Local Search

CPSC 322 - CSPs 5

Textbook §4.8

Local Search

CPSC 322 - CSPs 5, Slide 1

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Lecture Overview



2 Comparing SLS Algorithms





æ

▲圖▶ ▲屋▶ ▲屋▶

Local Search

Definition

The problem of solving a CSP phrased as local search problem is given by:

- CSP. In other words, a set of variables, domains for these variables, and constraints on their joint values. A node in the search space will be a complete assignment to *all* of the variables.
- Neighbour relation. assignments that differ in the value assigned to one variable, or in the value assigned to the variable that participates in the largest number of conflicts
- Scoring function. Number of unsatisfied constraints.

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

Hill Climbing

Hill climbing means selecting the neighbour which best improves the scoring function.

• For example, if the goal is to find the highest point on a surface, the scoring function might be the height at the current point.

글 🕨 🔸 글 🕨 🗉

SLS Variants

Problems with Hill Climbing

Foothills local maxima that are not global maxima Plateaus heuristic values are uninformative Ridge foothill where a larger neighbour relation

would help



< ≣⇒

Stochastic Local Search

- We can bring these two ideas together to make a randomized version of hill climbing.
- As well as uphill steps we can allow for:
 - Random steps: move to a random neighbor.
 - Random restart: reassign random values to all variables.
- We can do both kinds of random steps at different times.

★ E ► < E ►</p>

Lecture Overview





3 SLS Variants

CPSC 322 - CSPs 5, Slide 7

æ

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Comparing Stochastic Algorithms

- How can you compare three algorithms when (e.g.,)
 - one solves the problem 30% of the time very quickly but doesn't halt for the other 70% of the cases
 - one solves 60% of the cases reasonably quickly but doesn't solve the rest
 - one solves the problem in 100% of the cases, but slowly?
- Summary statistics, such as mean run time, median run time, and mode run time don't tell the whole story
 - mean: what should you do if an algorithm *never* finished on some runs (infinite? stopping time?)
 - median: an algorithm that finishes 51% of the time is preferred to one that finishes 49% of the time, regardless of how fast it is

個人 くほん くほん しほ

Runtime Distribution

- Plots runtime (or number of steps) and the proportion (or number) of the runs that are solved within that runtime.
 - note the use of a log scale on the \boldsymbol{x} axis



æ

Lecture Overview



2 Comparing SLS Algorithms





æ

- 4 回 2 - 4 □ 2 - 4 □

Greedy Descent with Min-Conflict Heuristic

This is one of the best techniques for solving CSP problems:

- $\bullet\,$ At random, select one of the variables v that participates in a violated constraint
- Set v to one of the values that minimizes the number of unsatisfied constraints
- This can be implemented efficiently:
 - Data structure 1 stores currently violated constraints
 - Data structure 2 stores variables that are involved in violated constraints
 - Selecting the variable to change is a random draw from data structure 2
 - For each of v's values i, count the number of constraints that would be violated if v took the value i
 - When the new value is set:
 - add all variables that participate in newly-violated constraints
 - check all variables that participate in newly-satisfied constraints to see if they participate in any other violated constraints

Simulated Annealing

- Annealing: a metallurgical process where metals are hardened by being slowly cooled.
- Analogy: start with a high "temperature": a high tendency to take random steps
- Over time, cool down: more likely to follow the gradient
- Here's how it works:
 - Pick a variable at random and a new value at random.
 - If it is an improvement, adopt it.
 - If it isn't an improvement, adopt it probabilistically depending on a temperature parameter, T.
 - $\bullet\,$ With current node n and proposed node n' we move to n' with probability $e^{(h(n')-h(n))/T}$
 - Temperature reduces over time, according to an annealing schedule

★御▶ ★理▶ ★理▶ → 理

Tabu lists

• SLS algorithms can get stuck in plateaus (why?)



- 4 回 2 - 4 □ 2 - 4 □

Local Search

Tabu lists

- SLS algorithms can get stuck in plateaus (why?)
- To prevent cycling we can maintain a tabu list of the k last nodes visited.
- Don't visit a node that is already on the tabu list.
- If k = 1, we don't allow the search to visit the same assignment twice in a row.
- This method can be expensive if k is large.

토 🕨 🗶 토 🕨 👘

Parallel Search

- Idea: maintain k nodes instead of one.
- At every stage, update each node.
- Whenever one node is a solution, report it.
- Like k restarts, but uses k times the minimum number of steps.
- There's not really any reason to use this method (why not?), but it provides a framework for talking about what follows...

Beam Search

- Like parallel search, with k nodes, but you choose the k best out of all of the neighbors.
- When k = 1, it is hill climbing.
- When $k = \infty$, it is breadth-first search.
- The value of k lets us limit space and parallelism.

- ∢ ≣ ▶

Stochastic Beam Search

- Like beam search, but you probabilistically choose the k nodes at the next generation.
- The probability that a neighbor is chosen is proportional to the value of the scoring function.
 - This maintains diversity amongst the nodes.
 - The scoring function value reflects the fitness of the node.
 - Biological metaphor: like asexual reproduction, as each node gives its mutations and the fittest ones survive.

(문) (문)

Genetic Algorithms

- Like stochastic beam search, but pairs of nodes are combined to create the offspring:
- For each generation:
 - Randomly choose pairs of nodes, with the best-scoring nodes being more likely to be chosen.
 - For each pair, perform a cross-over: form two offspring each taking different parts of their parents
 - Mutate some values
- Report best node found.

Crossover

• Given two nodes:

$$X_1 = a_1, X_2 = a_2, \dots, X_m = a_m$$

 $X_1 = b_1, X_2 = b_2, \dots, X_m = b_m$

- Select *i* at random.
- Form two offspring:

$$X_1 = a_1, \dots, X_i = a_i, X_{i+1} = b_{i+1}, \dots, X_m = b_m$$

$$X_1 = b_1, \dots, X_i = b_i, X_{i+1} = a_{i+1}, \dots, X_m = a_m$$

- Note that this depends on an ordering of the variables.
- Many variations are possible.

E ▶ < E ▶