to inputs ('denoising' autoencoder).

Autoencoders



https://www.cs.toronto.edu/~hinton/science.pd

Deep Learning and the Fundamental Trade-Off

- Neural networks are subject to the fundamental trade-off:
 - As we increase the depth, training error decreases.
 - As we increase the depth, training error no longer approximates test error.
- We want deep networks to model high non-linear data.
- But increasing the depth leads to overfitting.
- How could systems like GoogLeNet using ~30 layers?
 - Many forms of regularization and keeping model complexity under control.

Standard Regularization

• We typically add our usual L2-regularizers:

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-w^{T}h(w_{i3},h(w_{i2},h(w_{i3},x_{i})))\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\|w_{i3}\|_{F}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}$$

- Called 'weight decay' in neural network literature.
- Could also use L1-regularization.
- 'Hyper-parameter' optimization:
 - Try to optimize validation error in terms of λ_1 , λ_2 , λ_3 , λ_4 .
- Unlike linear models, this is rarely the only form of regularization.

Early Stopping

- Even with regularization, stochastic gradient may still overfit.
- Regularization by 'early stopping':
 - Monitor the validation error as we run stochastic gradient.
 - Stop the algorithm if validation error starts increasing.

accuracy training accuracy In practice, it might look more like: validation accuracy: little overfitting validation accuracy: strong overfitting epoch

Dropout

- **Dropout** is a third form of regularization:
 - On each iteration, randomly set some x_i and z_i to zero (often use 50%).



– Encourages distributed representation rather than using specific z_i.

Convolutional Neural Networks

- Typically use multiple types of regularization:
 - L2-regularization.
 - Early stopping.
 - Dropout.
- Often, still not enough to get deep models working.
- Deep models most used are convolutional neural networks:
 - Place heavy restrictions on the elements of each $W_{(m)}$.
 - Sizes of $z_i^{(m)}$ and functions 'h' change at each level.

Discrete Convolution

- Given 'n' values 'x' with indices j=1,2,...,n.
- We define weights 'w' with indices j=-m,-m+1,...-2,0,1,2,...,m-1,m.
- The discrete convolution '*' of 'x' with 'g' at 'i' given by

$$(\chi * w)[i] = \sum_{j=-m}^{m} X_{i+j} w_j$$

• This is an inner product between 'w' and part of 'x':

$$= \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}_{(-m:m)}$$

- Given 'n' values 'x' with indices j=1,2,...,n.
- We define weights 'w' with indices j=-m,-m+1,...-2,0,1,2,...,m-1,m.
- The discrete convolution '*' of 'x' with 'g' at 'i' given by

$$(\chi * w)[i] = \sum_{j=-m}^{m} X_{i+j} w_j$$

• This is an inner product between 'w' and part of 'x':

Discrete Convolution Example Let $x = [1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 5 \ 7 \ 1]$ Tf $w = [6 \ 1 \ 6]$ then $(x \neq w)$ Gil returns x_i

Let
$$x = [1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 5 \ 7 \ 1]$$

If $w = [6 \ 1 \ 6]$ (then $(x \neq w)Ci$] returns x_i
 $(x \neq w)[4] = [3 \ 5 \ 7]w$
 $= 3(0) + 5(1) + 7(0)$
 $= 5$
 $= x_5$
"Identity convolution"

Let
$$x = [1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 5 \ 7 \ 11]$$

If $w = [1 \ 0 \ 0]$ then $[(x + w)[i]$ returns x_{i-1}
 $(x + w)[5] = [5 \ 7 \ 11]w$
 $= 5^{(1)} + 7(0) + 11(0)$

Let
$$x = [1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 5 \ 7 \ 11]$$

If $w = [1 \ 0 \ 0]$ then $[(x + w)[i]$ returns x_{i-1}
 $(x + w)[5] = [5 \ 7 \ 11]w$
 $= 5(1) + 7(0) + 11(0)$
 $= 5$
If we apply it to each position, we get a translated signal
 $X = [1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 5 \ 7 \ 11]$
 $(x + w) = [? \ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 5 \ 7]$

Discrete Convolution Example |et x = [1 2 3 5 7 1]If w=[1/3 1/3 1/3] then (x+w)[i] returns average of x_{i-1} , x_i , and x_{i+1} . (x * w) [5] = 5(3) + 7(3) + 11(3) $= \frac{5+7+1}{3} = 7^{2}/3$ Applying it to all elements gives "local" average: x = [1 2 3 5 7 1] $(x*w) = [? 2 3'_3 5 7'_3 ?]$

Interpretation as Matrix Multiplication

• Convolution as inner product of with 'w' padded with zeros and 'x':

where
$$\tilde{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0$$

• Convolution for all 'i' is a matrix multiplication:

 $\simeq \widetilde{W}^{\mathsf{T}} X$

$$(x * w) = \tilde{W} x.$$
 where $\tilde{W} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & w & -00 \\ 0 & 0 & -0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

• It is a special case of a latent-factor models (up to boundary issue).

Boundary Issue

positions - m through m

• The boundary issue:



- Various ways to deal with the '?' marks:
 - Don't compute thse values.
 - Assume that they are 0.
 - 'Replicate' value at boundary.
 - 'Mirror' values at boundary.
 - $x_{n+1} = x_{n-1}$, $x_{n+2} = x_{n-2}$, etc.

• Convolutions can return the original signal:



• Convolutions can translate the signal:



• Convolutions can locally average the signal:



• Convolutions can smooth the signal:



• Convolutions can detect edges in the signal:



• Convolutions can detect oriented edges in the signal:



Image and Higher-Order Convolution

- Let 'x' be the pixel intensities in grayscale image of size 'n' by 'n'.
 Indexed 1 through n.
- Let 'w' be a smaller image of size '2m+1' by '2m+1'.
 Indexed –m through m.
- The two-dimensional convolution is given by:

$$(X * w)(i_{1}, i_{2}) = \sum_{j_{1}=-m}^{m} \sum_{j_{2}=-m}^{m} X(i_{1}+j_{1}, j_{2}+j_{2})w(j_{1}, j_{2})$$

• Higher-order convolutions are defined similarly.





Translation



Boundary: "use O"





Translation



Boundary: "cycle"





Translation



Boundary: "replicate"





Translation



Boundary: "mirror"





"Local average" * 51





"Gaussian filter"







"Gaussian filter"



smaller variance




"Laplacian of Gaussian"



Similar preprocessing may be done in ganglia and LGN.





"Laplacian of Gaussian"

 \mathbf{X}

smaller variance

Similar preprocessing may be done in ganglia and LGN.





"Gabor-like filter"



Horizontal oriented edges

May be similar to effect of 'simple cells' in VI.





"Gabor-like filter"



Vertical oriented edges May be similar to effect of "simple cells" in VI.





"Gabor-like filter"



smaller variance

Vertical oriented edges

May be similar to effect of "simple cells" in VI.





"Gabor-like filter"



Smaller variance Horizontal oriented edges

May be similar to effect of "simple cells" in VI.



Motivation for Convolutional Neural Networks

- Consider training neural networks on 256 by 256 images.
- Each z_i in first layer has 65536 parameters (and 3x this for colour). - We want to avoid this huge number (avoid storage and overfitting).
- Key idea: treat Wx_i like a convolution (to make it smaller).
- Make it more like a normal image convolution: $W_1 = [0 0 0 - 000]$ $W_2 = [0 - 0000]$
 - Each row of W only applies to part of x_i .
 - Use the same parameters between rows.
- Same idea applies to speech, images, and maybe language.

Convolutional Neural Networks

- Convolutional Neural Networks are neural with 3 layer types:
 - Fully connected layer: usual neural network layer with unrestricted W.
 - Convolutional layer: restrict W to results of several convolutions.



Convolutional Neural Networks

- Convolutional Neural Networks are neural with 3 layer types:
 - Fully connected layer: usual neural network layer with unrestricted W.
 - Convolutional layer: restrict W to results of several convolutions.
 - Pooling layer: downsamples result of convolution to make results smaller.
 - Usual choice is 'max pooling':



LeNet for Optical Character Recognition



http://blog.csdn.net/strint/article/details/44163869

AlexNet (ImageNet Winner in 2011)



Figure 2: An illustration of the architecture of our CNN, explicitly showing the delineation of responsibilities between the two GPUs. One GPU runs the layer-parts at the top of the figure while the other runs the layer-parts at the bottom. The GPUs communicate only at certain layers. The network's input is 150,528-dimensional, and the number of neurons in the network's remaining layers is given by 253,440–186,624–64,896–64,896–43,264–4096–4096–1000.



Figure 2: An illustration of the architecture of our CNN, explicitly showing the delineation of responsibilities between the two GPUs. One GPU runs the layer-parts at the top of the figure while the other runs the layer-parts at the bottom. The GPUs communicate only at certain layers. The network's input is 150,528-dimensional, and the number of neurons in the network's remaining layers is given by 253,440–186,624–64,896–64,896–43,264–4096–4096–1000.



GoogLeNet (2014 Winner)



http://papers.nips.cc/paper/4824-imagenet-classification-with-deep-convolutional-neural-networks.pdf

Summary

- Parameter initialization is crucial to neural network performance.
- Autoencoders perform dimensionality reduction with neural nets.
- Regularization is crucial to neural net performance:
 - Usual L2, early stopping, dropout.
- Convolutions are flexible class of signal/image transformations.
- Convolutional neural networks are key in deep learning success.
- Next time: what if the output is not continuous/binary?