Lecture 10 - Unsupervised Learning

OBJECTIVE: When there are no labels **y**, there are many useful patterns we can still find in the data. Here we introduce two of the most popular algorithms in machine learning and data mining: K-means and EM.

Textbook: Pages 236–252 and 411–435.

Unsupervised Learning

Our goal is to automatically discover patterns and structure in the data. Some examples include

- Novelty detection (e.g. detecting new strands of HIV).
- Data association (e.g. machine translation, multi-target tracking, object recognition from annotated images).
- Clustering (grouping similar items together).

Clustering

Assume we are given the data $x_{1:n}$, with $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^2$.



We want to find clusters (groups where data items are similar).

K-means

K-means is a simple iterative algorithm for clustering data. In our 2D example, it proceeds as follows:



CPSC-340: Machine Learning and Data Mining

- 1. Initialisation: Choose k = 2 means $\mu_{1:2}$ at random.
- 2. Compute distances: For c = 1, ..., k and i = 1, ..., n compute the distance $||x_i \mu_c||^2$.
- 3. Assign data to nearest mean: To keep track of assignments, introduce the indicator variable z_i , such that

$$\mathbb{I}_{c}(z_{i}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c = \arg\min_{c'} \|x_{i} - \mu_{c'}\|^{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

That is, $\mathbb{I}_2(z_i) = 1$ if observation x_i is closer to cluster 2. $\mathbb{I}_c(z_i)$ end up being the entries of an $n \times k$ matrix with only one 1 per row and many zeros.

4. Update means:

$$\mu_c = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_c(z_i) x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_c(z_i)}$$

5. **Repeat:** Go back to step 2. until the means and assignments stop changing.

The problem with this algorithm is that the assignments are hard. Something is either this or that. Sometimes, however, we would like to say that something is this with probability 0.7 or that with probability 0.3.

86

Finite Mixtures of Gaussians

We would like to find not only the means, but also the variances of each cluster and the probabilities of belonging to each cluster.





For the 2 clusters, we approximate the probability of each data point with a weighted combination of Gaussians

$$p(x_i|\mu_{1:2}, \sigma_{1:2}) = p(z_i = 1)\mathcal{N}(x_i|\mu_1, \sigma_1^2) + p(z_i = 2)\mathcal{N}(x_i|\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$$

Here, the unknown parameters are $(\mu_{1:2}, \sigma_{1:2}^2)$ and the cluster probabilities $p(z_i = 1)$ and $p(z_i = 2)$, which we rewrite as p(1) and p(2) for brevity. Note that p(1)+p(2) = 1 to ensure that we still have a probability. In general, we have

$$p(x_i|\theta) = \sum_{c=1}^{k} p(c) \mathcal{N}(x_i|\mu_c, \sigma_c^2)$$

88

where $\theta = (\mu_{1:c}, \sigma_{1:c}^2)$ summarises the model parameters and $p(c) = p(z_i = c)$. Clearly, $\sum_{c=1}^k p(c) = 1$.

Probability Review

Before introducing EM, we need to review some key concepts from probability: conditioning, marginalisation, Bayes rule and multinomial (discrete) distributions. This material will be useful for us to discuss models for multimodal (eg music and text) search engines and for us to design probabilistic expert systems (of of the core ideas in modern AI). **Conditional Probability**

$$P(A|B) \triangleq \frac{P(AB)}{P(B)}$$

where P(A|B) is the **conditional probability** of A given that B occurs, P(B) is the **marginal probability** of B and P(AB) is the **joint probability** of A and B. In general, we obtain a **chain rule**

$$P(A_{1:n}) = P(A_n | A_{1:n-1}) P(A_{n-1} | A_{1:n-2}) \dots P(A_2 | A_1) P(A_1)$$

★ Assume we have an urn with 3 red balls and 1 blue ball: $U = \{r, r, r, b\}$. What is the probability of drawing (without replacement) 2 red balls in the first 2 tries? Let the sets $B_{1:n}$ be disjoint and let their union cover the set of all possible events Ω , that is $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_i = \Omega$. Then

90

$$P(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(A, B_i)$$

 \star What is the probability that the second ball drawn from our urn will be red?

Bayes Rule

Bayes rule allows us to reverse probabilities:

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

Combinining this with marginalisation, we obtain a powerful tool for statistical modelling:

$$P(model_i|data) = \frac{P(data|model_i)P(model_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{M} P(data|model_j)P(model_j)}$$

That is, if we have **prior** probabilities for each model and generative data models, we can compute how likely each model is **a posteriori** (in light of our prior knowledge and the evidence brought in by the data). Let E be a discrete set, e.g. $E = \{0, 1\}$. A **discrete** random variable (r.v.) is a map from Ω to E:

92

 $X(w): \Omega \mapsto E \qquad \text{where} w \in \Omega$

* Assume we are throwing a die and are interested in the events $E = \{even, odd\}$. Here $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. The r.v. takes the value X(w) = even if $w \in \{2, 4, 6\}$ and X(w) = odd if $w \in \{1, 3, 5\}$. We describe this r.v. with a **probability distribution** p(even) = P(X = even) The **cumulative distribution function** is defined as $F(x) = P(X \le x)$ and would for this example be:



Bernoulli Random Variables

Let $E = \{0, 1\}, P(X = 1) = \lambda$, and $P(X = 0) = 1 - \lambda$.

We now introduce the *set indicator variable*. (This is a very useful notation.)

$$\mathbb{I}_{A}(w) = \begin{cases} 1 & if \qquad w \in A; \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Using this convention, the probability distribution of a Bernoulli

random variable reads:

$$p(x) = \lambda^{\mathbb{I}_{\{1\}}(x)} (1-\lambda)^{\mathbb{I}_{\{0\}}(x)}.$$

94



Multinomial Distribution

Now suppose we have a set of k boxes and a set of n balls. Each ball is dropped from a certain height and will fall randomly into one of the boxes. The probability that a ball falls into box i is given by λ_i . The λ_i s are constrained such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1, \quad 0 \le \lambda_i \le 1$$

If we define $X_i = m_i$ to be the event that there are m_i balls in box *i* then the probability that the *n* balls are distributed in the boxes in a specified arrangement $m_{1:k} =$ (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k) is

$$p(m_{1:k}) = \left(\frac{n!}{m_1!m_2!\dots m_k!}\right) \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{m_i} \quad \text{where} \quad \sum_{i=1}^k m_i = n$$

The term to the left of the product is the *normalizing term* and we need not worry about it here. Note that the binomial is a subcase of the Multinomial; if we set k = 2, $\lambda_1 = \lambda$ and $\lambda_2 = (1 - \lambda)$ then we get the binomial distribution. * Say we are given a text "I am a teacher I I teacher". We convert this text to a frequency table $T = [3\ 1\ 1\ 2]$. The probability p(T) for this text is

where λ_i is the probability of the *i*-th word appearing in the text.

Instead of just one text, we usually have a database of texts. We use this database to learn the word probabilities. This is usefult for clustering documents and designing information retrieval algorithms. CPSC-340: Machine Learning and Data Mining

We also encounter frequency tables with missing values

3	5	6	2
0	?	3	1
1	2	?	6

These tables arise in data mining and collaborative filtering. Here the columns represent items (say movies) and each row has the movie ratings assigned by a particular movie expert. By learning the Multinomial parameters, we will be able to complete the table and to recommend movies to viewers.

EM for Mixtures of Gaussians

In this section, we use intuition to introduce the expectationmaximisation (EM). If we know $\mathbb{I}_c(z_i)$, then it is easy to compute (μ_c, σ_c^2) by maximum likelihood. We repeat this for each cluster. The problem is that we have a chicken and egg situation. To know the cluster memberships, we need the parameters of the Gaussians. To know the parameters, we need the cluster memberships.

One solution is to approximate $\mathbb{I}_c(z_i)$ with our expectation of it given the data and our current estimate of the parameters θ . That is, we replace $\mathbb{I}_c(z_i)$ with

 $\xi_{ic} \triangleq \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{I}_c(z_i) | x_i, \theta\right]$



Note that ξ_{ic} is a soft-assignments matrix:

*

Once we know ξ_{ic} , we can compute the Gaussian mixture parameters:

100

$$\mu_{c} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{ic} x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{ic}}$$

$$\Sigma_{c} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{ic} (x_{i} - \mu_{c}) (x_{i} - \mu_{c})'}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{ic}}$$

$$p(c) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{ic}$$

The EM for Gaussians is as follows:

1. Initialise.

2. **E Step:** At iteration *t*, compute the expectation of the indicators for each *i* and *c*:

$$\xi_{ic}^{(t)} = \frac{p(c)^{(t)} \mathcal{N}(x_i | \mu_c^{(t)}, \Sigma_c^{(t)})}{\sum_{c'=1}^k p(c')^{(t)} \mathcal{N}(x_i | \mu_{c'}^{(t)}, \Sigma_{c'}^{(t)})}$$

and normalise it (divide by sum over c).

3. **M Step:** Update the parameters $p(c)^{(t)}, \mu_c^{(t)}, \Sigma_c^{(t)}$.

EM for Categorical Data

Matrix data with categorical entries is ubiquitous on the web. Examples include word-document matrices used for information retrieval and item-judge ratings for collaborative filtering:

$$documents_{1:n} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & \dots & 6 \\ 7 & 4 & \dots & 2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \end{bmatrix} = T_{1:n}$$

$$movies_{1:n} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & ? & \dots & 5 \\ 5 & 4 & \dots & ? \\ \vdots & \ddots & \end{bmatrix} = M_{1:n}$$

Each row of N can be modelled with a multinomial distribution. $n_{\rm m}$

$$p(T_i) \propto \prod_{w=1}^{n_w} \delta_w^{T_{iw}}$$

We assume that the text documents are i.i.d.

$$p(T_{1:n}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(T_i)$$

102

Suppose the documents come from n_c distinct clusters. Then they are distributed according to a mixture of multinomials:

$$p(T_i|\theta) = \sum_{c=1}^{n_c} p(c) \prod_{w=1}^{n_w} \delta_{wc}^{T_{iw}}$$

The maximum likelihood EM for Categorical data involves iterating the following two steps:

 E Step: At iteration t, compute the expectation of the indicators for each i and c:

$$\xi_{ic} \propto p(c) \prod_{w=1}^{n_w} \delta_{wc}^{T_{iw}}$$

and normalise it (divide by sum over c).

2. M Step: Update the parameters:

$$\delta_{wc} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{ic} T_{iw}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{w=1}^{n_{w}} T_{iw} \xi_{ic}}$$
$$p(c) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{ic}$$

EM for Multimodal Data

A document with an image (represented by a vector $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$) and text T_i can be modelled as follows:

$$p(d_i|\theta) = \sum_{c=1}^{n_c} p(c) \mathcal{N}(x_i|\mu_c, \Sigma_c) \prod_{w=1}^{n_w} \delta_{wc}^{T_{iw}}$$

The maximum likelihood EM is as follows:

1. **E Step:** At iteration *t*, compute the expectation of the indicators for each *i* and *c*:

$$\xi_{ic} \propto p(c) \mathcal{N}(x_i | \mu_c, \Sigma_c) \prod_{w=1}^{n_w} \delta_{wc}^{T_{iw}}$$

and normalise it (divide by sum over c).

104

2. M Step: Update the parameters:

$$\mu_{c} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{ic} x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{ic}}$$

$$\Sigma_{c} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{ic} (x_{i} - \mu_{c}) (x_{i} - \mu_{c})'}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{ic}}$$

$$\delta_{wc} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{ic} T_{iw}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{w=1}^{w} T_{iw} \xi_{ic}}$$

$$p(c) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{ic}$$