CS535c Fall 2004: Homework 2 clarifications

Kevin Murphy

24 Sep 04

1 Q1

Hint: build the minimal Imap in the usual way (ch 3, p97) by finding, for node X_i , the minimal subset U of $\{X_1, \ldots, X_{i-1}\}$ s.t., $X_i \perp_G \{X_1, \ldots, X_{i-1}\} \setminus U | U$ where the conditional independencies are with respect to the original graph (the one with the A node). Use the Bayes ball rules to answer these conditional independence queries. You shade U (which will never contain A, since A does not exist in the new graph), and see if you can get a ball from X_i to the other (non-parental) ancestors.

2 Q2

Hint: See ch 4, p154.

3 Q3

Suppose when you send out a 1 bit, it gets transmitted as a +1V signal; and if you send out a 0 bit, it gets transmitted as a -1V signal. The receiver gets this voltage, plus Gaussian noise (zero mean, variance 1); call the received signal for the i'th bit Y_i . Suppose you receive $Y_i = -0.1$. So it's a little bit more likely to be a 0 bit than a 1 bit, but it's hard to tell, because it's near the decision boundary for the 2 classes.

You want to calculate the probability that the sent bit was 0 or 1 (ignoring parity checks for now). So we calculate the conditional likelihood of observing y_i :

$$p(y_i = -0.1 | X_i = 0) = N(-0.1; -1; 1) = 0.2661$$

$$p(y_i = -0.1 | X_i = 1) = N(-0.1; +1; 1) = 0.2179$$

(You can compute these numbers using the Matlab statistics toolbox function normpdf.) We define the local evidence at node *i* to be the vector $F_i = (0.2661, 0.2179)$. Once we have the F_i 's, we no longer need the actual observations, Y_i . This is what I mean by saying we have "compiled" the observations into the local potentials.

Note that the conditional likelihoods do not need to sum to one; in fact, $p(y_i|X_i)$ may even exceed 1.0 (since a probability *density* function at a point may be bigger than 1 as long as it integrates to 1 overall : see ch 2, p19. e.g., normpdf(-1,-1,0.1) = 3.984). However, for convenience, we will normalize the local evidences:

$$f_i(1) = p(y_i|X_i = 1)/z_i = 0.2661/0.4840 = 0.5498$$

$$f_i(2) = p(y_i|X_i = 0)/z_i = 0.2179/0.4840 = 0.4502$$

$$z_i = p(y_i|X_i = 1) + p(y_i|X_i = 0) = 0.2661 + 0.2179 = 0.4840$$

An easy way to do this in Matlab is

where I define

```
function [a2, z] = normalize(a)
z = sum(a(:));
a2 = a ./ z;
```

In the homework, I stack all the local evidence vectors up (columwise) into a matrix F, we get

 $F = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 & 0; \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix};$

F(:,i) is Matlab notation for the i'th column of matrix F, i.e., the local evidence for node i. This is a potential/ factor that only affects X_i .

In this case, the local evidence about X_1, X_2, X_3 is completely ambiguous (equivalent to receiving $Y_1 = Y_2 = Y_3 = 0.0$ in the above example: exactly in between -1 and +1); however, the local evidence about the parity bits, X_4 and X_5 , is completely unambiguous: we know that $X_4 = X_5 = 0$ (state 2 = false). This gives us a hard constraint on the possible values of X_1 and X_2 .

When you draw the Markov network, you can ignore the fact that g is an xor (determinisistic) function; just assume generic potentials (see ch 5, p186). But you will need to exploit properties of g when computing the numbers, of course.