1. The presenter did a good job of describing the problem being addressed.

   1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
   strongly disagree neutral strongly agree

2. The problem being addressed is interesting/ novel/ useful/ important.

   1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
   strongly disagree neutral strongly agree

3. The solution techniques employed are novel and/or a non-trivial extension of existing techniques. (If the presenter just used someone else’s code without extending it, they added some kind of value to it in some way.)

   1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
   strongly disagree neutral strongly agree

4. The theoretical / experimental results seem correct and interesting.

   1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
   strongly disagree neutral strongly agree

5. The presenter demonstrated an understanding of existing work (papers, software) in the literature.

   1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
   strongly disagree neutral strongly agree

6. The slides were easy to follow for someone who has taken the machine learning class (logical ordering of material, big enough font, mathematically precise, avoided covering well-known material which was explained in class, good demos, etc.)

   1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
   strongly disagree neutral strongly agree

7. The presenter communicated well (good use of English, spoke slowly enough, made eye contact with audience, good use of whiteboard, clear answers to questions, etc.)

   1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
   strongly disagree neutral strongly agree

8. My overall impression of this presentation was…

   1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
   strongly negative neutral strongly positive

Please write any other comments on the back of this sheet.