Reasoning Under Uncertainty: Variable Elimination Example

CPSC 322 - Uncertainty 7

Textbook $\S10.5$

Reasoning Under Uncertainty: Variable Elimination Example

Lecture Overview



2 Variable Elimination



Reasoning Under Uncertainty: Variable Elimination Example

CPSC 322 - Uncertainty 7, Slide 2

3

★ 문 ► ★ 문 ►

A B + A B +
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Factors and Assigning Variables

- A factor is a representation of a function from a tuple of random variables into a number.
- A factor denotes a distribution over the given tuple of variables in some (unspecified) context
- We can make new factors out of an existing factor
- Our first operation: assign some or all of the variables of a factor.
 - $f(X_1 = v_1, X_2, \dots, X_j)$, where $v_1 \in dom(X_1)$, is a factor on X_2, \dots, X_j .
 - $f(X_1 = v_1, X_2 = v_2, \dots, X_j = v_j)$ is a number that is the value of f when each X_i has value v_i .
- The former is also written as $f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_j)_{X_1 = v_1, \dots, X_j = v_j}$

Summing out variables

Our second operation: we can sum out a variable, say X_1 with domain $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$, from factor $f(X_1, \ldots, X_j)$, resulting in a factor on X_2, \ldots, X_j defined by:

$$(\sum_{X_1} f)(X_2, \dots, X_j) = f(X_1 = v_1, \dots, X_j) + \dots + f(X_1 = v_k, \dots, X_j)$$

Reasoning Under Uncertainty: Variable Elimination Example

CPSC 322 - Uncertainty 7, Slide 4

3

御 と く き と く き と

Multiplying factors

- Our third operation: factors can be multiplied together.
- The product of factor $f_1(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})$ and $f_2(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z})$, where \overline{Y} are the variables in common, is the factor $(f_1 \times f_2)(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z})$ defined by:

$$(f_1 \times f_2)(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}) = f_1(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})f_2(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z}).$$

Probability of a conjunction

- Suppose the variables of the belief network are X_1, \ldots, X_n .
- What we want to compute: the factor $P(X_q, X_{o_1} = v_1, \dots, X_{o_j} = v_j)$
- We can compute $P(X_q, X_{o_1} = v_1, \ldots, X_{o_j} = v_j)$ by summing out the variables

$$X_{s_1}, \ldots, X_{s_k} = \{X_1, \ldots, X_n\} \setminus \{X_q, X_{o_1}, \ldots, X_{o_j}\}.$$

- We sum out these variables one at a time
 - the order in which we do this is called our elimination ordering.

$$P(X_q, X_{o_1} = v_1, \dots, X_{o_j} = v_j)$$

= $\sum_{X_{s_k}} \cdots \sum_{X_{s_1}} P(X_1, \dots, X_n)_{X_{o_1} = v_1, \dots, X_{o_j} = v_j}$.

(《圖》 《문》 《문》 - 문

Probability of a conjunction

- What we know: the factors $P(X_i|pX_i)$.
- Using the chain rule and the definition of a belief network, we can write $P(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ as $\prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i|pX_i)$. Thus:

$$P(X_q, X_{o_1} = v_1, \dots, X_{o_j} = v_j)$$

$$= \sum_{X_{s_k}} \cdots \sum_{X_{s_1}} P(X_1, \dots, X_n)_{X_{o_1}} = v_1, \dots, X_{o_j} = v_j \cdot$$

$$= \sum_{X_{s_k}} \cdots \sum_{X_{s_1}} \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i | pX_i)_{X_{o_1}} = v_1, \dots, X_{o_j} = v_j \cdot$$

Reasoning Under Uncertainty: Variable Elimination Example

3

★ E → < E → </p>

Lecture Overview







Reasoning Under Uncertainty: Variable Elimination Example

CPSC 322 - Uncertainty 7, Slide 8

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Computation in belief networks thus reduces to computing the sums of products.

• It takes 14 multiplications or additions to evaluate the expression ab + ac + ad + aeh + afh + agh. How can this expression be evaluated more efficiently?

• E • • E • •

Computation in belief networks thus reduces to computing the sums of products.

- It takes 14 multiplications or additions to evaluate the expression ab + ac + ad + aeh + afh + agh. How can this expression be evaluated more efficiently?
 - factor out the a and then the h giving a(b+c+d+h(e+f+g))
 - this takes only 7 multiplications or additions

Computation in belief networks thus reduces to computing the sums of products.

- It takes 14 multiplications or additions to evaluate the expression ab + ac + ad + aeh + afh + agh. How can this expression be evaluated more efficiently?
 - factor out the a and then the h giving a(b+c+d+h(e+f+g))
 - this takes only 7 multiplications or additions
- How can we compute $\sum_{X_{s_1}} \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i | pX_i)$ efficiently?

- 이 프 아이 프 아이

Computation in belief networks thus reduces to computing the sums of products.

- It takes 14 multiplications or additions to evaluate the expression ab + ac + ad + aeh + afh + agh. How can this expression be evaluated more efficiently?
 - factor out the a and then the h giving a(b+c+d+h(e+f+g))
 - this takes only 7 multiplications or additions
- How can we compute $\sum_{X_{s_1}} \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i | pX_i)$ efficiently?
- Factor out those terms that don't involve X_{s_1} :

$$\left(\prod_{\substack{i|X_{s_1}\notin\{X_i\}\cup pX_i\\(\text{terms that do not involve } X_{s_i})} P(X_i|pX_i)\right)\left(\sum_{X_{s_1}}\prod_{\substack{i|X_{s_1}\in\{X_i\}\cup pX_i\\(\text{terms that involve } X_{s_i})}}\prod_{\substack{P(X_i|pX_i)\\(\text{terms that involve } X_{s_i})}}P(X_i|pX_i)\right)$$

《口》 《聞》 《臣》 《臣》 二臣

Summing out a variable efficiently

To sum out a variable X_{s_i} from a product f_1, \ldots, f_k of factors:

- Partition the factors into
 - those that don't contain X_{s_j} , say f_1, \ldots, f_i ,
 - those that contain X_{s_j} , say f_{i+1}, \ldots, f_k

We know:

$$\sum_{X_{s_j}} f_1 \times \cdots \times f_k = (f_1 \times \cdots \times f_i) \left(\sum_{X_{s_j}} f_{i+1} \times \cdots \times f_k \right).$$

•
$$\left(\sum_{X_{s_j}} f_{i+1} \times \cdots \times f_k\right)$$
 is a new factor; let's call it f' .

- Now we have $\sum_{X_{s_i}} f_1 \times \cdots \times f_k = f_1 \times \cdots \times f_i \times f'.$
- Store f' explicitly, and discard f_{i+1}, \ldots, f_k .
 - Now we've summed out X_{s_i} .

소리가 소문가 소문가 소문가

Variable elimination algorithm

To compute $P(X_q|X_{o_1} = v_1 \land \ldots \land X_{o_j} = v_j)$:

- Construct a factor for each conditional probability.
- Set the observed variables to their observed values.
- For each of the other variables $X_{s_i} \in \{X_{s_1}, \dots, X_{s_k}\}$, sum out X_{s_i}
- Multiply the remaining factors.
- Normalize by dividing the resulting factor $f(X_q)$ by $\sum_{X_q} f(X_q)$.

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

Lecture Overview



2 Variable Elimination



Reasoning Under Uncertainty: Variable Elimination Example

CPSC 322 - Uncertainty 7, Slide 12

æ

・ロ・ ・ 日・ ・ 田・ ・ 田・

- $P(G,H) = \sum_{A,B,C,D,E,F,I} P(A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I)$
- $$\begin{split} P(G,H) &= \sum_{A,B,C,D,E,F,I} P(A) \cdot P(B|A) \cdot P(C) \cdot P(D|B,C) \cdot \\ P(E|C) \cdot P(F|D) \cdot P(G|F,E) \cdot P(H|G) \cdot P(I|G) \end{split}$$



B

Variable elimination example

- $P(G,H) = \sum_{A,B,C,D,E,F,I} \frac{P(A) \cdot P(B|A) \cdot P(C) \cdot P(D|B,C) \cdot P(E|C) \cdot P(F|D) \cdot P(G|F,E) \cdot P(H|G) \cdot P(I|G)$
- Eliminate A: $P(G, H) = \sum_{B,C,D,E,F,I} f_1(B) \cdot P(C) \cdot P(D|B,C) \cdot P(E|C) \cdot P(F|D) \cdot P(G|F,E) \cdot P(H|G) \cdot P(I|G)$

•
$$f_1(B) := \sum_{a \in dom(A)} P(A = a) \cdot P(B|A = a)$$

B

Variable elimination example

Compute $P(G|H = h_1)$. Elimination order: A, C, E, H, I, B, D, F

- $P(G,H) = \sum_{B,C,D,E,F,I} f_1(B) \cdot P(C) \cdot P(D|B,C) \cdot P(E|C) \cdot P(F|D) \cdot P(G|F,E) \cdot P(H|G) \cdot P(I|G)$
- Eliminate C: P(G, H) =

 $\sum_{B,D,E,F,I} f_1(B) \cdot f_2(B,D,E) \cdot P(F|D) \cdot P(G|F,E) \cdot P(H|G) \cdot P(I|G)$



B

Variable elimination example

Compute $P(G|H = h_1)$. Elimination order: A, C, E, H, I, B, D, F

- $P(G, H) = \sum_{B,D,E,F,I} f_1(B) \cdot f_2(B, D, E) \cdot P(F|D) \cdot P(G|F, E) \cdot P(H|G) \cdot P(I|G)$
- Eliminate E:

 $P(G,H) = \sum_{B,D,F,I} f_1(B) \cdot f_3(B,D,F,G) \cdot P(F|D) \cdot P(H|G) \cdot P(I|G)$



Compute $P(G|H = h_1)$. Elimination order: A, C, E, H, I, B, D, F

- $P(G,H) = \sum_{B,D,F,I} f_1(B) \cdot f_3(B,D,F,G) \cdot P(F|D) \cdot P(H|G) \cdot P(I|G)$
- Observe $H = h_1$:

 $P(G, H = h_1) = \sum_{B, D, F, I} f_1(B) \cdot f_3(B, D, F, G) \cdot P(F|D) \cdot f_4(G) \cdot P(I|G)$



Compute $P(G|H = h_1)$. Elimination order: A, C, E, H, I, B, D, F

- $P(G, H = h_1) = \sum_{B, D, F, I} f_1(B) \cdot f_3(B, D, F, G) \cdot P(F|D) \cdot f_4(G) \cdot P(I|G)$
- Eliminate *I*:

 $P(G, H = h_1) = \sum_{B, D, F} f_1(B) \cdot f_3(B, D, F, G) \cdot P(F|D) \cdot f_4(G) \cdot f_5(G)$



Compute $P(G|H = h_1)$. Elimination order: A, C, E, H, I, B, D, F

- $P(G, H = h_1) = \sum_{B,D,F} f_1(B) \cdot f_3(B, D, F, G) \cdot P(F|D) \cdot f_4(G) \cdot f_5(G)$
- Eliminate *B*:

 $P(G, H = h_1) = \sum_{D, F} f_6(D, F, G) \cdot P(F|D) \cdot f_4(G) \cdot f_5(G)$



▲□ → ▲ □ → ▲ □ → □ □ □

- $P(G, H = h_1) = \sum_{D,F} f_6(D, F, G) \cdot P(F|D) \cdot f_4(G) \cdot f_5(G)$
- Eliminate D: $P(G, H = h_1) = \sum_F f_7(F, G) \cdot f_4(G) \cdot f_5(G)$



•
$$f_1(B) := \sum_{a \in dom(A)} P(A = a) \cdot P(B|A = a)$$

• $f_2(B, D, E) := \sum_{c \in dom(C)} P(C = c) \cdot P(D|B, C = c) \cdot P(E|C = c)$
• $f_3(B, D, F, G) := \sum_{e \in dom(E)} f_2(B, D, E = e) \cdot P(G|F, E = e)$
• $f_4(G) := P(H = h_1|G)$
• $f_5(G) := \sum_{i \in dom(I)} P(I = i|G)$
• $f_6(D, F, G) := \sum_{b \in dom(B)} f_1(B = b) \cdot f_3(B = b, D, F, G)$
• $f_7(F, G) := \sum_{d \in dom(D)} f_6(D = d, F, G) \cdot P(F|D = d)$

- $P(G, H = h_1) = \sum_F f_7(F, G) \cdot f_4(G) \cdot f_5(G)$
- Eliminate F: $P(G, H = h_1) = f_8(G) \cdot f_4(G) \cdot f_5(G)$



$$f_{1}(B) := \sum_{a \in dom(A)} P(A = a) \cdot P(B|A = a)$$

$$f_{2}(B, D, E) := \sum_{c \in dom(C)} P(C = c) \cdot P(D|B, C = c) \cdot P(E|C = c)$$

$$f_{3}(B, D, F, G) := \sum_{e \in dom(E)} f_{2}(B, D, E = e) \cdot P(G|F, E = e)$$

$$f_{4}(G) := P(H = h_{1}|G)$$

$$f_{5}(G) := \sum_{i \in dom(I)} P(I = i|G)$$

$$f_{6}(D, F, G) := \sum_{b \in dom(B)} f_{1}(B = b) \cdot f_{3}(B = b, D, F, G)$$

$$f_{7}(F, G) := \sum_{d \in dom(D)} f_{6}(D = d, F, G) \cdot P(F|D = d)$$

$$f_{8}(G) := \sum_{f \in dom(F)} f_{7}(F = f, G)$$

•
$$P(G, H = h_1) = f_8(G) \cdot f_4(G) \cdot f_5(G)$$

• Normalize:
$$P(G|H=h_1) = \frac{P(G,H=h_1)}{\sum_{g \in dom(G)} P(G,H=h_1)}$$



- That's great... but it looks incredibly painful for large graphs.
- And... why did we bother learning conditional independence? Does it help us at all?

A B M A B M

- That's great... but it looks incredibly painful for large graphs.
- And... why did we bother learning conditional independence? Does it help us at all?
 - yes—we use the chain rule decomposition right at the beginning

A B M A B M

- That's great... but it looks incredibly painful for large graphs.
- And... why did we bother learning conditional independence? Does it help us at all?
 - yes—we use the chain rule decomposition right at the beginning
- Can we use our knowledge of conditional independence to make this calculation even simpler?

- That's great... but it looks incredibly painful for large graphs.
- And... why did we bother learning conditional independence? Does it help us at all?
 - yes—we use the chain rule decomposition right at the beginning
- Can we use our knowledge of conditional independence to make this calculation even simpler?
 - yes-there are some variables that we don't have to sum out
 - intuitively, they're the ones that are "pre-summed-out" in our tables
 - $\bullet\,$ example: summing out I on the previous slide

★御▶ ★理▶ ★理▶ → 理

One Last Trick

One last trick to simplify calculations: we can repeatedly eliminate all leaf nodes that are neither observed nor queried, until we reach a fixed point.

< 注→ < 注→

One Last Trick

One last trick to simplify calculations: we can repeatedly eliminate all leaf nodes that are neither observed nor queried, until we reach a fixed point.



Can we justify that on a threenode graph—Fire, Alarm, and Smoke—when we ask for:

•
$$P(Fire)$$
?

(E) < E)</p>

One Last Trick

One last trick to simplify calculations: we can repeatedly eliminate all leaf nodes that are neither observed nor queried, until we reach a fixed point.



Can we justify that on a threenode graph—Fire, Alarm, and Smoke—when we ask for:

- P(Fire)?
- $P(Fire \mid Alarm)$?

A B K A B K