

CPSC 421: Introduction to Theory of Computing  
Assignment #4, due Friday October 14th by 12pm (noon), via Gradescope

- [10] 1. Imagine you are working for a company that has implemented a program. Let's call that program  $P_1$ . You are hired as a summer intern to design a new program that is equivalent but runs even faster. Let's call your new program  $P_2$ .

Your boss says that before you get paid, you must design a program to demonstrate  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are equivalent. More formally, you are to design a new program  $Q$  which takes two arbitrary programs (i.e., Turing machines)  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  as input.  $Q$  must decide if, for all inputs  $x$ , the output of  $M_1$  on input  $x$  equals the output of  $M_2$  on input  $x$ .

Explain why this internship will not be successful!

- [12] 2. [6] (a) Let  $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, \dots\}$ . Describe a **nondeterministic** Turing machine to decide the following language:

$$L_1 = \left\{ x_1 \# x_2 \# \dots \# x_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ and } \exists \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in \{-1, +1\} \text{ such that } \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x_i = 0 \right\}.$$

You may assume that the  $x_i$ 's are integers.

- [6] (b) Describe a **nondeterministic** Turing machine to recognize the following language:

$$L_2 = \{ \langle M \rangle : M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ halts on some input} \}.$$

- [10] 3. Let  $M'$  be a TM that always halts and  $L(M') \neq \Sigma^*$ . Let

$$L_{M'} = \{ \langle M \rangle : M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) \not\subseteq L(M') \}.$$

Show that  $L_{M'}$  is undecidable.

- [10] 4. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two disjoint languages over the alphabet  $\Sigma$ . Say that language  $C$  **separates**  $A$  and  $B$  if  $A \subseteq C$  and  $B \subseteq \overline{C}$ . Show that any two disjoint co-recognizable languages are separable by some decidable language. (A language  $A$  is said to be **co-recognizable** if its complement, namely  $\overline{A}$ , is recognizable.)

- [15] 5. **OPTIONAL BONUS QUESTION:** In class, we said that " $A$  is reducible to  $B$ " (written  $A \leq_T B$ ) if there is a Turing machine that can decide  $A$  if it is also given as input a Turing machine that decides  $B$ . This sort of reduction is called a *Turing reduction*.

There is a more restrictive type of reduction called a *mapping reduction*; see Sipser's Definition 5.20. This type of reduction is written  $A \leq_m B$ . Roughly speaking, it means that there is a function  $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  that can be computed by a Turing machine such that

$$x \in A \iff f(x) \in B.$$

It is easy to see that if  $A \leq_m B$  (or if  $A \leq_m \overline{B}$ ) then  $A \leq_T B$ . So Turing reductions are at least as powerful as mapping reductions.

In this question, we will establish that Turing reductions are strictly more powerful. Show that there exist languages  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $A \leq_T B$  but  $A \not\leq_m B$  and  $A \not\leq_m \overline{B}$ .