

Logic: Soundness and Completeness of Bottom-Up Proofs

CPSC 322 – Logic 4

Textbook §5.2

Lecture Overview

- 1 Recap
- 2 Soundness of Bottom-Up Proofs
- 3 Completeness of Bottom-Up Proofs

Proofs

- A **proof** is a mechanically derivable demonstration that a formula logically follows from a knowledge base.
- Given a proof procedure, $KB \vdash g$ means g can be derived from knowledge base KB .
- Recall $KB \models g$ means g is true in all models of KB .

Definition (soundness)

A proof procedure is **sound** if $KB \vdash g$ implies $KB \models g$.

Definition (completeness)

A proof procedure is **complete** if $KB \models g$ implies $KB \vdash g$.

Bottom-up Ground Proof Procedure

One **rule of derivation**, a generalized form of *modus ponens*:

If " $h \leftarrow b_1 \wedge \dots \wedge b_m$ " is a clause in the knowledge base, and each b_i has been derived, then h can be derived.

You are **forward chaining** on this clause.

(This rule also covers the case when $m = 0$.)

Bottom-up proof procedure

$KB \vdash g$ if $g \subseteq C$ at the end of this procedure:

$C := \{\}$;

repeat

select clause " $h \leftarrow b_1 \wedge \dots \wedge b_m$ " in KB such that
 $b_i \in C$ for all i , and $h \notin C$;

$C := C \cup \{h\}$

until no more clauses can be selected.

Example

$$a \leftarrow b \wedge c.$$

$$a \leftarrow e \wedge f.$$

$$b \leftarrow f \wedge k.$$

$$c \leftarrow e.$$

$$d \leftarrow k.$$

$$e.$$

$$f \leftarrow j \wedge e.$$

$$f \leftarrow c.$$

$$j \leftarrow c.$$

Example

$$a \leftarrow b \wedge c.$$

$$a \leftarrow e \wedge f.$$

$$b \leftarrow f \wedge k.$$

$$c \leftarrow e.$$

$$d \leftarrow k.$$

$$e.$$

$$f \leftarrow j \wedge e.$$

$$f \leftarrow c.$$

$$j \leftarrow c.$$

$$\{$$

Example

$$a \leftarrow b \wedge c.$$

$$a \leftarrow e \wedge f.$$

$$b \leftarrow f \wedge k.$$

$$c \leftarrow e.$$

$$d \leftarrow k.$$

$$e.$$

$$f \leftarrow j \wedge e.$$

$$f \leftarrow c.$$

$$j \leftarrow c.$$

$\{\}$
 $\{e\}$

Example

$$a \leftarrow b \wedge c.$$

$$a \leftarrow e \wedge f.$$

$$b \leftarrow f \wedge k.$$

$$c \leftarrow e.$$

$$d \leftarrow k.$$

$$e.$$

$$f \leftarrow j \wedge e.$$

$$f \leftarrow c.$$

$$j \leftarrow c.$$

 $\{\}$ $\{e\}$ $\{c, e\}$

Example

$$a \leftarrow b \wedge c.$$

$$a \leftarrow e \wedge f.$$

$$b \leftarrow f \wedge k.$$

$$c \leftarrow e.$$

$$d \leftarrow k.$$

$$e.$$

$$f \leftarrow j \wedge e.$$

$$f \leftarrow c.$$

$$j \leftarrow c.$$

 $\{\}$ $\{e\}$ $\{c, e\}$ $\{c, e, f\}$

Example

 $a \leftarrow b \wedge c.$ $a \leftarrow e \wedge f.$ $b \leftarrow f \wedge k.$ $c \leftarrow e.$ $d \leftarrow k.$ $e.$ $f \leftarrow j \wedge e.$ $f \leftarrow c.$ $j \leftarrow c.$ $\{\}$ $\{e\}$ $\{c, e\}$ $\{c, e, f\}$ $\{c, e, f, j\}$

Example

$$a \leftarrow b \wedge c.$$

$$a \leftarrow e \wedge f.$$

$$b \leftarrow f \wedge k.$$

$$c \leftarrow e.$$

$$d \leftarrow k.$$

$$e.$$

$$f \leftarrow j \wedge e.$$

$$f \leftarrow c.$$

$$j \leftarrow c.$$

 $\{\}$
 $\{e\}$
 $\{c, e\}$
 $\{c, e, f\}$
 $\{c, e, f, j\}$
 $\{a, c, e, f, j\}$

Lecture Overview

- 1 Recap
- 2 Soundness of Bottom-Up Proofs
- 3 Completeness of Bottom-Up Proofs

Soundness of bottom-up proof procedure

If $KB \vdash g$ then $KB \models g$.

- Suppose there is a g such that $KB \vdash g$ and $KB \not\models g$.
- Let h be the first atom added to C that's not true in every model of KB .
- Suppose h isn't true in model I of KB .
- There must be a clause in KB of form

$$h \leftarrow b_1 \wedge \dots \wedge b_m$$

Each b_i is true in I . h is false in I . So this clause is false in I .

- Therefore I isn't a model of KB . Contradiction: thus no such g exists.

Lecture Overview

- 1 Recap
- 2 Soundness of Bottom-Up Proofs
- 3 Completeness of Bottom-Up Proofs**

Minimal Model

We can use proof procedure to find a model of KB .

- First, observe that the C generated at the end of the bottom-up algorithm is a **fixed point**.
 - further applications of our rule of derivation will not change C .

Minimal Model

We can use proof procedure to find a model of KB .

- First, observe that the C generated at the end of the bottom-up algorithm is a **fixed point**.
 - further applications of our rule of derivation will not change C .

Definition (minimal model)

Let the **minimal model** I be the interpretation in which every element of the fixed point C is true and every other atom is false.

Minimal Model

We can use proof procedure to find a model of KB .

- First, observe that the C generated at the end of the bottom-up algorithm is a **fixed point**.
 - further applications of our rule of derivation will not change C .

Definition (minimal model)

Let the **minimal model** I be the interpretation in which every element of the fixed point C is true and every other atom is false.

Claim: I is a model of KB . **Proof:**

- Assume that I is not a model of KB . Then there must exist some clause $h \leftarrow b_1 \wedge \dots \wedge b_m$ in KB (having zero or more b_i 's) which is false in I .
- This can only occur when h is false and each b_i is true in I .
- If each b_i belonged to C , we would have added h to C as well.
- Since C is a fixed point, no such I can exist.

Completeness

If $KB \models g$ then $KB \vdash g$.

- Suppose $KB \models g$. Then g is true in all models of KB .
- Thus g is true in the minimal model.
- Thus g is generated by the bottom up algorithm.
- Thus $KB \vdash g$.