

## Notes

- ◆ Thursday: class will begin late, 11:30am

## Viscosity

- ◆ In reality, nearby molecules travelling at different velocities occasionally bump into each other, transferring energy
  - Differences in velocity reduced (damping)
  - Measure this by strain rate (time derivative of strain, or how far velocity field is from rigid motion)
  - Add terms to our constitutive law

## Strain rate

- ◆ At any instant in time, measure how fast chunk of material is deforming from its current state
  - **Not** from its original state
  - So we're looking at infinitesimal, incremental strain updates
  - Can use linear Cauchy strain!
- ◆ So the strain rate tensor is

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

## Viscous stress

- ◆ As with linear elasticity, end up with two parameters if we want isotropy:

$$\sigma_{ij}^{viscous} = 2\mu\dot{\epsilon}_{ij} + \lambda\dot{\epsilon}_{kk}\delta_{ij}$$

- $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  are coefficients of viscosity (first and second)
- These are not the Lamé coefficients! Just use the same symbols
- $\lambda$  damps only compression/expansion
- ∪ Usually  $\lambda \approx -2/3\mu$  (exact for monatomic gases)
- ∪ So end up with

$$\sigma_{ij}^{viscous} = \mu \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_k} \delta_{ij} \right)$$

## Navier-Stokes

- ◆ Navier-Stokes equations include the viscous stress

- ◆ Incompressible version:

$$u_t + u \cdot \nabla u + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p = g + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \mu (\nabla u + \nabla u^T)$$
$$\nabla \cdot u = 0$$

- ◆ Often (but **not** always) viscosity  $\mu$  is constant, and this reduces to

$$u_t + u \cdot \nabla u + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p = g + \frac{\mu}{\rho} \nabla^2 u$$

- Call  $\nu = \mu/\rho$  the “kinematic viscosity”

## Nondimensionalization

- ◆ Actually go even further
- ◆ Select a characteristic length L
  - e.g. the width of the domain,
- ◆ And a typical velocity U
  - e.g. the speed of the incoming flow
- ◆ Rescale terms
  - $x' = x/L$ ,  $u' = u/U$ ,  $t' = tU/L$ ,  $p' = p/\rho U^2$   
so they all are dimensionless

$$u'_t + u' \cdot \nabla u' + \nabla p' = \frac{Lg}{U^2} + \frac{\nu}{UL} \nabla^2 u'$$

## Nondimensional parameters

- ◆  $Re = UL/\nu$  is the Reynold's number
  - The smaller it is, the more viscosity plays a role in the flow
  - High Reynold's numbers are hard to simulate
- ◆  $Fr = U/\sqrt{|g|L}$  is the Froude number
  - The smaller it is, the more gravity plays a role in the flow
  - Note: often can ignore gravity (pressure gradient cancels it out)

$$u_t + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = \frac{(0, -1, 0)}{Fr^2} + \frac{1}{Re} \nabla^2 u$$

## Why nondimensionalize?

- ◆ Think of it as a user-interface issue
- ◆ It lets you focus on what parameters matter
  - If you scale your problem so nondimensional parameters stay constant, solution scales
- ◆ Code rot --- you may start off with code which has true dimensions, but as you hack around they lose meaning
  - If you're nondimensionalized, there should be only one or two parameters to play with
- ◆ Not always the way to go --- you can look up material constants, but not e.g. Reynolds numbers

## Other quantities

- ◆ We may want to carry around auxiliary quantities
  - E.g. temperature, the type of fluid (if we have a mix), concentration of smoke, etc.
- ◆ Use material derivative as before
- ◆ E.g. if quantity doesn't change, just is transported ("advected") around:

$$\frac{Dq}{Dt} = q_t + \underbrace{u \cdot \nabla q}_{\text{advection}} = 0$$

## Boundary conditions

- ◆ Inviscid flow:
  - Solid wall:  $u \cdot n = 0$
  - Free surface:  $p = 0$  (or atmospheric pressure)
  - Moving solid wall:  $u \cdot n = u_{\text{wall}} \cdot n$ 
    - Also enforced in-flow/out-flow
  - Between two fluids:  $u_1 \cdot n = u_2 \cdot n$  and  $p_1 = p_2 + \gamma \kappa$
- ◆ Viscous flow:
  - No-slip wall:  $u = 0$
  - Other boundaries can involve coupling tangential components of stress tensor...
- ◆ Pressure/velocity coupling at boundary:
  - $u \cdot n$  modified by  $\partial p / \partial n$

## What now?

- ◆ Can numerically solve the full equations
  - Will do this later
  - Not so simple, could be expensive (3D)
- ◆ Or make assumptions and simplify them, then solve numerically
  - Simplify flow (e.g. irrotational)
  - Simplify dimensionality (e.g. go to 2D)

## Vorticity

- ◆ How do we measure rotation?
  - Vorticity of a vector field (velocity) is  $\omega = \nabla \times u$
  - Proportional (but not equal) to angular velocity of a rigid body - off by a factor of 2
- ◆ Vorticity is what makes smoke look interesting
  - Turbulence

## Vorticity equation

- ◆ Start with N-S, constant viscosity and density

$$u_t + u \cdot \nabla u + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p = g + \nu \nabla^2 u$$

- ◆ Take curl of whole equation

$$\nabla \times u_t + \nabla \times (u \cdot \nabla u) + \nabla \times \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p = \nabla \times g + \nabla \times (\nu \nabla^2 u)$$

- ◆ Lots of terms are zero:

- g is constant (or the potential of some field)
- With constant density, pressure term too

$$\nabla \times u_t + \nabla \times (u \cdot \nabla u) = \nu \nabla \times \nabla^2 u$$

- ◆ Then use vector identities to simplify...

$$\nabla \times u_t + \nabla \times ((\nabla \times u) \times u + \frac{1}{2} \nabla u^2) = \nu \nabla^2 (\nabla \times u)$$

$$\omega_t + \nabla \times (\omega \times u) = \nu \nabla^2 \omega$$

## Vorticity equation continued

- ◆ Simplify with more vector identities, and assume incompressible to get:

$$\frac{D\omega}{Dt} = \omega \cdot \nabla u + \nu \nabla^2 \omega$$

- ◆ Important result: Kelvin Circulation Theorem

- Roughly speaking: if  $\omega=0$  initially, and there's no viscosity,  $\omega=0$  forever after (following a chunk of fluid)
- ∪ If fluid starts off irrotational, it will stay that way (in many circumstances)

## Potential flow

- ◆ If velocity is irrotational:

$$\nabla \times u = 0$$

- Which it often is in simple laminar flow
- ◆ Then there must be a stream function (potential) such that:

$$u = \nabla \phi$$

- ◆ Solve for incompressibility:

$$\nabla \cdot \nabla \phi = 0$$

- ◆ If  $u \cdot n$  is known at boundary, we can solve it

## Potential in time

- ◆ What if we have a free surface?
- ◆ Use vector identity  $u \cdot \nabla u = (\nabla \times u) \times u + \nabla |u|^2 / 2$

- ∪ Assume

- incompressible ( $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ ), inviscid, irrotational ( $\nabla \times u = 0$ )
- constant density
- thus potential flow ( $u = \nabla \phi$ ,  $\nabla^2 \phi = 0$ )

- ∪ Then momentum equation simplifies (using  $G = -gy$  for gravitational potential)

$$u_t + (\nabla \times u) \times u + \frac{1}{2} \nabla |u|^2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p = g$$

$$\nabla \phi_t + \frac{1}{2} \nabla |u|^2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p = -\nabla G$$

## Bernoulli's equation

- ◆ Every term in the simplified momentum equation is a gradient: integrate to get

$$\phi_t + \frac{1}{2}u^2 + \frac{p}{\rho} = -G$$

- (Remember Bernoulli's law for pressure?)
- ◆ This tells us how the potential should evolve in time

## Water waves

- ◆ For small waves (no breaking), can reduce geometry of water to 2D heightfield
- ◆ Can reduce the physics to 2D also
  - How do surface waves propagate?
- ◆ Plan of attack
  - Start with potential flow, Bernoulli's equation
  - Write down boundary conditions at water surface
  - Simplify 3D structure to 2D

## Set up

- ◆ We'll take  $y=0$  as the height of the water at rest
- ◆  $H$  is the depth ( $y=-H$  is the sea bottom)
- ◆  $h$  is the current height of the water at  $(x,z)$
- ◆ Simplification: velocities very small (small amplitude waves)

## Boundaries

- ◆ At sea floor ( $y=-H$ ),  $v=0$   $\phi_y = 0$
- ◆ At sea surface ( $y=h \approx 0$ ),  $v=h_t$ 
  - Note again - assuming very small horizontal motion

$$\phi_y = h_t$$

- ◆ At sea surface ( $y=h \approx 0$ ),  $p=0$ 
  - Or atmospheric pressure, but we only care about pressure differences
  - Use Bernoulli's equation, throw out small velocity squared term, use  $p=0$ ,

$$\phi_t = -gh$$

## Finding a wave solution

- ◆ Plug in  $\phi=f(y)\sin(K\cdot(x,z)-\omega t)$ 
  - In other words, do a Fourier analysis in horizontal component, assume nothing much happens in vertical
  - Solving  $\nabla^2\phi=0$  with boundary conditions on  $\phi_y$  gives
 
$$\phi = A \frac{\omega}{|K|} \frac{\cosh(|K|(y+H))}{\sinh(|K|H)} \sin(K\cdot(x,z)-\omega t)$$
  - Pressure boundary condition then gives (with  $k=|K|$ , the magnitude of  $K$ )
 
$$\omega = \sqrt{gk \tanh kH}$$

## Dispersion relation

- ◆ So the wave speed  $c$  is
 
$$c = \frac{\omega}{k} = \sqrt{\frac{g}{k} \tanh kH}$$
- ◆ Notice that waves of different wave-numbers  $k$  have different speeds
  - Separate or disperse in time
- ◆ For deep water ( $H$  big,  $k$  reasonable -- not tsunamis)  $\tanh(kH)\approx 1$

$$c = \sqrt{\frac{g}{k}}$$

## Simulating the ocean

- ◆ Far from land, a reasonable thing to do is
  - Do Fourier decomposition of initial surface height
  - Evolve each wave according to given wave speed (dispersion relation)
    - Update phase, use FFT to evaluate
- ◆ How do we get the initial spectrum?
  - Measure it! (oceanography)

## Energy spectrum

- ◆ Fourier decomposition of height field:
 
$$h(x,z,t) = \sum_{i,j} \hat{h}(i,j,t) e^{\sqrt{-1}(i,j)\cdot(x,z)}$$
- ◆ “Energy” in  $K=(i,j)$  is  $S(K) = |\hat{h}(K)|^2$
- ◆ Oceanographic measurements have found models for expected value of  $S(K)$  (statistical description)

## Phillips Spectrum

- ◆ For a “fully developed” sea
  - wind has been blowing a long time over a large area, statistical distribution of spectrum has stabilized
- ◆ The Phillips spectrum is: [Tessendorf...]

$$S(K) = A \frac{1}{k^4} \exp\left(\frac{-1}{(kL)^2} - (kl)^2 \left(\frac{|K \cdot W|}{|K||W|}\right)^2\right)$$

- A is an arbitrary amplitude
- $L=|W|^2/g$  is largest size of waves due to wind velocity W and gravity g
- Little l is the smallest length scale you want to model

## Fourier synthesis

- ◆ From the prescribed S(K), generate actual Fourier coefficients

$$\hat{h}(K,0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_1 + X_2 \sqrt{-1}) \sqrt{S(K)}$$

- $X_i$  is a random number with mean 0, standard deviation 1 (Gaussian)
- Uniform numbers from unit circles aren't terrible either
- ◆ Want real-valued h, so must have

$$\hat{h}(K) = \hat{h}(-K)^*$$

- Or give only half the coefficients to FFT routine and specify you want real output

## Time evolution

- ◆ Dispersion relation gives us  $\omega(K)$
- ∪ At time t, want  $h(x,t) = \sum_{K=(i,j)} \hat{h}(K,0) e^{\sqrt{-1}(K \cdot x - \omega t)}$ 

$$= \sum_{K=(i,j)} \hat{h}(K,0) e^{-\sqrt{-1}\omega t} e^{\sqrt{-1}K \cdot x}$$
- ∪ So then coefficients at time t are
  - For  $j \geq 0$ :
  - Others: figure out from conjugacy condition (or leave it up to real-valued FFT to fill them in)

$$\hat{h}(i,j,t) = \hat{h}(i,j,0) e^{-\sqrt{-1}\omega t}$$

## Picking parameters

- ◆ Need to fix grid for Fourier synthesis (e.g. 1024x1024 height field grid)
- ◆ Grid spacing shouldn't be less than e.g. 2cm (smaller than that - surface tension, nonlinear wave terms, etc. take over)
  - Take little l (cut-off) a few times larger
- ◆ Total grid size should be greater than but still comparable to L in Phillips spectrum (depends on wind speed and gravity)
- ◆ Amplitude A shouldn't be too large
  - Assumed waves weren't very steep

## Note on FFT output

- ◆ FFT takes grid of coefficients, outputs grid of heights
- ◆ It's up to you to map that grid (0...n-1, 0...n-1) to world-space coordinates
- ◆ In practice: scale by something like L/n
  - Adjust scale factor, amplitude, etc. until it looks nice
- ◆ Alternatively: look up exactly what your FFT routines computes, figure out the "true" scale factor to get world-space coordinates

## Choppy waves

- ◆ See Tessendorf for more explanation
- ◆ Nonlinearities cause real waves to have sharper peaks and flatter troughs than linear Fourier synthesis gives
- ◆ Can manipulate height field to give this effect
  - Distort grid with  $(x,z) \rightarrow (x,z) + \lambda D(x,z,t)$

$$D(x,t) = \sum_K -\sqrt{-1} \frac{K}{|K|} \hat{h}(K,t) e^{\sqrt{-1}K \cdot x}$$

## Choppiness problems

- ◆ The distorted grid can actually tangle up (Jacobian has negative determinant - not 1-1 anymore)
  - Can detect this, do stuff (add particles for foam, spray?)