Notes

◆ Simpler right-looking derivation (sorry):

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ L_{21} & L_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} \\ & U_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} \\ L_{21}U_{11} & L_{21}U_{12} + L_{22}U_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} U_{11} &= A_{11} \\ U_{12} &= A_{12} \\ L_{21} &= A_{21} / A_{11} \\ L_{22} U_{22} &= A_{22} - L_{21} U_{12} \end{split}$$

cs542g-term1-2007

From Last Time

Solving linear least squares:

$$\min_{x} ||b - Ax||_{2}^{x}$$
 • Normal equations:

$$A^T A x = A^T b$$

- Potentially unreliable if A is "ill-conditioned" (columns of A are close to being linearly dependent)
- ◆ Can we solve the problem more reliably?

cs542g-term1-2007

The Best A

- Start by asking what is the best A possible?
- ◆ A^TA=I (the identity matrix)
 - I.e. the columns of A are orthonormal
- ◆ Then the solution is x=A^Tb, no system to solve (and relative error behaves well)
- What if A is not orthonormal?
- ◆ Change basis to make it so…

cs542g-term1-2007

Orthonormalizing A

- ◆ Goal: find R so that A=QR
 - Q is orthonormal.
 - R is easy to solve with

$$||b - Ax||_2^2 = ||b - QRx||_2^2$$

= $||b - Qy||_2^2$, $Rx = y = Q^T b$

◆ Classic answer: apply Gram-Schmidt to columns of A (R encodes the sequence of elementary matrix operations used in GS)

cs542g-term1-2007

Gram-Schmidt

• Classic formula: $q_i = A_{*i} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} Q_{*j} \left(Q_{*j}^T A_{*i} \right)$

$$Q_{*i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q_i^T q_i}} q_i$$

- ◆ In-depth numerical analysis shows error (loss of orthogonality) can be bad
- ◆ Use Modified Gram-Schmidt instead: $\begin{array}{c} q_i = A_{\star_i} \\ \text{for } j = 1 : i \text{-} 1 \\ q_i = q_i \text{-} Q_{\star_j} (Q_{\star_j}{}^T q_i) \end{array}$

What is R?

- ◆ Since A=QR, we find R=Q^TA
- Upper triangular, and containing exactly the dot-products from Gram-Schmidt
- ◆ Triangular matrices are easy to solve with: good!
- In fact, this gives an alternative to solving regular linear systems: A=QR instead of A=LU
 - · Potentially more accurate, but typically slower

Another look at R

- ◆ Since A=QR, we have A^TA=R^TQ^TQR=R^TR
- ◆ That is, R^T is the Cholesky factor of A^TA
- But Cholesky factorization is not a good way to compute it!

cs542g-term1-2007

Yet another look at R

- There is an even better way to compute R (than Modified Gram-Schmidt): orthogonal transformations
- Idea: instead of upper-triangular elementary matrices turning A into Q, use orthogonal elementary matrices to turn A into R
- ◆ Two main choices:
 - Givens rotations: rotate in selected two dimensions
 - Householder reflections: reflect across a plane

cs542g-term1-2007

Givens rotations

♦ For c²+s²=1:

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \boxed{c} & 0 & \boxed{s} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \boxed{-s} & 0 & \boxed{c} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

◆ Say we want QA to be zero at (i,j):

$$sA_{jj} = cA_{ij}$$

cs542g-term1-2007

Householder reflections

◆ For a unit vector v (normal to plane):

$$Q = I - 2vv^{T}$$

- Choose v to zero out entries below the diagonal in a column
- ◆ Note: can store Householder vectors and R in-place of A
 - Don't directly form Q, just multiply by Householder factors when computing Q^Tb

cs542g-term1-2007

Full and Economy QR

- ◆ Even if A is rectangular, Givens and Householder implicitly give big square Q (and rectangular R): called the "full QR"
 - But you don't have to form the big Q
 - If you do: the extra columns are an orthonormal basis of the null-space of A^T
- Modified Gram-Schmidt computes only the first k columns of Q (rectangular Q) and gives only a square R: called the "economy QR"

Weighted Least Squares

 What if we introduce nonnegative weights (some data points count more than others)

$$\min_{x} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} (b_{i} - (Ax)_{i})^{2}$$

$$\min_{x} (b - Ax)^{T} W (b - Ax)$$

◆ Weighted normal equations:

$$A^T W A x = A^T W b$$

◆ Can also solve with

$$\sqrt{W}A = QR$$

Moving Least Squares (MLS)

- ◆ Idea: estimate f(x) by fitting a low degree polynomial to data points, but weight nearby points more than others
- ◆ Use a weighting kernel W(r)
 - Should be big at r=0, decay to zero further
- ◆ At each point x, we have a (small) weighted linear least squares problem:

$$\min_{p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} W(\|x - x_i\|) [f_i - p(x_i)]^2$$

cs542g-term1-2007 13

cs542g-term1-2007 14

MLS Basis

◆ Going back to general least squares problem:

$$x = \left(A^T W A\right)^{-1} A^T W b$$

- ◆ Solution x depends linearly on data b
- ◆ Add or scale data, solutions add and scale
- ◆ Same is true for MLS
- ◆ Can solve MLS for (0,...,0,1,0,...,0) etc. to get a set of basis functions

cs542g-term1-2007 15

Constant Fit MLS

- ◆ Instructive to work out case of zero degree polynomials (constants)
- ◆ Sometimes called Franke interpolation
- ◆ Illustrates effect of weighting function
 - How do we force it to interpolate?
 - What if we want local calculation?