Feedforward Neural Nets and Backpropagation

Julie Nutini

University of British Columbia



MLRG September 28th, 2016

• Supervised Learning:

- Assume that we are given the features x_i.
- Could also use basis functions or kernels.

Supervised Learning:

- Assume that we are given the features x_i.
- Could also use basis functions or kernels.
- Unsupervised Learning:
 - Learn a representation z_i based on features x_i .
 - Also used for supervised learning: use z_i as features.

Supervised Learning:

- Assume that we are given the features x_i.
- Could also use basis functions or kernels.
- Unsupervised Learning:
 - Learn a representation z_i based on features x_i .
 - Also used for supervised learning: use z_i as features.
- Supervised Learning:
 - Learn features z_i that are good for supervised learning.

Linear Model











→ These are all examples of Feedforward Neural Networks.

- Information always moves one direction.
 - No loops.
 - Never goes backwards.
 - Forms a directed acyclic graph.



- Information always moves one direction.
 - No loops.
 - Never goes backwards.
 - Forms a directed acyclic graph.



- Each node receives input only from immediately preceding layer.
- Simplest type of artificial neural network.

- 1943: McCulloch and Pitts proposed first computational model of neuron
- 1949: Hebb proposed the first learning rule
- **1958**: Rosenblatt's work on perceptrons
- 1969: Minsky and Papert's paper exposed limits of theory
- 1970s: Decade of dormancy for neural networks
- **1980-90s**: Neural network return (self-organization, back-propagation algorithms, etc.)

Model of Single Neuron

• McCulloch and Pitts (1943): "integrate and fire" model (no hidden layers)



- Denote the *d* input values for sample *i* by $x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{id}$.
- Each of the *d* inputs has a weight w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_d .

Model of Single Neuron

McCulloch and Pitts (1943): "integrate and fire" model (no hidden layers)



- Denote the *d* input values for sample *i* by $x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{id}$.
- Each of the *d* inputs has a weight w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_d .
- Compute prediction as weighted sum,

$$\hat{y}_i = w_1 x_{i1} + w_2 x_{i2} + \dots + w_d x_{id} = \sum_{j=1}^d w_j x_{ij}$$

Model of Single Neuron

McCulloch and Pitts (1943): "integrate and fire" model (no hidden layers)



- Denote the *d* input values for sample *i* by $x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{id}$.
- Each of the *d* inputs has a weight w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_d .
- Compute prediction as weighted sum,

$$\hat{y}_i = w_1 x_{i1} + w_2 x_{i2} + \dots + w_d x_{id} = \sum_{j=1}^d w_j x_{ij}$$

Use ŷ_i in some loss function:

$$\frac{1}{2}(y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

• Consider more than one neuron:



• Consider more than one neuron:



• Input to hidden layer: function h of features from latent-factor model:

$$z_i = h(Wx_i).$$

Each neuron has directed connection to ALL neurons of a subsequent layer.

• Consider more than one neuron:



• Input to hidden layer: function h of features from latent-factor model:

$$z_i = h(Wx_i).$$

- Each neuron has directed connection to ALL neurons of a subsequent layer.
- This function *h* is often called the activation function.
 - Each unit/node applies an activation function.

• A linear activation function has the form

 $h(Wx_i) = \mathbf{a} + Wx_i,$

where a is called bias (intercept).

• A linear activation function has the form

 $h(Wx_i) = \mathbf{a} + Wx_i,$

where a is called bias (intercept).

• Example: linear regression with linear bias (linear-linear model)

• Representation: $z_i = h(Wx_i)$ (from latent-factor model)

• Prediction:
$$\hat{y}_i = w^T z_i$$

A linear activation function has the form

 $h(Wx_i) = \mathbf{a} + Wx_i,$

where a is called bias (intercept).

• Example: linear regression with linear bias (linear-linear model)

- Representation: $z_i = h(Wx_i)$ (from latent-factor model)
- Prediction: ŷ_i = w^Tz_i
- Loss: $\frac{1}{2}(y_i \hat{y}_i)^2$

To train this model, we solve:



linear regression with z_i as features

A linear activation function has the form

 $h(Wx_i) = \mathbf{a} + Wx_i,$

where a is called bias (intercept).

• Example: linear regression with linear bias (linear-linear model)

- Representation: $z_i = h(Wx_i)$ (from latent-factor model)
- Prediction: $\hat{y}_i = w^T z_i$

• Loss:
$$\frac{1}{2}(y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

To train this model, we solve:



linear regression with z_i as features

But this is just a linear model:

$$w^T(Wx_i) = (W^Tw)^T x_i = \tilde{w}^T x_i$$

• To increase flexibility, something needs to be non-linear.

- To increase flexibility, something needs to be non-linear.
- A Heaviside step function has the form

$$h(v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v \ge a \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where *a* is the threshold.

- To increase flexibility, something needs to be non-linear.
- A Heaviside step function has the form

$$h(v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v \ge \mathbf{a} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where *a* is the threshold.

• **Example**: Let a = 0,



• This yields a binary $z_i = h(Wx_i)$.

- To increase flexibility, something needs to be non-linear.
- A Heaviside step function has the form

$$h(v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v \ge \mathbf{a} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where *a* is the threshold.

• **Example**: Let a = 0,



- This yields a binary $z_i = h(Wx_i)$.
- Wx_i has a concept encoded by each of its 2^k possible signs.

- Minsky and Papert (late 50s).
- Algorithm for supervised learning of binary classifiers.
 - Decides whether input belongs to a specific class or not.

- Minsky and Papert (late 50s).
- Algorithm for supervised learning of binary classifiers.
 - Decides whether input belongs to a specific class or not.
- Uses binary activation function.
 - Can learn "AND", "OR", "NOT" functions.

- Minsky and Papert (late 50s).
- Algorithm for supervised learning of binary classifiers.
 - Decides whether input belongs to a specific class or not.
- Uses binary activation function.
 - Can learn "AND", "OR", "NOT" functions.
- Perceptrons only capable of learning linearly separable patterns.

• The perceptron learning rule is given as follows:

Solution For each x_i and desired output y_i in training set,

Calculate output:
$$\hat{y}_{i}^{(t)} = h\left(\left(w^{(t)}\right)^{T} x_{i}\right).$$

• The perceptron learning rule is given as follows:

Solution For each x_i and desired output y_i in training set,

• Calculate output:
$$\hat{y}_i^{(t)} = h\left(\left(w^{(t)}\right)^T x_i\right)$$
.

• The perceptron learning rule is given as follows:

For each x_i and desired output y_i in training set,

Question Calculate output: ŷ_i^(t) = h ((w^(t))^T x_i).
 Question Update the weights: w_i^(t+1) = w_i^(t) + (y_i − ŷ_i^(t))x_{ji} ∀ 1 ≤ j ≤ d.

3 Continue these steps until $rac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n \left|y_i - \hat{y}_i^{(t)}\right| < \gamma$ (threshold).

• The perceptron learning rule is given as follows:

- For each x_i and desired output y_i in training set,
 - Question Calculate output: ŷ_i^(t) = h ((w^(t))^T x_i).
 Question Update the weights: w_i^(t+1) = w_i^(t) + (y_i − ŷ_i^(t))x_{ji} ∀ 1 ≤ j ≤ d.
- 3 Continue these steps until $\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| y_{i} \hat{y}_{i}^{(t)} \right| < \gamma$ (threshold).
- If training set is linearly separable, then guaranteed to converge. (Rosenblatt, 1962).

• The perceptron learning rule is given as follows:

- For each x_i and desired output y_i in training set,
 - Question Calculate output: ŷ_i^(t) = h ((w^(t))^T x_i).
 Question Update the weights: w_i^(t+1) = w_i^(t) + (y_i − ŷ_i^(t))x_{ji} ∀ 1 ≤ j ≤ d.
- 2 Continue these steps until $rac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n \left|y_i \hat{y}_i^{(t)}\right| < \gamma$ (threshold).
- If training set is linearly separable, then guaranteed to converge. (Rosenblatt, 1962).
- An upper bound exists on number of times weights adjusted in training.

• The perceptron learning rule is given as follows:

- For each x_i and desired output y_i in training set,
 - Question Calculate output: ŷ_i^(t) = h ((w^(t))^T x_i).
 Question Update the weights: w_i^(t+1) = w_i^(t) + (y_i − ŷ_i^(t))x_{ji} ∀ 1 ≤ j ≤ d.
- 2 Continue these steps until $rac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n \left|y_i \hat{y}_i^{(t)}\right| < \gamma$ (threshold).
- If training set is linearly separable, then guaranteed to converge. (Rosenblatt, 1962).
- An upper bound exists on number of times weights adjusted in training.
- Can also use gradient descent if function is differentiable.

Non-Linear Continuous Activation Function

• What about a smooth approximation to the step function?
Non-Linear Continuous Activation Function

- What about a smooth approximation to the step function?
- A sigmoid function has the form



Non-Linear Continuous Activation Function

- What about a smooth approximation to the step function?
- A sigmoid function has the form



• Applying the sigmoid function element-wise,

$$z_{ic} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-W_c^T x_i}}$$

• This is called a multi-layer perceptron or neural network.

- A "typical" neuron.
- Neuron has many dendrites.
 - Each dendrite "takes" input.
- Neuron has a single axon.
 - Axon "sends" output.



- A "typical" neuron.
- Neuron has many dendrites.
 - Each dendrite "takes" input.
- Neuron has a single axon.
 - Axon "sends" output.



- With the "right" input to dendrites:
 - Action potential along axon.

- First neuron:
 - Each dendrite: $x_{i1}, x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{id}$
 - Nucleus: computes $W_c^T x_i$
 - Axon: sends binary signal $\frac{1}{1+e^{-W_c^T x_i}}$
- Axon terminal: neurotransmitter, synapse
- Second neuron:
 - Each dendrite receives: $z_{i1}, z_{i2}, \ldots, z_{ik}$
 - Nucleus: computes $w^T z_i$
 - Axon: sends signal \hat{y}_i



- First neuron:
 - Each dendrite: $x_{i1}, x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{id}$
 - Nucleus: computes $W_c^T x_i$
 - Axon: sends binary signal $\frac{1}{1+e^{-W_c^T x_i}}$
- Axon terminal: neurotransmitter, synapse
- Second neuron:
 - Each dendrite receives: $z_{i1}, z_{i2}, \ldots, z_{ik}$
 - Nucleus: computes $w^T z_i$
 - Axon: sends signal ŷ_i



• Describes a neural network with one hidden layer (2 neurons).

- First neuron:
 - Each dendrite: $x_{i1}, x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{id}$
 - Nucleus: computes $W_c^T x_i$
 - Axon: sends binary signal $\frac{1}{1+e^{-W_c^T x_i}}$
- Axon terminal: neurotransmitter, synapse
- Second neuron:
 - Each dendrite receives: $z_{i1}, z_{i2}, \ldots, z_{ik}$
 - Nucleus: computes $w^T z_i$
 - Axon: sends signal ŷ_i



- Describes a neural network with one hidden layer (2 neurons).
- Human brain has approximately 10¹¹ neurons.

- First neuron:
 - Each dendrite: $x_{i1}, x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{id}$
 - Nucleus: computes $W_c^T x_i$
 - Axon: sends binary signal $\frac{1}{1+e^{-W_c^T x_i}}$
- Axon terminal: neurotransmitter, synapse
- Second neuron:
 - Each dendrite receives: $z_{i1}, z_{i2}, \ldots, z_{ik}$
 - Nucleus: computes $w^T z_i$
 - Axon: sends signal ŷ_i



- Describes a neural network with one hidden layer (2 neurons).
- Human brain has approximately 10¹¹ neurons.
- Each neuron connected to approximately 10⁴ neurons.

- First neuron:
 - Each dendrite: $x_{i1}, x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{id}$
 - Nucleus: computes $W_c^T x_i$
 - Axon: sends binary signal $\frac{1}{1+e^{-W_c^T x_i}}$
- Axon terminal: neurotransmitter, synapse
- Second neuron:
 - Each dendrite receives: $z_{i1}, z_{i2}, \ldots, z_{ik}$
 - Nucleus: computes $w^T z_i$
 - Axon: sends signal \hat{y}_i



- Describes a neural network with one hidden layer (2 neurons).
- Human brain has approximately 10¹¹ neurons.
- Each neuron connected to approximately 10⁴ neurons.
- . . .

- x_i is measuring of the world.
- z_i is internal representation of the world.
- \hat{y}_i as output of neuron for classification/regression.



- x_i is measuring of the world.
- z_i is internal representation of the world.
- \hat{y}_i as output of neuron for classification/regression.



- Timing of action potentials seems to be important.
 - Rate coding: frequency of action potentials simulates continuous output.



- x_i is measuring of the world.
- z_i is internal representation of the world.
- \hat{y}_i as output of neuron for classification/regression.



- Timing of action potentials seems to be important.
 - Rate coding: frequency of action potentials simulates continuous output.
- Neural networks don't reflect sparsity of action potentials.



- x_i is measuring of the world.
- z_i is internal representation of the world.
- \hat{y}_i as output of neuron for classification/regression.



- Timing of action potentials seems to be important.
 - Rate coding: frequency of action potentials simulates continuous output.
- Neural networks don't reflect sparsity of action potentials.
- How much computation is done inside neuron?



- x_i is measuring of the world.
- z_i is internal representation of the world.
- \hat{y}_i as output of neuron for classification/regression.



- Timing of action potentials seems to be important.
 - Rate coding: frequency of action potentials simulates continuous output.
- Neural networks don't reflect sparsity of action potentials.
- How much computation is done inside neuron?
- Brain is highly organized (e.g., substructures and cortical columns).
- Connection structure changes.



- x_i is measuring of the world.
- z_i is internal representation of the world.
- \hat{y}_i as output of neuron for classification/regression.



- Timing of action potentials seems to be important.
 - Rate coding: frequency of action potentials simulates continuous output.
- Neural networks don't reflect sparsity of action potentials.
- How much computation is done inside neuron?
- Brain is highly organized (e.g., substructures and cortical columns).
- Connection structure changes.
- Different types of neurotransmitters.



• One of the first versions proven by George Cybenko (1989).

- One of the first versions proven by George Cybenko (1989).
- For feedforward neural networks, the universal approximation theorem:

"... claims that every continuous function defined on a compact set can be arbitrarily well approximated by a neural network with one hidden layer".

- One of the first versions proven by George Cybenko (1989).
- For feedforward neural networks, the universal approximation theorem:

"... claims that every continuous function defined on a compact set can be arbitrarily well approximated by a neural network with one hidden layer".

• Thus, a simple neural network capable of representing a wide variety of functions when given appropriate parameters.

- One of the first versions proven by George Cybenko (1989).
- For feedforward neural networks, the universal approximation theorem:

"... claims that every continuous function defined on a compact set can be arbitrarily well approximated by a neural network with one hidden layer".

- Thus, a simple neural network capable of representing a wide variety of functions when given appropriate parameters.
 - "Algorithmic learnability" of those parameters?

• With squared loss, our objective function is:

$$\operatorname*{argmin}_{w \in \mathbb{R}^{k}, W \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - w^{T} h(Wx_{i}))^{2}$$

• With squared loss, our objective function is:

$$\operatorname*{argmin}_{w \in \mathbb{R}^{k}, W \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - w^{T} h(Wx_{i}))^{2}$$

• Usual training procedure: stochastic gradient.

- Compute gradient of random example i, update w and W.
- Computing the gradient is known as backpropagation.

• With squared loss, our objective function is:

$$\operatorname*{argmin}_{w \in \mathbb{R}^{k}, W \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - w^{T} h(Wx_{i}))^{2}$$

- Usual training procedure: stochastic gradient.
 - Compute gradient of random example i, update w and W.
- Computing the gradient is known as backpropagation.
- Adding regularization to w and/or W is known as weight decay.

• Output values \hat{y}_i compared to correct values y_i to compute error.

- Output values \hat{y}_i compared to correct values y_i to compute error.
- Error is fed back through the network (backpropagation of errors).

- Output values \hat{y}_i compared to correct values y_i to compute error.
- Error is fed back through the network (backpropagation of errors).
- Weights are adjusted to reduce value of error function by small amount.

- Output values \hat{y}_i compared to correct values y_i to compute error.
- Error is fed back through the network (backpropagation of errors).
- Weights are adjusted to reduce value of error function by small amount.
- After number of cycles, network converges to state where error is small.

- Output values \hat{y}_i compared to correct values y_i to compute error.
- Error is fed back through the network (backpropagation of errors).
- Weights are adjusted to reduce value of error function by small amount.
- After number of cycles, network converges to state where error is small.
- To adjust weights, use non-linear optimization method gradient descent.
 - \rightarrow Backpropagation can only be applied to differentiable activation functions.

repeat

repeat for each weight $w_{i,j}$ in the network do $w_{i,j} \leftarrow$ a small random number for each example (x, y) do

repeat

for each weight $w_{i,j}$ in the network do

 $w_{i,j} \leftarrow a \text{ small random number}$

```
for each example (x, y) do
```

/*Propagate the inputs forward to compute the outputs*/

/*Propagate the deltas backwards from output layer to input layer*/

/*Update every weight in network using deltas*/

repeat

```
for each weight w_{i,j} in the network do
```

```
w_{i,j} \leftarrow a \text{ small random number}
```

for each example (x, y) do

/*Propagate the inputs forward to compute the outputs*/

for each node i in the input layer do

 $\begin{array}{l} a_i \leftarrow x_i \\ \text{for } \ell = 2 \text{ to } L \text{ do} \\ \text{for each node } j \text{ in layer } \ell \text{ do} \\ v_j \leftarrow \sum_i w_{i,j} a_i \\ a_j \leftarrow h(v_j) \end{array}$ /*Propagate the deltas backwards from output layer to input layer*/

/*Update every weight in network using deltas*/

repeat

```
for each weight w_{i,j} in the network do
```

```
w_{i,j} \leftarrow a \text{ small random number}
```

for each example (x, y) do

/*Propagate the inputs forward to compute the outputs*/

for each node i in the input layer do

 $a_i \leftarrow x_i$

for $\ell = 2$ to L do

for each node j in layer ℓ do

$$v_j \leftarrow \sum_i w_{i,j} a_i$$
$$a_j \leftarrow h(v_j)$$

/*Propagate the deltas backwards from output layer to input layer*/

for each node j in output layer do

$$\begin{split} \Delta[j] \leftarrow h'(v_j)(y_j - a_j) \\ \text{for } \ell = L - 1 \text{ to } 1 \text{ do} \\ \text{for each node } i \text{ in layer } \ell \text{ do} \\ \Delta[i] \leftarrow h'(v_i) \sum_j w_{i,j} \Delta[j] \end{split}$$

/*Update every weight in network using deltas*/

repeat

```
for each weight w_{i,j} in the network do
```

```
w_{i,j} \leftarrow a \text{ small random number}
```

for each example (x, y) do

/*Propagate the inputs forward to compute the outputs*/

for each node i in the input layer do

 $a_i \leftarrow x_i$

for $\ell = 2$ to L do

for each node j in layer ℓ do

$$v_j \leftarrow \sum_i w_{i,j} a_i \\ a_j \leftarrow h(v_j)$$

/*Propagate the deltas backwards from output layer to input layer*/

for each node j in output layer do

$$\begin{split} \Delta[j] \leftarrow h'(v_j)(y_j - a_j) \\ \text{for } \ell = L - 1 \text{ to } 1 \text{ do} \\ \text{ for each node } i \text{ in layer } \ell \text{ do} \\ \Delta[i] \leftarrow h'(v_i) \sum_j w_{i,j} \Delta[j] \\ \text{'*Update every weight in network using deltas*/} \\ \text{for each weight } w_{i,j} \text{ in network do} \\ w_{i,j} \leftarrow w_{i,j} + \alpha a_i \Delta[j] \end{split}$$

- Derivations of the back-propagation equations are very similar to the gradient calculation for logistic regression.
 - Uses the multivariable chain rule more than once.

- Derivations of the back-propagation equations are very similar to the gradient calculation for logistic regression.
 - Uses the multivariable chain rule more than once.
- Consider the loss for a single node:

$$f(w, W) = \frac{1}{2} (y_i - \sum_{j=1}^k w_j h(W_j x_i))^2.$$

- Derivations of the back-propagation equations are very similar to the gradient calculation for logistic regression.
 - Uses the multivariable chain rule more than once.
- Consider the loss for a single node:

$$f(w, W) = \frac{1}{2} (y_i - \sum_{j=1}^k w_j h(W_j x_i))^2.$$

• Derivatives with respect to w_j (weights connect hidden to output layer):

$$\frac{\partial f(w,W)}{\partial w_j} = -(y_i - \sum_{j=1}^k w_j h(W_j x_i)) \cdot h(W_j x_i)$$
- Derivations of the back-propagation equations are very similar to the gradient calculation for logistic regression.
 - Uses the multivariable chain rule more than once.
- Consider the loss for a single node:

$$f(w, W) = \frac{1}{2} (y_i - \sum_{j=1}^k w_j h(W_j x_i))^2.$$

• Derivatives with respect to w_j (weights connect hidden to output layer):

$$\frac{\partial f(w,W)}{\partial w_j} = -(y_i - \sum_{j=1}^k w_j h(W_j x_i)) \cdot h(W_j x_i)$$

• Derivative with respect to W_{ij} (weights connect input to hidden layer):

$$\frac{\partial f(w,W)}{\partial W_{ij}} = -(y_i - \sum_{j=1}^k w_j h(W_j x_i)) \cdot w_j h'(W_j x_i) x_{ij}$$

• Notice repeated calculations in gradients:

$$\frac{\partial f(w,W)}{\partial w_j} = -(y_i - \sum_{j=1}^k w_j h(W_j x)) \cdot h(W_j x)$$

$$rac{\partial f(w,W)}{\partial W_{ij}} = -(y_i - \sum_{j=1}^k w_j h(W_j x)) \cdot w_j h'(W_j x) x_i$$

Notice repeated calculations in gradients:

$$rac{\partial f(w,W)}{\partial w_j} = -(y_i - \sum_{j=1}^k w_j h(W_j x)) \cdot h(W_j x)$$

$$rac{\partial f(w,W)}{\partial W_{ij}} = -(y_i - \sum_{j=1}^k w_j h(W_j x)) \cdot w_j h'(W_j x) x_i$$

Same value for \$\frac{\partial f(w,W)}{\partial w_j}\$ with all \$j\$ and \$\frac{\partial f(w,W)}{\partial W_{ij}}\$ for all \$i\$ and \$j\$.
Same value for \$\frac{\partial f(w,W)}{\partial W_{ij}}\$ with all \$i\$.

• Cannot be used if very little available data.

- Cannot be used if very little available data.
- Many free parameters (e.g., number of hidden nodes, learning rate, minimal error, etc.)

- Cannot be used if very little available data.
- Many free parameters (e.g., number of hidden nodes, learning rate, minimal error, etc.)
- Not great for precision calculations.

- Cannot be used if very little available data.
- Many free parameters (e.g., number of hidden nodes, learning rate, minimal error, etc.)
- Not great for precision calculations.
- Do not provide explanations (unlike slopes in linear models that represent correlations).

- Cannot be used if very little available data.
- Many free parameters (e.g., number of hidden nodes, learning rate, minimal error, etc.)
- Not great for precision calculations.
- Do not provide explanations (unlike slopes in linear models that represent correlations).
- Network overfits training data, fails to capture true statistical process behind the data.
 - Early stopping can be used to avoid this.

- Cannot be used if very little available data.
- Many free parameters (e.g., number of hidden nodes, learning rate, minimal error, etc.)
- Not great for precision calculations.
- Do not provide explanations (unlike slopes in linear models that represent correlations).
- Network overfits training data, fails to capture true statistical process behind the data.
 - Early stopping can be used to avoid this.
- Speed of convergence.
- Local minimia.

- Easy to maintain.
- Versatile.
- Used on problems for which analytical methods do not yet exist.

- Easy to maintain.
- Versatile.
- Used on problems for which analytical methods do not yet exist.
- Models non-linear dependencies.
- Quickly work out patterns, even with noisy data.

- Easy to maintain.
- Versatile.
- Used on problems for which analytical methods do not yet exist.
- Models non-linear dependencies.
- Quickly work out patterns, even with noisy data.
- Always outputs answer, even when input is incomplete.

- Easy to maintain.
- Versatile.
- Used on problems for which analytical methods do not yet exist.
- Models non-linear dependencies.
- Quickly work out patterns, even with noisy data.
- Always outputs answer, even when input is incomplete.