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## Probability

- Probability is formal measure of uncertainty. There are two camps:
- Frequentists: believe that probability represents something objective, and compute probabilities by counting the frequencies of different events
- Bayesians: believe that probability represents something subjective, and understand probabilities as degrees of belief.
- They compute probabilities by starting with prior beliefs, and then updating beliefs when they get new data.
- Example: Your degree of belief that a bird can fly is your measure of belief in the flying ability of an individual based only on the knowledge that the individual is a bird.
- Other agents may have different probabilities, as they may have had different experiences with birds or different knowledge about this particular bird.
- An agent's belief in a bird's flying ability is affected by what the agent knows about that bird.


## Possible World Semantics

- A random variable is a variable that is randomly assigned one of a number of different values.
- The domain of a variable $X$, written $\operatorname{dom}(X)$, is the set of values $X$ can take.
- A possible world specifies an assignment of one value to each random variable.
- $w \neq X=x$ means variable $X$ is assigned value $x$ in world $w$.
- Let $\Omega$ be the set of all possible worlds.
- Define a nonnegative measure $\mu(w)$ to each world $w$ so that the measures of the possible worlds sum to 1 .
- The probability of proposition $f$ is defined by:

$$
P(f)=\sum_{w \models f} \mu(w)
$$

## Axioms of Probability: finite case

- Four axioms define what follows from a set of probabilities:
- Axiom $1 P(f)=P(g)$ if $f \leftrightarrow g$ is a tautology. That is, logically equivalent formulae have the same probability.
- Axiom $20 \leq P(f)$ for any formula $f$.
- Axiom $3 P(\tau)=1$ if $\tau$ is a tautology.
- Axiom $4 P(f \vee g)=P(f)+P(g)$ if $\neg(f \wedge g)$ is a tautology.
- You can think of these axioms as constraints on which functions $P$ we can treat as probabilities.
- These axioms are sound and complete with respect to the semantics.
- if you obey these axioms, there will exist some $\mu$ which is consistent with your $P$
- there exists some $P$ which obeys these axioms for any given $\mu$


## Probability Distributions

## Definition (probability distribution)

A probability distribution $P$ on a random variable $X$ is a function $\operatorname{dom}(X) \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that

$$
x \mapsto P(X=x) .
$$

- When $\operatorname{dom}(X)$ is infinite we need a probability density function.


## Joint Distribution and Marginalization

- When there are multiple random variables, their joint distribution is a probability distribution over the variables' Cartesian product
- E.g., $P(X, Y, Z)$ means $P(\langle X, Y, Z\rangle)$.
- Think of a joint distribution over $n$ variables as an $n$-dimensional table
- Each entry, indexed by $X_{1}=x_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}=x_{n}$, corresponds to $P\left(X_{1}=x_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge X_{n}=x_{n}\right)$.
- The sum of entries across the whole table is 1 .
- Given the joint distribution, we can compute distributions over smaller sets of variables through marginalization:
- E.g., $P(X, Y)=\sum_{z \in \operatorname{dom}(Z)} P(X, Y, Z=z)$.
- This corresponds to summing out a dimension in the table.
- The new table still sums to 1 .
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## Conditioning

- Probabilistic conditioning specifies how to revise beliefs based on new information.
- You build a probabilistic model taking all background information into account. This gives the prior probability.
- All other information must be conditioned on.
- If evidence $e$ is all of the information obtained subsequently, the conditional probability $P(h \mid e)$ of $h$ given $e$ is the posterior probability of $h$.


## Semantics of Conditional Probability

- Evidence $e$ rules out possible worlds incompatible with $e$.
- We can represent this using a new measure, $\mu_{e}$, over possible worlds

$$
\mu_{e}(\omega)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{P(e)} \times \mu(\omega) & \text { if } \omega \neq e \\ 0 & \text { if } \omega \not \equiv e\end{cases}
$$

## Definition

The conditional probability of formula $h$ given evidence $e$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(h \mid e) & =\sum_{\omega \models h} \mu_{e}(w) \\
& =\frac{P(h \wedge e)}{P(e)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Chain Rule

## Definition (Chain Rule)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(f_{1} \wedge f_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge f_{n}\right) \\
&= P\left(f_{n} \mid f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{n-1}\right) \times P\left(f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{n-1}\right) \\
&= P\left(f_{n} \mid f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{n-1}\right) \times P\left(f_{n-1} \mid f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{n-2}\right) \times \\
& P\left(f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{n-2}\right) \\
&= P\left(f_{n} \mid f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{n-1}\right) \times P\left(f_{n-1} \mid f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{n-2}\right) \\
& \times \cdots \times P\left(f_{3} \mid f_{1} \wedge f_{2}\right) \times P\left(f_{2} \mid f_{1}\right) \times P\left(f_{1}\right) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(f_{i} \mid f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{i-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Bayes' theorem

The chain rule and commutativity of conjunction ( $h \wedge e$ is equivalent to $e \wedge h$ ) gives us:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(h \wedge e) & =P(h \mid e) \times P(e) \\
& =P(e \mid h) \times P(h) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $P(e) \neq 0$, you can divide the right hand sides by $P(e)$, giving us Bayes' theorem.

## Definition (Bayes' theorem)

$$
P(h \mid e)=\frac{P(e \mid h) \times P(h)}{P(e)} .
$$

## Why is Bayes' theorem interesting?

Often you have causal knowledge:

- $P$ (symptom $\mid$ disease)
- $P$ (light is off $\mid$ status of switches and switch positions)
- P(alarm|fire)
- $P$ (image looks like a tree is in front of a car)
...and you want to do evidential reasoning:
- $P$ (disease | symptom)
- $P$ (status of switches $\mid$ light is off and switch positions)
- P(fire |alarm).
- $P($ a tree is in front of a car | image looks like $\boldsymbol{4})$

