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## Lecture Overview

## Recap

## Conditional Independence

## Conditioning

- Probabilistic conditioning specifies how to revise beliefs based on new information.
- You build a probabilistic model taking all background information into account. This gives the prior probability.
- All other information must be conditioned on.
- If evidence $e$ is all of the information obtained subsequently, the conditional probability $P(h \mid e)$ of $h$ given $e$ is the posterior probability of $h$.


## Conditional Probability

The conditional probability of formula $h$ given evidence $e$ is

$$
P(h \mid e)=\frac{P(h \wedge e)}{P(e)}
$$

Chain rule:

$$
P\left(f_{1} \wedge f_{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge f_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(f_{i} \mid f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{i-1}\right)
$$

Bayes' theorem:

$$
P(h \mid e)=\frac{P(e \mid h) \times P(h)}{P(e)} .
$$

## Probabilistic independence

Random variable $X$ is independent of random variable $Y$ if, for all $x_{i} \in \operatorname{dom}(X), y_{j} \in \operatorname{dom}(Y)$ and $y_{k} \in \operatorname{dom}(Y)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(X=x_{i} \mid Y=y_{j}\right) \\
& \quad=P\left(X=x_{i} \mid Y=y_{k}\right) \\
& \quad=P\left(X=x_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, knowledge of $Y^{\prime}$ 's value doesn't affect your belief in the value of $X$.
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## Conditional independence

- Sometimes, two random variables might not be entirely independent. However, they can become independent after we observe some third variable.
- Random variable $X$ is independent of random variable $Y$ given random variable $Z$ if, for all $x_{i} \in \operatorname{dom}(X)$, $y_{j} \in \operatorname{dom}(Y), y_{k} \in \operatorname{dom}(Y)$ and $z_{m} \in \operatorname{dom}(Z)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(X=x_{i} \mid Y=y_{j} \wedge Z=z_{m}\right) \\
& \quad=P\left(X=x_{i} \mid Y=y_{k} \wedge Z=z_{m}\right) \\
& \quad=P\left(X=x_{i} \mid Z=z_{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- That is, knowledge of $Y$ 's value doesn't affect your belief in the value of $X$, given a value of $Z$.


## Conditional Independence Example

- Kevin separately phones two students, Alice and Bob.
- To each, he tells the same number, $n_{k} \in\{1, \ldots, 10\}$.
- Due to the noise in the phone, Alice and Bob each imperfectly (and independently) draw a conclusion about what number Kevin said.
- Let the numbers Alice and Bob think they heard be $n_{a}$ and $n_{b}$ respectively.
- Are $n_{a}$ and $n_{b}$ probabilistically independent?
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## Conditional Independence Example

- Kevin separately phones two students, Alice and Bob.
- To each, he tells the same number, $n_{k} \in\{1, \ldots, 10\}$.
- Due to the noise in the phone, Alice and Bob each imperfectly (and independently) draw a conclusion about what number Kevin said.
- Let the numbers Alice and Bob think they heard be $n_{a}$ and $n_{b}$ respectively.
- Are $n_{a}$ and $n_{b}$ probabilistically independent?
- No: we'd expect (e.g.) $P\left(n_{a}=1 \mid n_{b}=1\right)>P\left(n_{a}=1\right)$.
- Why are $n_{a}$ and $n_{b}$ conditionally independent?
- Because if we know the number that Kevin actually said, the two variables are no longer correlated.
- e.g., $P\left(n_{a}=1 \mid n_{b}=1, n_{k}=2\right)=P\left(n_{a}=1 \mid n_{k}=2\right)$


## Example domain (diagnostic assistant)



## More examples of conditional independence

- The probability that the Canucks will win the Stanley Cup is independent of whether light $l 1$ is lit given whether there is outside power.
- if two random variables are independent, they're often also conditionally independent given a third variable.
- however, there are special cases where this is not the case ${ }^{1}$

[^0]
## More examples of conditional independence

- Whether light $l 1$ is lit is independent of the position of light switch $s 2$ given whether there is power in wire $w_{0}$.
- two random variables that might not be independent can still be conditionally independent
- Whether there is someone in a room is independent of whether a light $l 2$ is lit given the position of switch $s 3$.
- Every other variable may be independent of whether light $l 1$ is lit given whether there is power in wire $w_{0}$ and the status of light $l 1$ (if it's $o k$, or if not, how it's broken).


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ If you're interested: let $C_{1}$ be the proposition that coin 1 is heads; let $C_{2}$ be the proposition that coin 2 is heads; let $B$ be the proposition that coin 1 and coin 2 are both either heads or tails. $P\left(C_{1} \mid C_{2}\right)=P\left(C_{1}\right): C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are probabilistically independent. But $P\left(C_{1} \mid C_{2}, B\right) \neq P\left(C_{1} \mid B\right)$ : if I know both $C_{2}$ and $B$, I know $C_{1}$ exactly, but if I only know $B \mathrm{I}$ know nothing. Hence $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are not conditionally independent given $B$.

