|--|

Heuristic Search

CPSC 322 Lecture 6

January 19, 2007 Textbook §2.5

Heuristic Search

CPSC 322 Lecture 6, Slide 1

< ≣⇒



- 3 Search with Costs



æ

A ■ -

Graph Search Algorithm

```
Input: a graph,

a set of start nodes,

Boolean procedure goal(n) that tests if n is a goal node.

frontier := {\langle s \rangle : s is a start node};

while frontier is not empty:

select and remove path \langle n_0, \dots, n_k \rangle from frontier;

if goal(n_k)

return \langle n_0, \dots, n_k \rangle;

for every neighbor n of n_k

add \langle n_0, \dots, n_k, n \rangle to frontier;

end while
```

- After the algorithm returns, it can be asked for more answers and the procedure continues.
- Which value is selected from the frontier defines the search strategy.
- The *neighbor* relationship defines the graph.
- The goal function defines what is a solution.

イロン イボン イヨン イヨン 三日



- Depth-first search treats the frontier as a stack
 - It always selects one of the last elements added to the frontier.

- Complete when the graph has no cycles and is finite
- Time complexity is $O(b^m)$
- Space complexity is O(bm)



2 Breadth-First Search

- 3 Search with Costs



3

A ₽

Heuristic Search



- Breadth-first search treats the frontier as a queue
 - it always selects one of the earliest elements added to the frontier.
- Example:
 - the frontier is $[p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r]$
 - neighbours of p_1 are $\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$
- What happens?
 - p_1 is selected, and tested for being a goal.
 - $\bullet\,$ Neighbours of p_1 follow p_r at the end of the frontier.
 - Thus, the frontier is now $[p_2, \ldots, p_r, (p_1, n_1), \ldots, (p_1, n_k)]$.
 - p_2 is selected next.

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Illustrative Graph — Breadth-first Search





• Is BFS complete?



æ

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Heuristic Search

 Recap
 Breadth-First Search
 Search with Costs
 Heuristic Search
 Best-First Search

 Analysis of Breadth-First Search
 First Search
 Search with Costs
 Heuristic Search
 Search Weith Costs
 <t

- Is BFS complete?
 - Yes (but it wouldn't be if the branching factor for any node was infinite)
 - In fact, BFS is guaranteed to find the path that involves the fewest arcs (why?)

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

- Is BFS complete?
 - Yes (but it wouldn't be if the branching factor for any node was infinite)
 - In fact, BFS is guaranteed to find the path that involves the fewest arcs (why?)
- What is the time complexity, if the maximum path length is *m* and the maximum branching factor is *b*?

聞 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

- Is BFS complete?
 - Yes (but it wouldn't be if the branching factor for any node was infinite)
 - In fact, BFS is guaranteed to find the path that involves the fewest arcs (why?)
- What is the time complexity, if the maximum path length is *m* and the maximum branching factor is *b*?
 - The time complexity is ${\cal O}(b^m)$: must examine every node in the tree.
 - The order in which we examine nodes (BFS or DFS) makes no difference to the worst case: search is unconstrained by the goal.

白 ト イヨト イヨト

- Is BFS complete?
 - Yes (but it wouldn't be if the branching factor for any node was infinite)
 - In fact, BFS is guaranteed to find the path that involves the fewest arcs (why?)
- What is the time complexity, if the maximum path length is *m* and the maximum branching factor is *b*?
 - The time complexity is ${\cal O}(b^m)$: must examine every node in the tree.
 - The order in which we examine nodes (BFS or DFS) makes no difference to the worst case: search is unconstrained by the goal.
- What is the space complexity?

白 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

- Is BFS complete?
 - Yes (but it wouldn't be if the branching factor for any node was infinite)
 - In fact, BFS is guaranteed to find the path that involves the fewest arcs (why?)
- What is the time complexity, if the maximum path length is *m* and the maximum branching factor is *b*?
 - The time complexity is ${\cal O}(b^m)$: must examine every node in the tree.
 - The order in which we examine nodes (BFS or DFS) makes no difference to the worst case: search is unconstrained by the goal.
- What is the space complexity?
 - Space complexity is $O(b^m)$: we must store the whole frontier in memory

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ - □ □





æ

프 🖌 🛪 프 🕨

A ■



- space is not a problem
- it's necessary to find the solution with the fewest arcs
- although all solutions may not be shallow, at least some are
- there may be infinite paths



- space is not a problem
- it's necessary to find the solution with the fewest arcs
- although all solutions may not be shallow, at least some are
- there may be infinite paths

• When is BFS inappropriate?



- space is not a problem
- it's necessary to find the solution with the fewest arcs
- although all solutions may not be shallow, at least some are
- there may be infinite paths

- When is BFS inappropriate?
 - space is limited
 - all solutions tend to be located deep in the tree
 - the branching factor is very large



3 Search with Costs



3

A ■



- Sometimes there are costs associated with arcs.
 - The cost of a path is the sum of the costs of its arcs.

$$cost(\langle n_0, \dots, n_k \rangle) = \sum_{i=1}^k |\langle n_{i-1}, n_i \rangle|$$

- In this setting we often don't just want to find just any solution
 - Instead, we usually want to find the solution that minimizes cost
- We call a search algorithm which always finds such a solution optimal

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Recap Breadth-First Search Search with Costs Heuristic Search Best-First Search

Lowest-Cost-First Search

- At each stage, lowest-cost-first search selects a path on the frontier with lowest cost.
 - The frontier is a priority queue ordered by path cost.
 - We say "a path" because there may be ties
- When all arc costs are equal, LCFS is equivalent to BFS.
- Example:
 - the frontier is $[\langle p_1, 10 \rangle, \langle p_2, 5 \rangle, \langle p_3, 7 \rangle]$
 - p_2 is the lowest-cost node in the frontier
 - neighbours of p_2 are $\{\langle p_9, 12 \rangle, \langle p_{10}, 15 \rangle\}$
- What happens?
 - p_2 is selected, and tested for being a goal.
 - Neighbours of p_2 are inserted into the frontier (it doesn't matter where they go)
 - Thus, the frontier is now $[\langle p_1, 10 \rangle, \langle p_9, 12 \rangle, \langle p_{10}, 15 \rangle, \langle p_3, 7 \rangle].$
 - p_3 is selected next.
 - Of course, we'd really implement this as a priority queue.

3

• Is LCFS complete?



E ▶ < E ▶

Heuristic Search

Recap Breadth-First Search Search with Costs Heuristic Search Best-First Search

Analysis of Lowest-Cost-First Search

• Is LCFS complete?

• not in general: a cycle with zero or negative arc costs could be followed forever.

프 🖌 🛪 프 🕨

Recap Breadth-First Search Search with Costs Heuristic Search Best-First Search

Analysis of Lowest-Cost-First Search

- Is LCFS complete?
 - not in general: a cycle with zero or negative arc costs could be followed forever.
 - yes, as long as arc costs are strictly positive
- What is the time complexity, if the maximum path length is *m* and the maximum branching factor is *b*?

3 × 4 3 ×

- Is LCFS complete?
 - not in general: a cycle with zero or negative arc costs could be followed forever.
 - yes, as long as arc costs are strictly positive
- What is the time complexity, if the maximum path length is *m* and the maximum branching factor is *b*?
 - The time complexity is ${\cal O}(b^m) {:}\,$ must examine every node in the tree.
 - Knowing costs doesn't help here.

E + 4 E +

- Is LCFS complete?
 - not in general: a cycle with zero or negative arc costs could be followed forever.
 - yes, as long as arc costs are strictly positive
- What is the time complexity, if the maximum path length is *m* and the maximum branching factor is *b*?
 - The time complexity is ${\cal O}(b^m) {:}\,$ must examine every node in the tree.
 - Knowing costs doesn't help here.
- What is the space complexity?

B K K B K

- Is LCFS complete?
 - not in general: a cycle with zero or negative arc costs could be followed forever.
 - yes, as long as arc costs are strictly positive
- What is the time complexity, if the maximum path length is *m* and the maximum branching factor is *b*?
 - The time complexity is ${\cal O}(b^m) {:}\,$ must examine every node in the tree.
 - Knowing costs doesn't help here.
- What is the space complexity?
 - Space complexity is $O(b^m)$: we must store the whole frontier in memory.

< ≞ > < ≞ > ...

- Is LCFS complete?
 - not in general: a cycle with zero or negative arc costs could be followed forever.
 - yes, as long as arc costs are strictly positive
- What is the time complexity, if the maximum path length is *m* and the maximum branching factor is *b*?
 - The time complexity is ${\cal O}(b^m)$: must examine every node in the tree.
 - Knowing costs doesn't help here.
- What is the space complexity?
 - Space complexity is $O(b^m)$: we must store the whole frontier in memory.
- Is LCFS optimal?
 - Not in general. Why not?

• E • • E • •

- Is LCFS complete?
 - not in general: a cycle with zero or negative arc costs could be followed forever.
 - yes, as long as arc costs are strictly positive
- What is the time complexity, if the maximum path length is *m* and the maximum branching factor is *b*?
 - The time complexity is ${\cal O}(b^m) {:}\,$ must examine every node in the tree.
 - Knowing costs doesn't help here.
- What is the space complexity?
 - Space complexity is $O(b^m)$: we must store the whole frontier in memory.
- Is LCFS optimal?
 - Not in general. Why not?
 - Arc costs could be negative: a path that initially looks high-cost could end up getting a "refund".
 - However, LCFS *is* optimal if arc costs are guaranteed to be non-negative.

1 Recap

- 2 Breadth-First Search
- 3 Search with Costs
- 4 Heuristic Search

5 Best-First Search

3

< ≣ >

- Some people believe that they are good at solving hard problems without search
 - However, consider e.g., public key encryption codes (or combination locks): the search problem is clear, but people can't solve it
 - When people do perform well on hard problems, it is usually because they have useful knowledge about the structure of the problem domain
- Computers can also improve their performance when given this sort of knowledge
 - in search, they can estimate the distance from a given node to the goal through a search heuristic
 - in this way, they can take the goal into account when selecting path

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ - □ □



- h(n) is an estimate of the cost of the shortest path from node *n* to a goal node.
 - h can be extended to paths: $h(\langle n_0,\ldots,n_k\rangle)=h(n_k)$
- h(n) uses only readily obtainable information (that is easy to compute) about a node.
- Admissible heuristic: h(n) is an underestimate if there is no path from n to a goal that has path length less than h(n).
 - another way of saying this: h(n) is a lower bound on the cost of getting from n to the nearest goal.

個人 くほん くほん しほ



- If the nodes are points on a Euclidean plane and the cost is the distance, we can use the straight-line distance from n to the closest goal as the value of h(n).
 - this makes sense if there are obstacles, or for other reasons not all adjacent nodes share an arc

• E • • E •



- If the nodes are points on a Euclidean plane and the cost is the distance, we can use the straight-line distance from n to the closest goal as the value of h(n).
 - this makes sense if there are obstacles, or for other reasons not all adjacent nodes share an arc
- Likewise, if nodes are cells in a grid and the cost is the number of steps, we can use "Manhattan distance"
 - $\bullet\,$ this is also known as the L_1 distance; Euclidean distance is L_2 distance

• E • • E • •

 Recap
 Breadth-First Search
 Search with Costs
 Heuristic Search
 Best-First Search

 Example
 Heuristic Functions
 First Search
 Heuristic Search
 Heuristic Search

- If the nodes are points on a Euclidean plane and the cost is the distance, we can use the straight-line distance from n to the closest goal as the value of h(n).
 - this makes sense if there are obstacles, or for other reasons not all adjacent nodes share an arc
- Likewise, if nodes are cells in a grid and the cost is the number of steps, we can use "Manhattan distance"
 - $\bullet\,$ this is also known as the L_1 distance; Euclidean distance is L_2 distance
- In the 8-puzzle, we can use the number of moves between each tile's current position and its position in the solution

同 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

- Overall, a cost-minimizing search problem is a constrained optimization problem
 - e.g., find a path from A to B which minimizes distance traveled, subject to the constraint that the robot can't move through walls
- A relaxed version of the problem is a version of the problem where one or more constraints have been dropped
 - e.g., find a path from A to B which minimizes distance traveled, *allowing* the agent to move through walls
 - A relaxed version of a minimization problem will always return a value which is weakly smaller than the original value: thus, it's an admissible heuristic

白 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

How to Construct a Heuristic

- It's usually possible to identify constraints which, when dropped, make the problem extremely easy to solve
 - this is important because heuristics are not useful if they're as hard to solve as the original problem!
- Another trick for constructing heuristics: if $h_1(n)$ is an admissible heuristic, and $h_2(n)$ is also an admissible heuristic, then $\max(h_1(n), h_2(n))$ is also admissible.

• E • • E • · ·

Lecture Overview

- 3 Search with Costs





3



- Idea: select the path whose end is closest to a goal according to the heuristic function.
- Best-First search selects a path on the frontier with minimal *h*-value.
- It treats the frontier as a priority queue ordered by h.
- This is a greedy approach: it always takes the path which appears locally best

Illustrative Graph — Best-First Search



Complexity of Best-First Search

- Complete: no: a heuristic of zero for an arc that returns to the same state can be followed forever.
- Time complexity is $O(b^m)$
- Space complexity is $O(b^m)$
- Optimal: no (why not?)