## CPSC 421: Introduction to Theory of Computing Practice Problem Set #5, Not to be handed in

**Note:**  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots\} = \mathbb{N}_+$ . For simplicity (and wlog), the alphabet is  $\{0, 1\}$ .

## coNP

1. (Easy) Recall the verifier definition of the complexity class NP. A language  $L \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$  is in NP if there exists a polynomial  $p: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  and a polynomial-time TM M such that for every  $x \in \{0,1\}^*$ ,

$$x \in L \Leftrightarrow \exists u \in \{0,1\}^{p(|x|)} \text{ s.t. } \operatorname{Result}(M,\langle x,u\rangle) = \mathsf{yes.}$$

If  $x \in L$  and  $u \in \{0,1\}^{p(|x|)}$  satisfy Result $(M,\langle x,u\rangle) = \text{yes}$ , then we call u a *certificate* for x (with respect to the language L and machine M).

Define the complexity class  $\mathsf{coNP} = \{L : \overline{L} \in \mathsf{NP}\}, \text{ where } \overline{L} = \{0,1\}^* \setminus L.$ 

Give a definition for the class coNP that parallels the definition of NP above; i.e., in terms of certificates.

- 2. (Easy) Prove that  $coNP \subseteq EXP$ .
- 3. (Easy) Prove that, if P = NP, then NP = coNP.
- 4. (Easy) Suppose that  $L \in \mathsf{NP}$  and you have a polynomial-time NTM N that decides L. Can you use N to decide  $\overline{L}$  in (nondeterministic) polynomial-time?
- 5. (Easy) **TRUE/FALSE**. NP is not a proper subset of **coNP**.
- 6. (Easy) **TRUE/FALSE**.  $NP \cap coNP$  is closed under complement.
- 7. (Medium) **TRUE/FALSE**. If an NP-hard problem lies in coNP, then NP  $\subseteq$  coNP.
- 8. (Easy) Is coNP the complement of NP? If not, then what does the class NP∩coNP intuitively mean? (in terms of the sizes of yes/no certificates);
- 9. (Easy) Show that  $P \subseteq NP \cap coNP$ . (Extremely Difficult) Is  $NP \cap coNP \subseteq P$ ?
- 10. Let

TAUTOLOGY =  $\{\varphi : \varphi \text{ is a Boolean formula that is satisfied by every assignment}\}.$ 

(a) (Easy) Show that TAUTOLOGY is coNP-complete. Hint: Consider the problem

 $NOSAT = \{ \varphi : \varphi \text{ is a Boolean formula and no variable assignment satisfies } \varphi \}.$ 

Show that  $NOSAT \in coNP$ , and show that NOSAT is coNP-complete by slightly modifying the proof of the Cook-Levin Theorem. Then, write TAUTOLOGY in terms of NOSAT.

(b) (Extremely Difficult) Give a problem that is  $NP \cap coNP$ -complete;

(c) (Medium) Show that NP = coNP iff 3SAT and TAUTOLOGY are polynomial-time reducible to one another.

## 11. (Medium) Define

```
\mathsf{MINVC}_k = \{ \langle G \rangle : \text{the minimum vertex cover in } G \text{ has size exactly } k \}
\mathsf{VC}_k = \{ \langle G \rangle : G \text{ has a vertex cover of size } \leq k \}
```

Consider the following purported proof that  $MINVC \in NP \cap coNP$ .

*Proof.* The graphs whose minimum vertex cover has size exactly k are precisely the graph which

- have a vertex cover of size  $\leq k$ , and
- have no vertex cover of size  $\leq k-1$ .

Thus,  $\mathsf{MINVC}_k = \mathsf{VC}_k \cap \overline{\mathsf{VC}_{k-1}}$ . Since  $\mathsf{VC}_k \in \mathsf{NP}$ , we have  $\overline{\mathsf{VC}_{k-1}} \in \mathsf{coNP}$ . This shows that  $\mathsf{MINVC}_k$  is the intersection of a language in  $\mathsf{NP}$ , and a language in  $\mathsf{coNP}$ , so  $\mathsf{MINVC}_k \in \mathsf{NP} \cap \mathsf{coNP}$ .

Is this a valid proof? If so, explain how it can be made precise. If not, explain what the flaw is. In either case, ensure that your answer is explained carefully.

## 12. (Medium) Let

```
\mathsf{MAXCLIQUE} = \{ \langle G, k \rangle : \text{the largest clique in } G \text{ has exactly } k \text{ nodes in it} \}.
```

Explain why the following argument fails to show that MAXCLIQUE  $\in$  coNP: To show that  $\langle G, k \rangle \in$  MAXCLIQUE, it suffices to demonstrate the existence of a larger clique in G of size greater than k, so the NP algorithm for MAXCLIQUE just guesses the larger clique.

- 13. It is known that  $\mathsf{PRIMES} = \{\langle p \rangle : p \text{ is a prime number}\}$  is in P; i.e., given integer p, there is an algorithm that decides whether or not p is prime in time that is polynomial in  $\log(p)^1$ . Way before this algorithm was discovered, it was shown that  $\mathsf{PRIMES} \in \mathsf{NP} \cap \mathsf{coNP}$ . Given these facts, consider the following problem:
  - **Input:** Positive integer N;
  - Output: Prime factorization of N;  $N = p_1^{i_1} p_2^{i_2} \cdots p_k^{i_k}$ , where k is a non-negative integer,  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  are positive prime numbers, and  $i_1, \ldots, i_k$  are positive integers.
    - (a) (Medium) Formulate this problem as a decision problem and explicitly write down the language corresponding to it;
    - (b) (Medium) How can you use an algorithm that is polynomial (in  $\log N$ ) for the decision version to solve the original (function) problem in time poly( $\log N$ )?
    - (c) (Easy) Show that your decision version is in NP;
    - (d) (Medium) Show that your decision version is in coNP.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "M. Agrawal, N. Kayal, and N. Saxena. Primes is in P. Annals of Mathematics, 160:781–793, 2004."